collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.


Title of Proposal: Generic Clearance Authority for the National Endowment for the Humanities.

OMB Number: 3136–0134.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Affected Public: Applicants to NEH grant programs, reviewers of NEH grant applications, and NEH grantees.

Total Respondents: 6,978.

Average Time per Response: varied according to type of information collection.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 68,375 hours.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: This submission requests approval from OMB for a three year extension of NEH's currently approved generic clearance authority for all NEH information collections other than one-time evaluations, questionnaires and surveys. Generic clearance authority would include approval of forms and instructions for application to NEH grant programs, reporting forms for NEH grantees, panelists and reviewers and for program evaluation purposes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan C. Daisey, Director, Office of Grant Management, National Endowment for the Humanities, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Room 311, Washington, DC 20506, or by email to: sdaisey@neh.gov. Telephone: 202–606–8404.

Carole Watson,
Deputy Chairman, National Endowment for the Humanities.

[FR Doc. 2012–10196 Filed 4–27–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463 as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Site visit review of the Materials Research Science and Engineering Center (MRSEC) at the University of Nebraska Lincoln by the Division of Materials Research (DMR) #1203.

Dates & Times: May 21, 2012; 7:15 a.m.–8:30 p.m., May 22, 2012; 7:15 a.m.–3:00 p.m.
Place: University of Nebraska Lincoln, Lincoln, NE.

Type of Meeting: Part open.

Contact Person for More Information: Dr. Sean L. Jones, Program Director, Materials Research Science and Engineering Centers Program, Division of Materials Research, Room 1065, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Telephone (703) 292–2986.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning further support of the MRSEC at the University of Nebraska.

Agency:

Monday, May 21, 2012
7:15 a.m.–4:30 p.m. Open—Review of the MRSEC
5 p.m.–6:45 p.m. Closed—Executive Session
6:45 p.m.–8:30 p.m. Open—Dinner

Tuesday, May 22, 2012
7:15 a.m.–9:50 a.m. Closed—Executive Session
9:50 a.m.–3 p.m. Closed—Executive Session, Draft and Review Report
10:00 a.m.–11:30 a.m. Open—Discussion
11:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m. Closed—Executive Session
12:30 p.m.–2:30 p.m. Lunch—Expedited Clearance
3 p.m.–5 p.m. Closed—Executive Session
5 p.m.–6:45 p.m. Closed—Executive Session
6:45 p.m.–8:30 p.m. Open—Dinner

Agenda:

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

NRL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC–2012–0081]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment about our intention to request the OMB’s approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below. We are required to publish this notice in the Federal Register under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection: Request for Information Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 50.54(f), Regarding Recommendations 2.1, 2.3 and 9.3, of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Event.

2. Current OMB approval number: 3150–0211.

3. How often the collection is required: Once.

4. Who is required or asked to report: 104 power reactor licensees, 2 reactors in the process of resuming licensing, and 2 Combined License applicants (with 2 units each).

5. The number of annual respondents: 110.

6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 369,960 hours.

7. Abstract: Following events at the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant resulting from the March 11, 2011, earthquake and subsequent tsunami, and in response to requirements contained in Section 402 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 112–074), the NRC sought an expedited clearance from OMB to allow the collection of information from power reactor licensees pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f). OMB approved this clearance, which will expire on September 30, 2012. The NRC is currently preparing to resubmit the collection to OMB under normal clearance processes. The information requested includes seismic and flooding hazard reevaluations to determine if further regulatory action is necessary, walkdowns to confirm compliance with the current licensing basis and provide input to the hazard reevaluations, and analysis of the Emergency Preparedness capability with respect to staffing and communication ability during a prolonged multiunit event.

Submit, by June 29, 2012, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?
The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly available documents, including the draft supporting statement, at the NRC’s Public Document Room, Room O–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC’s Web site: http://www.regulations.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. Comments submitted should reference Docket No. NRC–2012–0081.


You may submit comments by any of the following methods:
- Mail comments to: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.
- Fax comments to: RADB at 301–492–3446.

You may submit comments by the following methods:
- Mail comments to: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.
- Fax comments to: RADB at 301–492–3446.

For additional direction on accessing information and submitting comments, see “Accessing Information and Submitting Comments” in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2012–0098 in the subject line of your comment submission, in order to ensure that the NRC is able to make your comment submission available to the public in this docket.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. The NRC does not edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information. If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information in their comment submissions that they do not want to be publicly disclosed. Your request should state that the NRC will not edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information in their comment submissions that they do not want to be publicly disclosed. Your request should state that the NRC will not edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

The NRC has developed the EPFAQ program for the staff to provide clarification of guidance documents online in the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search”. For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The draft Emergency Preparedness Frequently Asked Questions is available electronically under ADAMS Accession Number ML12108A151, and it is also available on the NRC’s Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/emergency-preparedness/faq/faq-contactus.html.

The NRC’s PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

II. Background

The NRC has developed the EPFAQ program for the staff to provide clarification of guidance [ADAMS Accession Number for the EPFAQ process is ML112650253]. This process is intended to describe the manner in which the NRC may provide interested outside parties an opportunity to share their individual views with NRC staff regarding the appropriate response to questions raised on interpretation or applicability of EP guidance issued or endorsed by the NRC, before the NRC