

selecting the Preferred Alternative described in the USACE's Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for the Martin County HSDR Project (August 2011). BOEM will enter into a negotiated agreement for the purpose of making sand available from a shoal on the OCS for placement on the beach in support of the beach nourishment, following the mandated 30-day wait period from the date of the issuance of the ROD. BOEM is announcing the availability of this ROD in accordance with the regulations implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The Deputy Director for the BOEM signed the ROD on March 28, 2012.

Authority: This NOA of the ROD is published pursuant to the regulations (40 CFR 1506.6) implementing the provisions of the NEPA of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description of the Martin County HSDR Project and BOEM's Connected Action

The USACE and Martin County Board of Commissioners have proposed to reduce the potential for damage to, or loss of, coastal properties and habitat along Hutchinson Island, Florida from storm-induced wave impacts and coastal erosion. In the Congressionally-authorized Martin County HSDR Project, the USACE will nourish 4 miles of beach using a sea-turtle friendly design template. The purpose of BOEM's connected action is to respond to the request for use of OCS sand in the beach nourishment, under the authority granted to the Department of the Interior by the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (OCSLA) (43 U.S.C. 1337(k)(2)). Under OCSLA, BOEM can convey, on a noncompetitive basis, the rights to use OCS sand, gravel, or shell resources for use in a program for shore protection, beach restoration or coastal wetland restoration undertaken by a Federal, state, or local government agency (43 U.S.C. 1337(k)(2)). The BOEM is undertaking this action to respond to the USACE's and Martin County Board of Commissioners' request to use OCS sand resources. The Secretary of the Interior delegated the authority granted in the OCSLA to BOEM.

Record of Decision

The BOEM's decision is supported by the comprehensive analysis presented in the USACE's Final SEIS. The SEIS assessed the physical, biological, and social/human impacts of the proposed project and considered a range of non-structural alternatives, including a no-action alternative, as well as impacts from proposed mitigation. The SEIS was developed cooperatively to fulfill all

Federal agencies' obligations under NEPA and the environmental impacts of their connected actions were encompassed in the analysis. As the USACE is the lead agency and BOEM is a cooperating agency for the proposed action, BOEM independently reviewed and adopted the SEIS prepared by the USACE (43 CFR 46.120). The USACE published its ROD in February 2012.

The BOEM ROD summarizes the alternatives considered by BOEM, the decision BOEM made, the basis for the decision, the environmentally preferable alternative, required mitigation measures, and the process the USACE and BOEM, as a cooperating agency, undertook to involve the public and other Federal and state agencies. The decision identifies and adopts mitigation measures and monitoring requirements enforceable by BOEM and deemed practicable to avoid or minimize the environmental harm that could result from the project. In the USACE's ROD, the USACE committed to implementing the mitigation measures and monitoring requirements identified in BOEM's ROD. This action is taken with the understanding that any proposed use of OCS sand in future beach re-nourishment activities by the USACE will require a new negotiated agreement and an updated environmental analysis.

Availability of ROD

To obtain a printed copy of the ROD, you may contact BOEM, Office of Environmental Programs (HM 3107), 381 Elden Street, Herndon, Virginia 20170. An electronic copy of the ROD is available at BOEM's web site at: [<http://www.boem.gov/Non-Energy-Minerals/Marine-Minerals-Program.aspx>].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James F. Bennett, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Division of Environmental Assessment, 381 Elden Street, HM 3107, Herndon, Virginia 20170, (703) 787-1660, jfbennett@boem.gov.

Dated: April 18, 2012.

Walter D. Cruickshank,

Deputy Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2012-10109 Filed 4-25-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-VH-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: Leased/Charter Flight Personnel Expedited Clearance Request

Correction

In notice document 2012-8934, appearing on page 22346 in the issue of April 13, 2012, make the following correction:

1. On page 22346, in the second column, in the first full paragraph, in the twelfth through fifteenth lines "[The **Federal Register** will insert the date 60 days from the date this notice is published in the **Federal Register**]." should read "June 12, 2012."

[FR Doc. C1-2012-8934 Filed 4-25-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on April 16, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. James Y. Saporito*, Civil Action No. 07-cv-03169, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division.

In this action, the United States sought on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency recovery of response costs incurred in conducting removal activities resulting from the actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Crescent Plating Works Superfund Site in Chicago, Illinois, pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9607. The Consent Decree resolves the claims between the United States and James Y. Saporito ("Settling Defendant") for the amount of \$40,000, based upon the Settling Defendant's ability to pay.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to this Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United*

States v. James Y. Saporito, D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–08304/1.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to “Consent Decree Copy” (EESCDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–5271. If requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$7.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

Karen Dworkin,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2012–10024 Filed 4–25–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[CPCLO Order No. 007–2012]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Federal Bureau of Prisons, Department of Justice.

ACTION: Modified System of Records.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), notice is given that the Federal Bureau of Prisons (Bureau) proposes to modify in part its system of records entitled “Inmate Central Records System, JUSTICE/BOP–005.” The system notice, which was last published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2002 (67 FR 31371), and modified on January 25, 2007 (72 FR 3410), is now being modified by the Bureau for the reasons set forth below, as well as to reflect the overall modernization and technological changes of the Bureau’s electronic information systems, such as SENTRY and BOPWARE, that maintain its inmate central records.

The Bureau is making the following modifications:

The Bureau clarifies in the “System Location” section that the records contained in this system may be located

at any authorized Department of Justice location, in addition to the Central Office, Regional Offices, any of the Federal Bureau of Prisons and/or contractor-operated correctional facilities. This clarification is made to describe accurately where records from this system are located, and to reflect that the Bureau may store records at other locations, such as other Bureau administrative offices, or at any authorized Department of Justice locations.

The Bureau alters the “Categories of Individuals Covered by the System” to include individuals who may be committed to the custody of the Attorney General and/or the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, including those individuals under custody for criminal and civil commitments.

The Bureau is modifying and/or adding to the “Routine Use” section of the notice as follows:

The Bureau makes a minor amendment to more accurately cite a statutory reference in routine use (i), which allows for disclosure to the United States Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), pursuant to 38 U.S.C. 5106, Public Law 94–432, for the purpose of matching the data against VA records to determine the eligibility of Bureau inmates to receive veterans’ benefits. The incorrect citation to Public Law 96–385 is removed.

The Bureau adds a routine use to clarify Bureau practice in keeping the public informed: Routine use (r) explains that information that is available as a general public record may be disclosed from this system of records, including information such as name, offense, sentence data, current and past institution confinements, and release date to the extent that it does not cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This routine use is needed in order to allow the release of information that is available as a general public record to members of the public via the Bureau’s public Web site or via telephone.

The Bureau adds a routine use: Routine use (s), which permits disclosures required by statute or treaty.

The Bureau adds a routine use: Routine use (t), which permits disclosures to federal, state or community health care agencies and professionals, including physicians, psychiatrists, psychologists, and state and federal medical facility personnel, who are providing treatment for a pre-existing condition to former federal inmates, and to federal, state, or local health care agencies and professionals for the purpose of securing medical or mental health after-care for current

federal inmates. This routine use is needed to permit sharing of information to these entities in order to ensure continuity of inmate medical care.

The Bureau adds a routine use: Routine use (u) will permit disclosures to the Department of State (DOS), for the purposes of matching the data against DOS records for detection/prevention of criminal activity under 18 U.S.C. 1544. This routine use was requested by the Department of State in furtherance of their mission and to ensure that inmate identities are not fraudulently misappropriated for criminal/ unauthorized passport use.

The Bureau makes a slight change in the “Safeguards” section to clarify that only those authorized Department of Justice personnel who require access to perform their official duties may access the system equipment and the information in the system. Previously, this section referred to only Bureau staff. The Bureau makes this change to accurately reflect that this system is accessed by other authorized Department of Justice personnel.

The Bureau is adding a security classification of “Unclassified.”

The Bureau clarifies the section “Policies and Practices for Storing, Retrieving, Accessing, Retaining, and Disposing of Records in the System: Storage” to account for changes in terminology and updated technology. Specifically, BOP changes “stored in electronic media” to “stored electronically,” “client/server” to “servers,” and “magnetic tapes and/or optical disks” to “tape backup systems.” BOP further clarifies that documentary (physical, “hard copies,” paper, etc.) records are maintained in the same method as information maintained in the system or in manual file folders, but that some older records are maintained on microfilm, microfiche, and/or index card files.

The Bureau is proposing to exempt this system of records from certain provisions of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) and (k). Although this system of records was previously exempt from certain provisions of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2), the Bureau is seeking additional exemptions pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2) and adding exemptions pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k).

DATES: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4) and (11), the public is given a 30-day period in which to comment. Therefore, please submit any comments by May 29, 2012.

ADDRESSES: The public, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and Congress are invited to submit