

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

#### **PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS**

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233.

2. Add a temporary section, § 100.35T05–0276 to read as follows:

#### **§ 100.35T05–0276 Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Potomac River, National Harbor Access Channel, MD.**

(a) *Regulated area.* The following location is a regulated area: All waters of the Potomac River, within lines connecting the following positions: From 38°47'35" N, longitude 077°02'22" W, thence to latitude 38°47'12" N, longitude 077°00'57" W, and from latitude 38°47'24" N, longitude 077°03'03" W to latitude 38°46'54" N, longitude 077°01'09" W. All coordinates reference Datum NAD 1983.

(b) *Definitions:* (1) *Coast Guard Patrol Commander* means a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard who has been designated by the Commander, Coast Guard Sector Baltimore.

(2) *Official Patrol* means any vessel assigned or approved by Commander, Coast Guard Sector Baltimore with a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board and displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

(c) *Special local regulations:* (1) The Coast Guard Patrol Commander may forbid and control the movement of all vessels and persons in the regulated area. When hailed or signaled by an official patrol vessel, a vessel or person in the regulated area shall immediately comply with the directions given. Failure to do so may result in expulsion from the area, citation for failure to comply, or both.

(2) Persons desiring to transit the regulated area must first obtain authorization from the Captain of the Port Baltimore or his designated representative. To seek permission to transit the area, the Captain of the Port Baltimore and his designated representatives can be contacted at telephone number 410–576–2693 or on Marine Band Radio, VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz). All Coast Guard vessels enforcing this regulated area can be contacted on marine band radio VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz).

(3) The Coast Guard will publish a notice in the Fifth Coast Guard District Local Notice to Mariners and issue a marine information broadcast on VHF–

FM marine band radio announcing specific event date and times.

(d) *Enforcement period:* This section will be enforced from 7 a.m. until 11 a.m. on July 8, 2012.

Dated: April 4, 2012.

**Mark P. O'Malley,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Baltimore.*

[FR Doc. 2012–10252 Filed 4–26–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

#### **DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS**

##### **38 CFR Part 3**

**RIN 2900–AN46**

#### **Notice of Information and Evidence Necessary To Substantiate Claim**

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs.

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** In a document published in the **Federal Register** on December 11, 2009, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) proposed to amend its regulations regarding VA's duty to notify a claimant of the information and evidence necessary to substantiate a claim. This document withdraws that proposed rule.

**DATES:** The proposed rule is withdrawn as of April 27, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sarah W. Fusina, Legal Consultant, Regulations Staff (211D), Compensation and Pension Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461–9700. (This is not a toll-free number.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 11, 2009, VA published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** (74 FR 65702), notifying the public of VA's intent to amend its regulations regarding its duty to notify a claimant of information and evidence necessary to substantiate a claim. The purpose was to implement the Veterans' Benefits Improvement Act of 2008, which required the promulgation of regulations prescribing the requirements relating to the content of notice to be provided under 38 U.S.C. 5103(a). Public Law 110–389, 122 Stat. 4145, 4147. VA received several comments raising concerns with the proposed rule, including concerns relating to the establishment of effective dates, the clarity of what types of evidence are accepted, the specificity of the contents for notice, and the general clarity and consistency of the text of the proposed rule. Based on consideration of

comments received on the proposed rule and further evaluation of available options, VA intends to propose revised rules warranting a new notice of proposed rulemaking and public-comment period. Thus, VA is withdrawing the proposed rule.

Approved: April 19, 2012.

**John R. Gingrich,**

*Chief of Staff, Department of Veterans Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2012–10259 Filed 4–26–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

##### **40 CFR Part 52**

**[EPA–R09–OAR–2012–0274; FRL–9665–7]**

#### **Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Imperial County Air Pollution Control District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from certain boilers, process heaters and steam generators. We are approving a local rule that regulates these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by May 29, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09–OAR–2012–0274, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the on-line instructions.

2. *Email:* [steckel.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:steckel.andrew@epa.gov).

3. *Mail or deliver:* Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

*Instructions:* All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and

should not be submitted through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

*Docket:* Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the

docket are listed at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew Steckel, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4115, [steckel.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:steckel.andrew@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. The State’s Submittal

- A. What rule did the State submit?
- B. Are there other versions of this rule?
- C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule?
- II. EPA’s Evaluation and Action
  - A. How is EPA evaluating the rule?
  - B. Does the rule meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. EPA Recommendations To Further Improve the Rule
  - D. Public Comment and Final Action
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. The State’s Submittal**

*A. What rule did the State submit?*

Table 1 lists the rule addressed by this proposal with the date that it was adopted by the local air agency and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED RULE

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
ICAPCD .....	400.2	Boilers, Process Heaters and Steam Generators .....	02/23/10	07/20/10

On August 25, 2010, EPA determined that the submittal for ICAPCD Rule 400.2 met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR Part 51 Appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

*B. Are there other versions of this rule?*

There are no previous versions of Rule 400.2.

*C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule?*

NO<sub>x</sub> helps produce ground-level ozone, smog and particulate matter, which harm human health and the environment. Section 110(a) of the CAA requires States to submit regulations that control NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Rule 400.2 regulates emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> from boilers, process heaters and steam generators with a heat input rating of 5 MMBtu/hour or more. EPA’s technical support document (TSD) has more information about this rule.

**II. EPA’s Evaluation and Action**

*A. How is EPA evaluating the rule?*

Generally, SIP rules must be enforceable (see section 110(a) of the Act), must require Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for each category of sources covered by a Control Techniques Guidelines (CTG) document as well as each NO<sub>x</sub> or VOC major source in nonattainment areas classified as moderate or above (see sections 182(b)(2) and 182(f)), and must not relax existing requirements (see sections 110(l) and 193). The ICAPCD regulates an ozone nonattainment area classified

as moderate (see 40 CFR part 81). Because Rule 400.2 regulates major stationary sources of NO<sub>x</sub>, it must fulfill NO<sub>x</sub> RACT requirements. On December 3, 2009, EPA determined that ICAPCD attained the 1997 8-hour NAAQS for ozone based upon ambient air monitoring data showing the area had monitored attainment during the 2006–2008 monitoring period (74 FR 63309). This determination suspended some of the planning requirements related to attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS but not the Section 182(b)(2) and 182(f) RACT requirements for major NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources. The ICAPCD also regulates a serious PM–10 nonattainment area, and is therefore subject to the requirement under sections 189(b)(1)(B) and 189(e) of the Act to implement Best Available Control Measures (BACM, which includes Best Available Control Technology or BACT) for control of PM–10 and PM–10 precursor emissions.

Guidance and policy documents that we use to evaluate enforceability and RACT requirements consistently include the following:

1. “State Implementation Plans; Nitrogen Oxides Supplement to the General Preamble; Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 Implementation of Title I; Proposed Rule,” (the NO<sub>x</sub> Supplement), 57 FR 55620, November 25, 1992.
2. “Issues Relating to VOC Regulation Cutpoints, Deficiencies, and Deviations,” EPA, May 25, 1988 (the Bluebook).
3. “Guidance Document for Correcting Common VOC & Other Rule Deficiencies,”

EPA Region 9, August 21, 2001 (the Little Bluebook).

4. “State Implementation Plans for Serious PM–10 Nonattainment Areas, and Attainment Date Waivers for PM–10 Nonattainment Areas Generally; Addendum to the General Preamble for the Implementation of Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990,” 59 FR 41998 (August 16, 1994).

5. “PM–10 Guideline Document,” EPA 452/R–93–008, April 1993.

6. “Determination of Reasonably Available Control Technology and Best Available Retrofit Control Technology for Industrial, Institutional, and Commercial Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters,” CARB, July 18, 1991.

7. “Alternative Control Techniques Document—NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions from Industrial/Commercial/Institutional (ICI) Boilers,” US EPA 453/R–94–022, March 1994.

8. “Alternative Control Techniques Document—NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions from Utility Boilers,” US EPA 452/R–93–008, March 1994.

*B. Does the rule meet the evaluation criteria?*

We believe this rule is consistent with the relevant policy and guidance regarding enforceability, RACT, and SIP relaxations. The TSD has more information on our evaluation.

*C. EPA Recommendations To Further Improve the Rule*

The TSD describes additional rule revisions that we recommend for the next time the local agency modifies the rule but are not currently the basis for rule disapproval.

#### D. Public Comment and Final Action

Because EPA believes the submitted rule fulfills all relevant requirements, we are proposing to fully approve it as described in section 110(k)(3) of the Act. We will accept comments from the public on this proposal for the next 30 days. Unless we receive convincing new information during the comment period, we intend to publish a final approval action that will incorporate this rule into the federally enforceable SIP.

### III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this proposed action merely proposes to approve State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address

disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed action does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Environmental protection, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: April 13, 2012.

**Jared Blumenfeld,**

*Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10201 Filed 4-26-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0082; FRL-9634-2]

#### Revisions to the Hawaii State Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Hawaii State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC), oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), and particulate matter (PM) emissions from motor vehicles, water separation, pumps, compressors, waste gas, and open burning, as well as several administrative requirements. We are proposing to approve several local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by May 29, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0082, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the on-line instructions.
2. *Email:* [steckel.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:steckel.andrew@epa.gov).
3. *Mail or deliver:* Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

**Instructions:** All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email.

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

**Docket:** Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nicole Law, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4126, [law.nicole@epa.gov](mailto:law.nicole@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the following local rules: Hawaii State Department of Health Rules 11-60.1-1, 11-60.1-2, 11-60.1-4, 11-60.1-8, 11-60.1-11, 11-60.1-14, 11-60.1-15, 11-60.1-16, 11-60.1-17, 11-60.1-20, 11-60.1-32, 11-60.1-34, 11-60.1-40, 11-60.1-41, 11-60.1-42, 11-60.1-51, 11-60.1-53, 11-60.1-54, and 11-60.1-56. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the