

may be exempt from access provisions as described in the section entitled "Exemptions Claimed for the System." An individual who is the subject of a record in this system may access those records that are not exempt from disclosure. A determination whether a record may be accessed will be made at the time a request is received.

Although no specific form is required, you may obtain forms for this purpose from the FOIA/Privacy Act Mail Referral Unit, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530-0001, or on the Department of Justice Web site at [www.usdoj.gov/04foia/att\\_d.htm](http://www.usdoj.gov/04foia/att_d.htm).

#### CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking to contest or amend information maintained in the system should direct their requests to the appropriate office indicated in the "Record Access Procedures" section, above, stating clearly and concisely what information is being contested, the reasons for contesting it, and the proposed amendment to the information sought. Some information may be exempt from contesting record procedures as described in the section entitled "Exemptions Claimed for the System." An individual who is the subject of a record in this system may seek amendment of those records that are not exempt. A determination of whether a record is exempt from amendment will be made after a request is received.

#### RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Those individuals who submit initial requests and administrative appeals pursuant to the FOIA, the Privacy Act, or the applicable executive order(s) governing classified national security information; the agency records searched in the process of responding to such requests and appeals; Department of Justice personnel assigned to handle such requests and appeals; other agencies or entities that have referred to the Department of Justice requests concerning Department of Justice records, or that have consulted with the Department of Justice regarding the handling of particular requests; agencies or individuals who have submitted an inquiry to OIP regarding federal agency compliance with the FOIA and agencies that are the subjects of such inquiries; and submitters or subjects of records or information that have provided assistance to the Department of Justice in making access or amendment determinations.

#### EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsections (c)(3) and (4), (d)(1), (2), (3), and (4); (e)(1), (2), (3), (5), and (8); and (g) of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) and (k). These exemptions apply only to the extent that information in the system is subject to exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) and (k). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553(b), (c), and (e), and have been published in the **Federal Register**.

[FR Doc. 2012-10740 Filed 5-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FB-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

##### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cooperative Research Group on Evaluation of Distributed Leak Detection Systems—Performance Testing

Notice is hereby given that, on April 6, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute—Cooperative Research Group on Evaluation of Distributed Leak Detection Systems—Performance Testing ("LDS-PT") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: ExxonMobil Upstream Research Co., Houston, TX; and Shell Exploration & Production Co., Houston, TX. The general area of LDS-PT's planned activity is to determine the applicability of using various fiber-optic-based leak detection systems for offshore pipelines. Laboratory testing of distributed temperature and distributed acoustic systems will be performed to establish their sensitivity over a range of conditions.

##### Patricia A. Brink,

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10802 Filed 5-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

##### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Petroleum Environmental Research Forum Project No. 2011-01, Ultra Low Nutrient Control in Wastewater Effluents

Notice is hereby given that, on April 9, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Petroleum Environmental Research Forum (PERF) Project No. 2011-01, Ultra Low Nutrient Control in Wastewater Effluents ("PERF Project No. 2011-01") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: ExxonMobil Research and Engineering Company, Fairfax, VA; BP Products North America Inc., Naperville, IL; Chevron U.S.A. Inc., acting through its Chevron Energy Technology Company Division, San Ramon, CA; ConocoPhillips Company, Bartlesville, OK; Shell Global Solutions (US) Inc., Houston, TX; and Total S.A., Paris, FRANCE. The general area of PERF Project No. 2011-01's planned activity is, through cooperative research efforts, to explore technical options to achieve ultra-low nutrient discharge requirements that are developing in some areas by sharing company experience on existing methodologies for controlling/removing nutrients from wastewater, and engaging a third party consultant to summarize current state of the technologies and understand their feasibility and limitations.

##### Patricia A. Brink,

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10803 Filed 5-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

#### POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2012-21; Order No. 1325]

##### International Mail Contract

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to enter into an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package contract. This document invites public comments on the request and addresses several related procedural steps.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically by accessing the “Filing Online” link in the banner at the top of the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission’s Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820 (case-related information) or [DocketAdmins@prc.gov](mailto:DocketAdmins@prc.gov) (electronic filing assistance).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

**I. Introduction**

On April 27, 2012, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package (GREP) contract.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service states that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010–36 (GREP baseline agreement) and is supported by the Governors’ Decision No. 10–1 attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2010–36. *Id.* at 1–2, Attachment 3. The Notice explains that Order No. 445, which established GREP Contracts 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.* at 1–2.

*The instant contract.* The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the instant contract is in accordance with Order No. 445. *Id.* at 1. The Postal Service will notify the mailer of the effective date within 30 days after all necessary regulatory approvals have been received. The instant contract will

remain in effect until either party terminates the agreement. It may be terminated, among other instances, upon 30 days written notification by either party. *Id.*, Attachment 1 at 5.

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the instant contract;
- Attachment 2—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decisions No. 10–1, which establishes prices and classifications for GREP contracts, a description of applicable GREP contracts, formulas for prices, an analysis of the formulas, and certification of the Governors’ vote; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

The Notice sets forth reasons why the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the GREP baseline agreement. It states that the instant contract differs from the GREP baseline agreement in several ways pertaining to the revisions or clarifications of terms, *e.g.*, additions of definitions for Express Mail International and Priority Mail International, minimum revenue commitment, revisions of prices, effective date, customs and export requirements, and periodic review of minimum commitment. *Id.* at 4–6. The Postal Service states that the differences affect neither the fundamental service that it is offering nor the fundamental structure of the contract. *Id.* at 6–7. It asserts that “[b]ecause the agreement incorporates the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant characteristics of this GREP contract are similar, if not the same, as the relevant characteristics of the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010–36. *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service concludes that its filing demonstrates that the instant contract complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to the baseline GREP contract. Therefore, it requests that the instant contract be included within the GREP Contracts 1 product. *Id.* at 3–7.

**II. Notice of Filing**

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012–21 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service’s Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642. Comments are due no later than May 8, 2012. The public portions of this

filing can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site, <http://www.prc.gov>.

The Commission appoints Natalie Rea Ward to serve as Public Representative in the captioned proceeding.

**III. Ordering Paragraphs**

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012–21 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service’s Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than May 8, 2012.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie Rea Ward is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Shoshana M. Grove,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012–10765 Filed 5–3–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

**POSTAL SERVICE**

**Transfer of Parcel Post to the Competitive Product List**

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service hereby provides notice that it has filed a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to transfer Parcel Post from the Mail Classification Schedule’s Market-Dominant Product List to its Competitive Product List.

**DATES:** May 4, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John F. Rosato, 202–268–8597.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 26, 2012, the United States Postal Service® filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a request to transfer Parcel Post from the Mail Classification Schedule’s Market-Dominant Product List to its Competitive Product List, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642. The transfer would: (1) Remove Parcel Post from the Market-Dominant Product List; (2) add a nearly identical product called “Parcel Post” to the Competitive Product List, and (3) leave Alaska Bypass Service, which is currently part of Parcel Post, on the Market-Dominant Product List. Documents pertinent to this request are

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package Negotiated Service Agreement and Application For Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, April 27, 2012 (Notice).