

205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to section 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Anu IP LLC on April 18, 2012. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic devices having a retractable USB connector. The complaint names as respondents AIPTEK International, Inc. of Taiwan; Aluratek, Inc. of CA; Archos S. A. of France; Archos, Inc. of CO; Bluostar Alliance LLC of NY; Centon Electronics, Inc. of CA; Coby Electronics Corporation of NY; Corsair Memory, Inc. of CA; Emtec Electronics, Inc. of OH; General Imaging Company of CA; Huawei Technology Company, Ltd. of China; Iriver, Inc. of CA; JVC Kenwood Corporation of Japan; JVC Americas Corporation of NJ; Latte Communications, Inc. of CA; Lexar Media, Inc. of CA; Maxell Corporation of America, Inc. of NJ; Hitachi Maxell, Ltd. of Japan; Office Depot, Inc. of FL; Olympus Corporation of Japan; Olympus Corporation of the Americas of PA; Option NV of Belgium; Option, Inc. of GA; Panasonic Corporation of Japan; Panasonic Corporation North America of NJ; Patriot Memory LLC of CA; Provantage LLC of OH; RITEK Corporation of Taiwan; Advanced Media, Inc. (d/b/a RITEK U.S.A.) of CA; Sakar International, Inc. of NJ; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of South Korea; Samsung Electronics America of NJ; Sanyo Electric Co, Ltd. of Japan; Sanyo North America Corporation of CA; Silicon Power Computer and Comm., Inc. of Taiwan; Silicon Power Computer

and Comm. USA, Inc. of CA; Supersonic, Inc. of CA; Super Talent Technology Corporation of CA; Toshiba Corporation of Japan; Toshiba America, Inc. of NY; ViewSonic Corporation of CA; VOXX International Corporation of NY; Audiovox Accessories Corporation of IN; Yamaha Corporation of Japan; and Yamaha Corporation of America of CA.

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or section 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

- (i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;
- (ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;
- (iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;
- (iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and
- (v) Explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of

Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No.2892") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, [http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed\\_reg\\_notices/rules/handbook\\_on\\_electronic\\_filing.pdf](http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf)). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 19, 2012.

**James R. Holbein,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012–9785 Filed 4–23–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–839]

### Certain Consumer Electronics, Including Mobile Phones and Tablets; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 13, 2012, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Pragmatius AV, LLC of Alexandria, Virginia. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on March 30, 2012. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of

certain consumer electronics, including mobile phones and tablets, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,854,893 (“the ‘893 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,237,025 (“the ‘025 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,054,904 (“the ‘904 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,185,054 (“the ‘054 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,206,809 (“the ‘809 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

*Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 17, 2012, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain consumer electronics, including mobile phones and tablets, that infringe one or more of

claims 13, 15, and 16 of the ‘893 patent; claims 33–37 and 43 of the ‘025 patent; claims 17, 19, 20, 22, and 23 of the ‘904 patent; claims 10, 11, 13, and 14 of the ‘054 patent; claims 1–12, 34, 37, 40, and 41 of the ‘809 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 C.F.R. § 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors, 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Pragmatus AV, LLC, 601 King Street, Suite 200, Alexandria, VA 22314.

(b) The respondents the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: ASUSTeK Computer, Inc., 4F, 150, Li-Te Road, Beitou District, Taipei City, Taiwan; ASUS Computer International, Inc., 800 Corporate Way, Fremont, CA 94539; HTC Corporation, 23 Xinghua Road, Taoyuan, 330, Taiwan; HTC America, Inc., 13920 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 400, Bellevue, WA 98005; LG Electronics, Inc., LG Twin Towers, 20, Yoido-dong, Youngdungpo-gu, Seoul, 157-721, Republic of Korea; LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., 1000 Sylvan Ave., Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632; LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc., 10101 Old Grove Road, San Diego, CA 92131; Pantech Co., Ltd., 1-2, DMC Sangam-don Mapo-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea; Pantech Wireless, Inc., 5607 Glenridge Drive, Suite 500, Atlanta, GA 30342; Research In Motion Ltd., 295 Phillip Street, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3W8, Canada; Research In Motion Corp., 122 W. John Carpenter Parkway, Suite 430, Irving, TX 75039; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd, 1320-10, Seocho 2-dong Seocho-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 105 Challenger Rd., Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660;

Samsung Telecommunications America, L.L.C., 1301 East Lookout Drive, Richardson, TX 75082.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 18, 2012.

**James R. Holbein,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-9767 Filed 4-23-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on April 16, 2012 a proposed Consent Decree (“Decree”) in *United States of America and the State of Tennessee v. the City of Memphis* (“City”), Civil Action No. 2:10-CV-02083-SHM-dkv was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee. This Decree represents a settlement of claims against the City of Memphis under