

including responses to staff's questions and the results of your evaluations, and (2) the staff communicates to you in written correspondence that it has concluded that NAPS can be operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public or the environment."

This CAL, therefore, confirmed the licensee's understanding that North Anna 1 and 2, could not be restarted unless and until the licensee had demonstrated to the NRC staff's satisfaction that "\* \* \* no functional damage has occurred to those features necessary for continued operation without undue risk to the health and safety of the public," consistent with the requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Part 100, Appendix A, Section V(a)(2). Restart was contingent upon addressing a number of issues before startup, many of which had been identified, in whole or in part, in the petition as concerns.

Issues in the petition, previously identified and discussed as concerns 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8, were discussed and substantially addressed, either in the inspection reports issued October 31, 2011, and November 30, 2011, or in the NRC technical evaluation dated November 11, 2011. The activities by the NRC staff were completed before restart to ensure that, before resuming operations, the licensee had demonstrated no functional damage had occurred to those features at North Anna 1 and 2, necessary for continued operation without undue risk to the health and safety of the public. In that respect, these concerns described in the petition as requiring completion before the restart of North Anna 1 and 2, were addressed before restart, consistent with the third request for enforcement action described in the petition. Issues in the petition, previously identified and discussed as concerns 4 and 9, were evaluated by the NRC staff before restart of North Anna 1 and 2, but disposition of these concerns by the NRC staff differed from the course of action requested in the petition. In that respect, these aspects of the petition were denied.

A copy of the Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. As provided for by this regulation, the Director's Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of the decision, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Director's Decision in that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of April 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Bruce A. Boger**,  
*Deputy Director, Reactor Safety Programs,  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*  
[FR Doc. 2012-10707 Filed 5-2-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Board of Governors Sunshine Act Meeting

#### Board Votes to Close April 25, 2012, Meeting

By telephone vote on April 25, 2012, members of the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service met and voted unanimously to close to public observation its meeting held in Washington, DC, via teleconference. The Board determined that no earlier public notice was possible.

#### ITEMS CONSIDERED:

1. Strategic Issues.

**GENERAL COUNSEL CERTIFICATION:** The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service has certified that the meeting was properly closed under the Government in the Sunshine Act.

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Requests for information about the meeting should be addressed to the Secretary of the Board, Julie S. Moore, at (202) 268-4800.

**Julie S. Moore**,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10852 Filed 5-1-12; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Board of Governors; Sunshine Act Meeting

#### Board Votes to Close April 26, 2012, Meeting

By telephone vote on April 26, 2012, members of the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service met and voted unanimously to close to public observation its meeting held in Washington, DC, via teleconference. The Board determined that no earlier public notice was possible.

#### ITEMS CONSIDERED:

1. Strategic Issues.
2. Financial Matters.

**GENERAL COUNSEL CERTIFICATION:** The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service has certified that the meeting was properly closed under the Government in the Sunshine Act.

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Requests for information about the meeting should be addressed to the

Secretary of the Board, Julie S. Moore, at (202) 268-4800.

**Julie S. Moore**,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10854 Filed 5-1-12; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-66871; File No.10-206]

### In the Matter of the Application of BOX Options Exchange LLC for Registration as a National Securities Exchange Findings, Opinion, and Order of the Commission

April 27, 2012.

#### I. Introduction

On December 19, 2011, BOX Options Exchange LLC ("BOX Exchange" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") an Application for Registration as a National Securities Exchange ("Form 1 Application")<sup>1</sup> under Section 6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act").<sup>2</sup> On December 28, 2011, BOX Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to its Form 1 Application.<sup>3</sup> Notice of the Form 1 Application, as modified by Amendment No. 1, was published for comment in the *Federal Register* on January 31, 2012.<sup>4</sup> The Commission has not received any comment letters regarding the Form 1 Application. On April 2, 2012, BOX Exchange submitted Amendment No. 2 to the Form 1 Application.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> On January 26, 2012, the Commission issued an order granting BOX Exchange exemptive relief, subject to certain conditions, in connection with the filing of its Form 1 Application. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 66241, 77 FR 4845 (January 31, 2012). Because BOX Exchange's Form 1 Application was incomplete without the exemptive relief, the date of filing of such application is January 26, 2012.

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>3</sup> Amendment No. 1, among other things, provides the unconsolidated financial statements for certain affiliates of BOX Exchange that are required in Exhibit D to Form 1 but were not included in BOX Exchange's initial Form 1 Application. In its initial Form 1 Application, BOX Exchange only submitted consolidated financials for certain of these affiliates.

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 66242 (January 26, 2012), 77 FR 4841 ("Notice").

<sup>5</sup> In Amendment No. 2, BOX Exchange, among other things: (1) Amends the BOX Exchange Bylaws to provide: (a) That at least one public, non-industry director of BOX Exchange will not be associated with a broker or dealer, as required by Section 6(b)(3) of the Act; (b) that BOX Exchange will have a chief regulatory officer ("CRO") with general day-to-day supervision over BOX Exchange's regulatory operations; (c) that a majority of the members of the BOX Exchange nominating

Continued