

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-562]

**Certain Incremental Dental Positioning
Adjustment Appliances and Methods
of Producing Same; Notice of
Institution of Formal Enforcement
Proceeding****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has instituted a formal enforcement proceeding relating to the November 13, 2006, consent order issued in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clint A. Gerdine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3061. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov/>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted the underlying investigation in this matter on February 15, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Align Technology, Inc. ("Align") of Santa Clara, California (now of San Jose, California). 71 FR 7995-96. The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain incremental dental positioning adjustment appliances by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,685,469; 6,450,807; 6,394,801; 6,398,548; 6,722,880 ("the '880 patent"); 6,629,840; 6,699,037; 6,318,994; 6,729,876; 6,602,070; 6,471,511 ("the '511 patent"); and 6,227,850. The complaint also alleged a

violation of section 337 by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets. The Commission's notice of investigation named OrthoClear, Inc. of San Francisco, California; OrthoClear Holdings, Inc. of Tortola, British Virgin Islands; and OrthoClear Pakistan Pvt. Ltd. of Lahore, Pakistan as respondents. On July 11, 2006, the ALJ granted Align's motion to terminate the investigation as to the '807 patent, which the Commission determined not to review. Order No. 10 (July 11, 2006), Notice of Non-Review (July 20, 2006).

On November 13, 2006, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the presiding administrative law judge's initial determination granting Align's and respondents' joint motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents (and in its entirety) based on a consent order. The consent order prohibits the importing, offering for sale, and selling for importation in the United States incremental dental positioning adjustment appliances and any other articles that infringe the asserted patents or that contain or use the asserted trade secrets.

On March 1, 2012, Align filed a complaint for enforcement proceedings under Commission Rule 210.75. On March 22, 2012, Align filed a corrected complaint for enforcement. Align asserts that the successors and bound officers of the original respondents have violated the November 13, 2006, consent order by the continued practice of prohibited activities such as importing, offering for sale, and selling for importation into the United States incremental dental positioning adjustment appliances and other related products that infringe the '511 and '880 patents. On March 23, 2012, counsel for proposed respondent ClearCorrect Operating, LLC ("ClearCorrect USA") submitted a letter opposing institution of the enforcement proceeding. On March 28, 2012, Align responded to ClearCorrect USA's submission.

Having examined the complaint seeking a formal enforcement proceeding, and having found that the complaint complies with the requirements for institution of a formal enforcement proceeding contained in Commission rule 210.75, the Commission has determined to institute formal enforcement proceedings to determine whether ClearCorrect USA of Houston, Texas; ClearCorrect Pakistan (Private), Ltd. ("ClearCorrect Pakistan") of Lahore, Pakistan; and Mudassar Rathore, Waqas Wahab, Nadeem Arif, and Asim Waheed ("the bound officers"), all c/o ClearCorrect Pakistan, are in violation of the November 13,

2006, consent order issued in the investigation with respect to the '511 and '880 patents, and what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate. The following entities are named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding: (1) Align; (2) respondents ClearCorrect USA, ClearCorrect Pakistan, and the bound officers; and (3) the Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

The Commission notes that the threshold issue appears to be whether the accused digital datasets identified in the enforcement complaint that are allegedly imported into the United States are within the scope of the articles covered by the consent order. In instituting this enforcement proceeding, the Commission has not made any determination as to whether such digital datasets are within the scope of the consent order sought to be enforced. Accordingly, the presiding administrative law judge may wish to consider this issue at an early date. Any such decision should be issued in the form of an initial determination ("ID") under Commission rule 210.42(c), 19 CFR 210.42(c). The ID will become the Commission's final determination 45 days after the date of service of the ID unless the Commission determines to review the ID. Any such review will be conducted in accordance with Commission rules 210.43, 210.4, and 210.45, 19 CFR 210.43, 210.44, and 210.45.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.75 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.75).

Issued: April 25, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein,*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10367 Filed 4-30-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-840]

**Certain Semiconductor Integrated
Circuit Devices and Products
Containing Same; Institution of
Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C.
1337****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 23, 2012, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Microchip Technology Incorporated of Chandler, Arizona. A corrected complaint was filed on March 30, 2012. An amended complaint and supplement were filed on April 16, 2012. The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor integrated circuit devices and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,225,088 ("the '088 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,245,597 ("the '597 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,159,765 ("the '765 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 5,760,720 ("the '720 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,559,783 ("the '783 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 6,847,904 ("the '904 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of the Secretary, Docket Services, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-1802.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules

of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 24, 2012, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor integrated circuit devices and products containing same that infringe one or more of claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 16-18, 25, 26, and 32 of the '088 patent; claims 3, 7, 8, 11, 12, and 14 of the '597 patent; claims 1-6 of the '765 patent; claims 12 and 14 of the '720 patent; claims 1, 5, 7, 9, 14-17, 19-24, 26, 28-33, 35, 37, 38, and 41 of the '904 patent; and claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, and 12 of the '783 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Microchip Technology Incorporated, 2355 West Chandler Boulevard, Chandler, AZ 85224-6199.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Intersil Corporation, 1001 Murphy Ranch Road, Milpitas, CA 95035. Zilker Labs, Inc., 4301 Westbank Drive, Building A-100, Austin, TX 78746. Techwell LLC, 1001 Murphy Ranch Road, Milpitas, CA 95035.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

(4) The Office of Unfair Import Investigation will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of

time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: April 25, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-10366 Filed 4-30-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-12-014]

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: May 15, 2012 at 9:30 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. No. 731-TA-860 (Second Review) (Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before May 25, 2012.
5. Vote in Inv. No. 731-TA-891 (Second Review) (Foundry Coke from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before May 29, 2012.
6. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.