

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Margaret Sieffert, Environmental Engineer, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard (AT-18J), Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-1151, [sieffert.margaret@epa.gov](mailto:sieffert.margaret@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 9, 2012.

**Susan Hedman,**  
Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 2012-9722 Filed 4-23-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

---

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 1 and 25

[IB Docket No. 11-133; DA 12-573]

#### Foreign Ownership Policies

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

---

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the International Bureau, on behalf of the Commission, seeks further comment on an approach to policies and procedures that apply to foreign ownership of common carrier radio station licensees pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("the Act"). It seeks comment because this approach was not discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking initiating this docket or in

the comments filed to date in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments shall be filed May 15, 2012. Reply comments shall be filed May 25, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** All pleadings are to reference IB Docket No. 11-133. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies.

■ **Electronic Filers:** Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: <http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/>.

■ **Paper Filers:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number.

Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th St. SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building. The filing hours are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743. U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**People with Disabilities:** To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (tty).

In addition, one copy of each pleading must be sent to each of the following:

(1) The Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, [www.bcpweb.com](http://www.bcpweb.com); telephone: (800) 378-3160, fax: (202) 488-5563;

(2) James Ball, Chief, Policy Division, International Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Room 7-A760, Washington, DC 20554; email: [james.ball@fcc.gov](mailto:james.ball@fcc.gov);

(3) Howard Griboff, Deputy Chief, Policy Division, International Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Room 7-A662, Washington, DC 20554; email: [howard.griboff@fcc.gov](mailto:howard.griboff@fcc.gov);

(4) Kathleen Collins, Attorney-Advisor, Policy Division, International Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Room 7-A515, Washington, DC 20554; email: [kathleen.collins@fcc.gov](mailto:kathleen.collins@fcc.gov).

Filings and comments are also available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. They may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone: (800) 378-3160, fax: (202) 488-5563, or via its Web site, <http://www.bcpweb.com>.

This matter shall be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules, 47 CFR 1.1200 *et seq.* Persons making *ex parte* presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the *ex parte* presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter's written comments, memoranda or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during *ex parte* meetings are deemed to be written *ex parte* presentations and must be filed consistent with rule § 1.1206(b). In proceedings governed by rule § 1.49(f) or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written *ex parte* presentations and memoranda summarizing oral *ex parte* presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system

available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (*e.g.*, .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission's *ex parte* rules.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kathleen Collins, Attorney-Advisor, Policy Division, International Bureau at (202) 418-1474 or *kathleen.collins@fcc.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Public Notice, DA 12-573, released on April 11, 2012. The full text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone: (800) 378-3160, fax: (202) 488-5563, or via its Web site, <http://www.bcpweb.com>. The complete text is also available on the Commission's Web site at [http://transition.fcc.gov/Daily\\_Releases/Daily\\_Business/2012/db0411/DA-12-573A1.pdf](http://transition.fcc.gov/Daily_Releases/Daily_Business/2012/db0411/DA-12-573A1.pdf). To request the document in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to *fcc504@fcc.gov* or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice), 202-418-0432 (tty).

*In Review of Foreign Ownership Policies for Common Carrier and Aeronautical Radio Licensees under Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended*, IB Docket No. 11-133, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 11-121, 26 FCC Rcd 11703 (2011) (*Section 310(b)(4) NPRM*), the Commission sought comment on proposals to revise and simplify the policies and procedures that apply to foreign ownership of common carrier and aeronautical radio station licensees pursuant to section 310(b)(4) of the Act. Although the Commission did not specifically seek comment on its policies and procedures relating to section 310(b)(3), several commenters asked the Commission to find that all "indirect" foreign interests in a common carrier licensee should be governed under section 310(b)(4), rather than section 310(b)(3). They are concerned that applying section 310(b)(3) to "indirect" foreign interests in common carrier licensees may limit the flexibility of foreign investors in structuring their investments. Commenters also state that

applying section 310(b)(3) to foreign interests in a licensee held through an intervening U.S.-organized entity that does not control the licensee (which commenters term "indirect non-controlling" foreign interests) is inconsistent with the U.S. commitments made in the World Trade Organization (WTO) Basic Telecom Agreement. Commenters state that a determination that section 310(b)(3) does not apply in this situation would be one of the "most helpful actions" the Commission could take to further this proceeding's goals of reducing unnecessary regulatory barriers to foreign investment that can benefit innovation, economic growth, and employment in the United States.

By this Public Notice, the International Bureau seeks public comment on an approach not specifically raised by the comments to date. In particular, we invite comment on the legal and policy implications of forbearing under section 10 of the Act, 47 U.S.C. 160, from applying section 310(b)(3) to certain foreign interests in common carrier licensees if—contrary to the comments discussed above—section 310(b)(3) is interpreted as applying to foreign interests in a broadcast, common carrier or aeronautical licensee held through an intervening U.S.-organized entity that itself holds non-controlling equity and voting interests in the licensee.<sup>1</sup> Section 10 provides that the Commission shall forbear from applying any regulation or any provision of the Act to a telecommunications carrier if the Commission determines that: (1) Enforcement of such regulation or provision is not necessary to ensure that the charges, practices, classifications, or regulations by, for, or in connection with that telecommunications carrier or telecommunications service are just and reasonable and are not unjustly or unreasonably discriminatory; (2) enforcement of such regulation or provision is not necessary for the protection of consumers; and (3) forbearance from applying such

<sup>1</sup> There is Commission precedent that has applied section 310(b)(4) where a foreign government, entity or individual holds interests in a U.S.-organized entity that itself *controls* a broadcast, common carrier, or aeronautical radio station licensee, and section 310(b)(3) where a foreign government, entity or individual holds interests in a licensee through a U.S.-organized entity that has *non-controlling* interests in the licensee. See, e.g., *Wilner & Scheiner I*, 103 F.C.C. 2d 511, 521-24, paragraphs 17-22 & nn. 44-56 (1985), *recon.*, *Wilner & Scheiner II*, 1 FCC Rcd 12 (1986); *Applications of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Atlantis Holdings LLC*, WT Docket No. 08-95, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Declaratory Ruling, 23 FCC Rcd 17444, 17545-46, paragraph 231 & nn. 799-803, 17547, paragraph 237 (2008). Commenters assert there is contrary precedent.

provision or regulation is consistent with the public interest. 47 U.S.C. 160.

Under a forbearance approach, the Commission might forbear from applying section 310(b)(3) to foreign interests held in a common carrier licensee, through a U.S.-organized entity that does not control the licensee, that would exceed 20 percent of the licensee's equity interests and/or 20 percent of its voting interests, where the Commission finds the particular foreign interests to be consistent with the foreign ownership policies the Commission applies under section 310(b)(4) of the Act. The Commission would not grant forbearance when applying section 310(b)(3) to broadcast, aeronautical fixed, and aeronautical en route licenses, as these services are not telecommunications services to which section 10 forbearance applies. Foreign ownership of broadcasting licenses, moreover, raises distinct policy issues, see *Section 310(b)(4) NPRM*, 26 FCC Rcd at 11704, n.3, and is not subject to the WTO Basic Telecom Agreement.

We seek comment on this general approach. We specifically seek comment on whether a forbearance approach would satisfy the three requirements of section 10 of the Act. We further request comment on whether this forbearance approach would permit the Commission to authorize greater than 20 percent foreign interests held in a common carrier licensee, through a U.S.-organized entity that does not control the licensee, when those interests would be consistent with the public interest under the policy framework established by section 310(b)(4) and the *Foreign Participation Order*, 12 FCC Rcd 23891 (1997).<sup>2</sup> We ask whether such a forbearance approach would treat all "indirect" foreign interests similarly (whether through a controlling or non-controlling

<sup>2</sup> The section 310(b)(4) policy framework employs an open entry standard for foreign investment from WTO Member countries in U.S. basic telecommunications markets. In the *Foreign Participation Order*, which adopted this standard, the Commission concluded, pursuant to the discretionary authority granted to the Commission in section 310(b)(4), that the public interest would be served by permitting greater investment by foreign individuals and entities from WTO Member countries in the U.S.-organized entities that control common carrier and aeronautical radio licensees. See *Foreign Participation Order*, 12 FCC Rcd at 23891-97, paragraphs 1-12, 23935-42, paragraphs 97-118. The Commission adopted a rebuttable presumption by which it presumes that foreign investment from WTO Member countries does not pose competitive concerns in the U.S. market. See also *Section 310(b)(4) NPRM*, 26 FCC Rcd at 11705, paragraph 2, nn.4-5. The language of section 310(b)(3) does not include the public interest test set forth in section 310(b)(4). 47 U.S.C. 310(b)(3).

U.S. organized entity), as requested by commenters.

We further seek public comment on whether forbearance from application of section 310(b)(3) in this context, if adopted by the Commission, should apply procedures like those used when licensees seek Commission approval to exceed the 25 percent foreign ownership benchmark in section 310(b)(4). If that approach were applied, it would require licensees to file a petition for declaratory ruling when seeking Commission approval of foreign interests held in a common carrier licensee, through an intervening U.S. entity that does not control the licensee, that would exceed 20 percent of the equity interests and/or 20 percent of the voting interests in the licensee. The Commission would place the petition on notice for public comment and forward the petition to the Executive Branch for review.<sup>3</sup> Following

<sup>3</sup> In assessing the public interest, the Commission takes into account the record developed in each particular case and accords deference to the expertise of Executive Branch agencies in identifying and interpreting issues of concern related to national security, law enforcement,

conclusion of the public notice and comment process, the Commission would issue a declaratory ruling, consistent with its section 310(b)(4) policy framework, as to whether the foreign investment would be consistent with the public interest.<sup>4</sup> If the ruling is affirmative (*i.e.*, the Commission determines that such investment comports with the public interest), the Commission would forbear from applying the section 310(b)(3)

foreign policy and trade policy. *Foreign Participation Order*, 12 FCC Rcd at 23919–21, paragraphs 61–66.

<sup>4</sup> The Commission, or the International Bureau on delegated authority, in granting a section 310(b)(4) declaratory ruling: (1) Authorizes the named foreign investors from WTO Member countries to hold specified equity and voting interests in the U.S. parent that controls the licensee; (2) includes provisions and limitations to accommodate future changes in foreign ownership of the U.S. parent and to prohibit non-WTO investment from exceeding 25 percent of the U.S. parent's equity and/or voting interests; and (3), on a case-by-case basis, imposes specific conditions that respond to concerns raised by the Executive Branch in particular proceedings with respect to potential effects of the proposed foreign investment on U.S. national security, law enforcement, and public safety. *Section 310(b)(4) NPRM*, 26 FCC Rcd at 11712, paragraph 15.

restrictions that would otherwise prohibit the foreign investment.

We ask in particular that interested parties who contend that the forbearance proposals discussed above would or would not adequately address national security, law enforcement, or public safety concerns, or that they would advance or conflict with U.S. trade policy, explain their positions in detail and provide support for their conclusions. In addition, if the Commission alters in this docket the policies and procedures that apply to section 310(b)(4), should it apply those same revisions to its public interest review under any section 310(b)(3) forbearance approach that also is adopted?

We further seek comment on modifications to these proposals, or alternative forbearance approaches, that parties may want the Commission to consider.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Mindel De La Torre,**

*Chief, International Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2012-9623 Filed 4-23-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**