with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded. The language of paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction because it involves the establishment of a safety zone. A final environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

§ 165.09–0337 Safety Zone; 2012 Memorial Day Tribute Fireworks, Lake Charlevoix, Boyne City, Michigan.

(a) Location. The safety zone will encompass all U.S. navigable waters of Lake Charlevoix, in the vicinity of Sommerset Pointe, within the arc of a circle with 800-foot radius from a fireworks launch site located on a barge at position 45°13′04″ N, 085°03′41″ W [DATUM: NAD 83].

(b) Effective and enforcement period. This rule is effective and will be enforced from 10 p.m. until 10:45 p.m. on May 26, 2012.

(c) Regulations.

(1) In accordance with the general regulations in §165.23 of this part, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Sector Sault Sainte Marie, or his or her on-scene representative.

(2) This safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic, except as may be permitted by the Captain of the Port, Sector Sault Sainte Marie, or his or her on-scene representative.

(3) The “on-scene representative” of the Captain of the Port, Sector Sault Sainte Marie, is any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been designated by the Captain of the Port, Sector Sault Sainte Marie, to act on his or her behalf. The on-scene representative of the Captain of the Port, Sector Sault Sainte Marie, will be aboard either a Coast Guard or Coast Guard Auxiliary vessel.

(4) Vessel operators desiring to enter the or operate within the safety zone shall contact the Captain of the Port, Sector Sault Sainte Marie, or his or her on-scene representative to obtain permission to do so. The Captain of the Port, Sector Sault Sainte Marie, or his or her on-scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the safety zone must comply with all directions given to them by the Captain of the Port, Sector Sault Sainte Marie, or his or her on-scene representative.


S.B. Lowe,
Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port Sault Sainte Marie.

[FR Doc. 2012–10624 Filed 5–1–12; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG–2012–0052]
RIN 1625–AA87

Security Zones; North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Summit, Chicago, IL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the preamble of the Temporary Final Rule (TFR) published in the Federal Register on April 13, 2012. In the preamble, the Coast Guard stated that no comments were received regarding the proposed rule (77 FR 13232) that would establish four separate security zones in the Chicago Harbor and Chicago River during the NATO Summit. This statement is incorrect. The Coast Guard received one comment.

DATES: Effective May 2, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: CWO Jon Grob, Prevention Department, Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan, Milwaukee, WI (414) 747–7188.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Correction: On March 10, 2012, the Burnham Park Yacht Club (BPYC) submitted a comment in response to the Coast Guard’s NPRM that preceded the aforesaid TFR. In its comment, the BPYC described itself as a non-profit organization that provides tender services, must stepping, and dining to BPYC members and to the public in general. The BPYC explained that it expects the NATO conference to have
two impacts on its business. First, the BPYC expects the NATO conference to severely limit the BPYC’s income stream, which is normally generated from the aforementioned services. Second, the BPYC expects the NATO conference to have an impact on the BPYC’s membership development, which typically occurs in mid April. In light of these impacts, the BPYC asked to meet with an agent of the Coast Guard to discuss the BPYC’s expected losses and to arrive at a reasonable compensation. On April 20, 2012, a member of the Coast Guard’s offices in Cleveland, OH, on behalf of the Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, telephoned the BPYC and confirmed the above understanding of the BPYC’s comment and its request.

In light of the BPYC’s comment, the Coast Guard will not change the TFR published on April 13, 2012. Although the BPYC raised concerns about the economic impact of the Coast Guard’s security zones, the BPYC’s comment did not directly speak to the design, the establishment, or the enforcement of these security zones. The BPYC did not ask the Coast Guard to modify the security zones or to reconsider the manner in which they are enforced. Rather, the BPYC simply asked to meet with the Coast Guard to discuss compensation. While the Coast Guard takes seriously the economic impact that its rules might have on small entities, the Coast Guard is unable to provide compensation to small entities so impacted.

Although the Coast Guard is unable to directly compensate small entities for the economic impacts of its rules, the BPYC is encouraged to contact CWO Jon Grob via the contact information provided above to discuss the Coast Guard’s enforcement of the security zones discussed herein and options for compliance.

Dated: April 24, 2012.

C.W. Tenney,
Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, Acting.

[FR Doc. 2012–10549 Filed 5–1–12; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
34 CFR Part 690
[Docket ID ED–2012–OPE–0006]
RIN 1840–AD11
Federal Pell Grant Program
AGENCY: Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Interim final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Secretary amends four sections of the Federal Pell Grant Program regulations to make them consistent with recent changes in the law that prohibit a student from receiving two consecutive Pell Grants in a single award year.

DATES: This interim final rule is effective May 2, 2012. We must receive your comments on or before June 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal or via U.S. mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. We will not accept comments by fax or by email. Please submit your comments only once in order to ensure that we do not receive duplicate copies. In addition, please include the Docket ID at the top of your comments.

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to www.regulations.gov to submit your comments electronically. Information on using regulations.gov, including instructions for accessing agency documents, submitting comments, and viewing the docket, is available on the site under “How To Use This Site.”

• U.S. Mail, Commercial Delivery, or Hand Delivery: If you mail or deliver your comments about these interim final regulations, address them to Jacquelyn Butler, U.S. Department of Education, 1990 K Street NW., Room 8053, Washington, DC 20006–8542.

Privacy Note: The Department’s policy is to make all comments received from members of the public available for public viewing in their entirety on the Federal eRulemaking Portal at www.regulations.gov. Therefore, commenters should be careful to include in their comments only information that they wish to make publicly available.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the contact person listed in this section.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Invitation To Comment

Although the Secretary has decided to issue these interim final regulations without first publishing proposed regulations for public comment, we are interested in whether you think we should make any changes in these regulations. We invite your comments. We will consider these comments in determining whether to revise these interim final regulations. We invite you to assist us in complying with the specific requirements of Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 and their overall requirement of reducing regulatory burden that might result from these interim final regulations. Please let us know of any further ways we could reduce potential costs or increase potential benefits while preserving the effective and efficient administration of the Federal Pell Grant Program.

During and after the comment period, you may inspect all public comments about these interim final regulations by accessing www.regulations.gov. You may also inspect the comments in person Room 8083, 1990 K Street NW., Washington, DC, between 8:30 a.m. and 4 p.m. Washington, DC time, Monday through Friday of each week, except Federal holidays.

Assistance to Individuals With Disabilities in Reviewing the Rulemaking Record

On request, we will provide an appropriate accommodation or auxiliary aid to an individual with a disability who needs assistance to review the comments or other documents in the public rulemaking record for these interim final regulations. If you want to schedule an appointment for this type of accommodation or auxiliary aid, please contact the person listed under FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Background

Two Federal Pell Grants in One Award Year (§§ 690.63(g)(1), 690.63(h), 690.64, 690.65(c), 690.65(f), and 690.67)

In August of 2008, the Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA), Public Law 110–315, added section 401(b)(5) to the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA), which provided that a student enrolled in a certificate, associate degree, or baccalaureate degree program at least half-time for more than one academic year may receive up to two consecutive Federal Pell Grant Scheduled Awards during a single award year. Although the addition of section 401(b)(5) was effective beginning with the 2009–2010 award year, we did not publish final