

of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

Background

On March 1, 2012, a petition was filed with the Commission and Commerce by Elkay Manufacturing Company, Oak Brook, IL, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of drawn stainless sinks and subsidized imports of drawn stainless sinks from China. Accordingly, effective March 1, 2012, the Commission instituted countervailing duty investigation No. 701-TA-489 and antidumping duty investigation No. 731-TA-1201 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of March 7, 2012 (77 FR 13631). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on March 22, 2012, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on April 16, 2012. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4317 (April 2012), entitled *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-489 and 731-TA-1201 (Preliminary)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 17, 2012.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-9590 Filed 4-19-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-565]

Certain Ink Cartridges and Components Thereof; Modification of Remedial Orders and Termination of Consolidated Advisory Opinion and Modification Proceedings

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has modified the general exclusion order and a cease and desist

order to cover components of ink cartridges and terminated the above-captioned consolidated advisory opinion and modification proceedings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Haldenstein, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3041. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov/>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted the underlying investigation in this matter on March 23, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Epson Portland, Inc. of Oregon; Epson America, Inc. of California; and Seiko Epson Corporation of Japan (collectively "Epson"). 71 FR 14720 (March 23, 2006). The complaint, as amended, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("section 337") in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ink cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,615,957; claims 18, 81, 93, 149, 164 and 165 of U.S. Patent No. 5,622,439; claims 83 and 84 of U.S. Patent No. 5,158,377; claims 19 and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,221,148; claims 29, 31, 34 and 38 of U.S. Patent No. 5,156,472; claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,488,401; claims 1-3 and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,502,917; claims 1, 31 and 34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,550,902; claims 1, 10 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,955,422; claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,008,053; and claims 21, 45, 53 and 54 of U.S. Patent No. 7,011,397. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainants requested that the Commission issue a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders. The Commission named as respondents 24 companies located in China, Germany, Hong Kong, Korea, and

the United States. Several respondents were terminated from the investigation on the basis of settlement agreements or consent orders or were found in default.

On March 30, 2007, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) issued a final ID in the investigation finding a violation of section 337 with respect to certain respondents. He found the asserted claims valid and infringed by certain respondents' products. He recommended issuance of a general exclusion order, limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders directed to certain respondents and bond in the amount of \$13.60 per cartridge during the Presidential review period.

On October 19, 2007, after review, the Commission made its final determination in the investigation, finding a violation of section 337. The Commission issued a general exclusion order, limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders directed to several domestic respondents. The Commission also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d), (f), and (g) did not preclude issuance of the aforementioned remedial orders, and that the bond during the Presidential review period would be \$13.60 per cartridge for covered ink cartridges.

On December 13, 2010, two respondents in the underlying investigation, Ninestar Technology Co., Ltd. and Ninestar Technology Company, Ltd. ("Ninestar U.S.") (collectively, "Ninestar"), filed a request with the Commission asking for institution of an advisory opinion proceeding and a petition for modification of the general exclusion order and a cease and desist order directed to Ninestar U.S. to indicate that certain design-around ink cartridges are not covered by the Commission's orders. On February 3, 2011, Epson filed a petition for modification of the general exclusion order and the cease and a desist order issued to Ninestar U.S. to indicate that components of certain ink cartridges are covered by the orders. Epson asked that the requested proceedings be consolidated along with the advisory opinion proceeding requested by Ninestar and assigned to an administrative law judge (ALJ). On March 18, 2011, the Commission instituted the requested consolidated advisory opinion and modification proceedings.

On February 8, 2012, Epson and Ninestar filed a joint motion asking the Commission to terminate the advisory opinion and modification proceedings requested by Ninestar based upon a settlement agreement reached by

Ninestar and Epson. On February 14, 2012, the ALJ issued an initial determination (ID) granting the joint motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

On February 8, 2012, Epson filed a motion for summary determination with respect to Epson's petition for modification of the remedial orders. On February 13, 2012, Ninestar filed a non-opposition to Epson's motion. On February 21, 2012, the ALJ issued a Recommendation on Modification of the Remedial Orders. Based on undisputed facts and the arguments of Epson and Ninestar, the ALJ recommended modifying the general exclusion order and cease and desist order to include components of ink cartridges.

The Commission has determined to adopt the ALJ's recommendation and modify the general exclusion order and the cease and desist order issued to Ninestar U.S. to include components of ink cartridges, as well as ink cartridges. The consolidated advisory and modification proceedings are terminated.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.76 and 210.79 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.76, 210.79).

By Order of the Commission.
Issued: April 16, 2012.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-9505 Filed 4-19-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on March 27, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Media-Alliance, Brescia, SPAIN; and SuperSport, Randburg, Johannesburg,

SOUTH AFRICA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, MBC Group, Dubai, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES; National Geographical-Intelligence Agency, Herndon, VA; SAIC, McLean, VA; Toshiba, Wayne, NJ; John Footen (individual member), Lansdowne, VA; Robert Gummesson (individual member), London, UNITED KINGDOM; Gwynne McConkey (individual member), Leonia, NJ; and Salvador Villa Vidaler, Madrid, SPAIN, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 28, 2000, Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40127).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 21, 2011. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 20, 2012 (77 FR 3007).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012-9523 Filed 4-19-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—3D PDF Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on March 27, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), 3D PDF Consortium, Inc. ("3D PDF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are PROSTEP AG, Dolivostr,

Darmstadt, GERMANY; Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, CA; Anark Corporation, Boulder, CO; Aras Corporation, Andover, MA; Tetra 4D, LLC, Seattle, WA; Tech Soft 3D, Berkeley, CA; and EOS Solutions Corporation, Rochester, MI.

The general areas of 3D PDF's planned activity are (a) to demonstrate the value and promote the use of products complying with standards enabling three dimensional representation, such as 3D PDF, the PRC data format, and PDF/E 2.0 ("Specifications"); (b) to communicate information regarding the updating and the development of existing and additional Specifications beneficial to providers and consumers of three dimensional rendering solutions; and (c) to educate international standards committees and government bodies about the needs of developers and users of Specification-compliant products and services.

In support of these purposes, 3D PDF may engage in some or all of the following activities: (a) Host committees that develop materials in support of 3D PDF's mission; (b) operate a branding program based upon distinctive trademarks to create high customer awareness of, demand for, and confidence in products designed in compliance with Specifications; (c) create printed and electronic materials for distribution to members and non-members; (d) maintain its own Web site; (e) coordinate the promotion of Specifications among members and non-members, as well as create basic marketing promotional collateral (e.g., both web pages as well as tangible materials); (f) maintain relations with standard-setting organizations and industry consortia to promote coherence among Specifications; and (g) undertake such other activities as the Board of Directors may from time to time approve. 3D PDF is not engaged in and does not intend to engage in production activities.

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012-9521 Filed 4-19-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—OpenSAF Foundation

Notice is hereby given that, on March 30, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the