

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Foreign-Trade Zones Board****[Order No. 1824]****Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 226 Under Alternative Site Framework Merced County, CA**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/2009; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/2009; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/2010) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Merced, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 226, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 84–2011, filed 12/23/2011) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area which includes portions of Fresno, Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Stanislaus and Tulare Counties, California as its service area, as described in the application, within and adjacent to the Fresno U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry, and FTZ 226's existing Sites 1, 2, 9, 10 and 11 would be categorized as magnet sites, existing Site 8 would be categorized as a usage-driven site, Sites 3, 4, 6, 7, 12 and 13 would be deleted and acreage reduced at existing Site 1;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 81912–81913, 12/29/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 226 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and to five-year ASF sunset provisions for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 2, 9 10 and 11 if not activated by April 30, 2017, and to a three-year sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Site 8 if no foreign-status

merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by April 30, 2015.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of April 2012.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–9821 Filed 4–23–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Foreign-Trade Zones Board****[Order No. 1821]****Voluntary Termination of Foreign-Trade Subzone 9D, Maui Pineapple Company, Ltd., Kahului, Maui, HI**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the Foreign-Trade Zones Board Regulations (15 CFR part 400), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) hereby adopts the following order:

Whereas, on April 25, 1986, the Board issued a grant of authority to the State of Hawaii (grantee of FTZ 9) authorizing the establishment of Foreign-Trade Subzone 9D at the Maui Pineapple Company, Ltd., facility in Kahului, Maui, Hawaii (Board Order 329, 51 FR 16367, 05/02/1986);

Whereas, the State of Hawaii has advised that zone procedures are no longer needed at the facility and requested voluntary termination of Subzone 9D (FTZ Docket 14–2012); and,

Whereas, the request has been reviewed by the FTZ Staff and U.S. Customs and Border Protection officials, and approval has been recommended;

Now, therefore, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board terminates the subzone status of Subzone 9D, effective this date.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of April 2012.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–9824 Filed 4–23–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****[A–475–828]****Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final No Shipment Determination**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 22, 2011, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (SSBW pipe fittings) from Italy.¹ This review covers two respondent companies and the period of review is from February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011. We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results but received no comments. Therefore, our final results remain unchanged from the preliminary results of review.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 24, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edythe Artman or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3931 or (202) 482–3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On December 22, 2011, the Department published the preliminary results of the current administrative review on SSBW pipe fittings from Italy in the **Federal Register**. See *Preliminary Results*. In these results, we preliminarily determined that the respondent Filmag Italia SRL (Filmag) had no reviewable transactions during the period of review. With respect to the respondent Tectubi Raccordi S.p.A. (Tectubi), we determined that it and two of its affiliates, Raccordi Forgiati S.r.l. (Raccordi) and Allied International S.r.l. (Allied) should be treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating a dumping margin pursuant to the provisions of 19 CFR 351.401(f) and consequently, we calculated a preliminary dumping margin based on the sales information reported by Tectubi for all three companies.

¹ See *Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary No Shipment Determination*, 76 FR 79651 (December 22, 2011) (*Preliminary Results*).

We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of review but received no comments and did not receive any requests for a hearing.

Period of Review

The period of review is February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011.

Scope of the Order

For purposes of the order, the product covered is certain stainless steel, butt-weld pipe fittings. SSBW pipe fittings are under 14 inches in outside diameter (based on nominal pipe size), whether finished or unfinished. The product encompasses all grades of stainless steel and "commodity" and "specialty" fittings. Specifically excluded from the definition are threaded, grooved, and bolted fittings, and fittings made from any material other than stainless steel.

The butt-weld fittings subject to the order are generally designated under specification ASTM A403/A403M, the standard specification for Wrought Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping Fittings, or its foreign equivalents (e.g., DIN or JIS specifications). This specification covers two general classes of fittings, WP and CR, of wrought austenitic stainless steel fittings of seamless and welded construction covered by the latest revision of ANSI B16.9, ANSI B16.11, and ANSI B16.28. Butt-weld fittings manufactured to specification ASTM A774, or its foreign equivalents, are also covered by the order.

The order does not apply to cast fittings. Cast austenitic stainless steel pipe fittings are covered by specifications A351/A351M, A743/743M, and A744/A744M.

The butt-weld fittings subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheading 7307.23.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Determination of No Shipments

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, Filmag stated that it had no sales of subject merchandise during the period of review in response to our antidumping questionnaire and we were able to confirm with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that the company had no entries of subject merchandise during this period. Based on this evidence, we preliminarily determined that Filmag had no reviewable transactions during the period of review. We further found that if, in the final results, we continued to

find that Filmag had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise, we would instruct CBP to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by Filmag but exported by other parties at the all-others rate.² Because we have no basis to find otherwise, we continue to find that Filmag had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise during the period of review for the final results of review. Furthermore, we continue to find that it is more consistent with our May 6, 2003, "automatic assessment" clarification³ not to rescind the review in part in these circumstances but, rather, to complete the review with respect to Filmag and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on our final results. See the "Assessment Rates" section of this notice below.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted average margins (percent)
Tectubi Raccordi S.p.A./ Raccordi Forgiati S.r.l./Allied International S.r.l.	0.00
Filmag Italia SRL	*

* No shipments or sales subject to this review. The firm does not have an individual rate from a prior segment of the proceeding.

Assessment Rates

We will instruct CBP to apply a dumping margin of zero percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the period of review that were produced by Tectubi or Raccordi and exported and imported by Tectubi.⁴

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See *Assessment of Antidumping Duties*. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by Tectubi, Raccordi

² See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal From the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal From the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010).

³ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment of Antidumping Duties*).

⁴ Although we found it appropriate to collapse the sales information reported by Tectubi, Raccordi and Allied for our margin analysis, all subject merchandise under review was produced by Tectubi or Raccordi, exported by Tectubi and imported by Tectubi.

and Filmag for which they did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate of 26.59 percent, established in the less-than-fair-value investigation of the order,⁵ if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, consistent with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise manufactured and exported by the collapsed Tectubi companies (i.e., Tectubi, Raccordi and Allied), the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies other than the collapsed Tectubi companies, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most-recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, the prior review, or the investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash-deposit rate will be the all-others rate of 26.59 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative

⁵ See *Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines*, 66 FR 11257, 11258 (February, 23, 2001).

protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation, which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: April 17, 2012.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-9819 Filed 4-23-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-853]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 7, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise: Jungbunzlauer Canada Inc. (JBL Canada). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2010, through April 30, 2011.

No interested party submitted comments on the preliminary results. We have made no changes to the margin calculation for the final results of this review. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for JBL Canada is listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Kate Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;

telephone (202) 482-4007 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise: JBL Canada.

On February 7, 2012, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada. See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 6061 (February 7, 2012) (*Preliminary Results*).

We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review. No interested party submitted comments. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The scope of this order includes all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend. The scope of this order also includes all forms of crude calcium citrate, including dicalcium citrate monohydrate, and tricalcium citrate tetrahydrate, which are intermediate products in the production of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate. The scope of this order does not include calcium citrate that satisfies the standards set forth in the United States Pharmacopeia and has been mixed with a functional excipient, such as dextrose or starch, where the excipient constitutes at least 2 percent, by weight, of the product. The scope of this order includes the hydrous and anhydrous forms of citric acid, the dihydrate and anhydrous forms of sodium citrate, otherwise known as citric acid sodium salt, and the monohydrate and monopotassium forms of potassium citrate. Sodium citrate also includes both trisodium citrate and monosodium citrate, which are also known as citric acid trisodium salt and citric acid monosodium salt, respectively. Citric acid and sodium citrate are classifiable under 2918.14.0000 and 2918.15.1000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the

United States (HTSUS), respectively. Potassium citrate and crude calcium citrate are classifiable under 2918.15.5000 and 3824.90.9290 of the HTSUS, respectively. Blends that include citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate are classifiable under 3824.90.9290 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Period of Review

The POR is May 1, 2010, through April 30, 2011.

Final Results of the Review

We determine that a weighted-average dumping margin exists for JBL Canada for the period May 1, 2010, through April 30, 2011, as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
Jungbunzlauer Canada Inc	2.34

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Pursuant to 19 CFR 356.8(a), the Department intends to issue appropriate appraisal instructions for the respondent subject to this review directly to CBP 41 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

For those sales where JBL Canada reported the entered value of its U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales to that importer. For those sales where the respondent did not know the entered value or importer of its U.S. sales, we calculated customer-specific per-unit duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether the per-unit duty assessment rates are *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), we calculated customer-specific *ad valorem* ratios based on the estimated entered value.

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., at or