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DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Six Rivers National Forest, Gasquet Ranger District, California, The Smith River National Recreation Area Restoration and Motorized Travel Management Project

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Six Rivers National Forest proposes to make limited changes to the National Forest Transportation System (NFTS) on the Gasquet Ranger District in order to: provide motorized access to dispersed recreation opportunities; provide a diversity of motorized recreation opportunities; to provide for administrative needs, and; to reduce ecological and cultural resource risk and maintenance costs. In addition, inventoried unauthorized routes (UAR) that pose a risk to ecological resources will be restored to reduce risk to resources.

This project responds to the Travel Management Rule, Subpart B (36 CFR 212.52), which requires the public be allowed to participate in the revision of designations, and 36 CFR 212.54, which requires the Forest to coordinate with other government organizations in the revision of designation of the NFTS. Changes to the NFTS resulting from this project will be reflected in an updated Motor Vehicle Use Map.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 4, 2012. The draft environmental impact statement is expected in October 2012 and the final environmental impact statement is expected in March 2013.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Six Rivers National Forest, Supervisor’s Office, 1330 Bayshore Way, Eureka, CA 95501. Comments may also be sent via facsimile to 707–441–3502, or via email to comments-pacificsouthwest-six-rivers@fs.fed.us. Please insure that “Smith River NRA Restoration and Motorized Travel Management” occurs in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christy Prescott, Team Leader. Phone: 707–442–1721, Email: comments-pacificsouthwest-six-rivers@fs.fed.us. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose of the project is to make limited changes to the NFTS that enhance motorized recreation opportunities, dispersed recreation opportunities, and administrative access, while minimizing ecological and cultural resource risk. In addition, this project restores terrestrial and aquatic habitats impacted by unauthorized routes.

Proposed Action

The Six Rivers National Forest proposes to make limited changes to the National Forest Transportation System (NFTS) in order to: provide motorized access to dispersed recreation opportunities (camping, hunting, fishing, hiking, horseback riding, etc.); provide a diversity of motorized recreation opportunities; to provide for administrative needs, and; to reduce ecological and cultural resource risk and maintenance costs. In addition, inventoried unauthorized routes (UAR) that pose a risk to ecological resources will be restored to reduce risk to resources.

The Six Rivers National Forest (SRNF) proposes the following actions: 1) The addition of 28 unauthorized routes as roads, totaling 16 miles, to the current National Forest Transportation System (NFTS); 2) The addition of 45 unauthorized routes as motorized trails to the NFTS, totaling 44 miles; 3) The seasonal gate closure on 2 roads and 5 motorized trails, totaling 13 miles; 4) The mixed-use of 1 road (17N49), totaling 4 miles; 5) The decommissioning of 118 NFTS roads, totaling 57 miles; and, 6) The restoration of 173 UARs totaling 80 miles. The project encompasses the Smith River NRA and Gasquet Ranger District, exclusive of lands within congressionally designated Wilderness Areas, and is referred to collectively as the Smith River NRA. This decision will result in an update to the Motor Vehicle Use Map.

State, County, and Smith River NRA OML 3, 4, and 5 roads are all main access roads on the NRA that accommodate passenger cars, and are not being considered in this analysis, with the exception of 17N49 which is an OML 3 road that is being proposed for mixed-use. Also not considered in this analysis are roads that were closed under previous NEPA decisions. No new construction would occur under this proposal, meaning lands previously undisturbed will not be considered for additions to the NFTS as roads or motorized trails.

Responsible Official

The Forest Supervisor of the Six Rivers National Forest is the Responsible Official.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

The Responsible Official will decide whether to adopt and implement the proposed action, an alternative to the proposed action, or take no action.

Preliminary Issues

There are five areas of concern that have been identified through a previous public scoping effort. The public has expressed concern in how the project will affect motorized recreation, dispersed recreation, and economic values associated with travel management. Designation of unauthorized routes in Inventoried Roadless Areas (IRA) has also been identified as an issue of public interest on this project. There is public concern over how the project will affect the spread of Port Orford-cedar root disease. There is public concern over the potential health risks regarding the designation of new roads and motorized trails that overlay lands that may contain Naturally Occurring Asbestos. There is public concern regarding the projects potential effects on Federally listed endangered plant species and Forest Service Sensitive plant species.

Permits or Licenses Required

Prior to implementation of the decision, the Forest will require a waiver from the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. The
California Highway Patrol must approve all mixed-use designations.

Scoping Process
This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. A public meeting will be held in Crescent City on May 9, 2012 to discuss the proposed action, and answer any questions the public may have regarding the project. It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency’s preparation of the environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer’s concerns and contentions. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action.


Tyrone Kelley,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2012–8842 Filed 4–19–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–351–840]

Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Orange Juice From Brazil

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain orange juice (OJ) from Brazil.1 On April 13, 2012, the International Trade Commission (ITC) determined that revocation of this order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and as amended, the Act.2 Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(iii), the Department is revoking the antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil.

DATES: Effective Date: March 9, 2011.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order
The scope of the order includes certain orange juice for transport and/or further manufacturing, produced in two different forms: (1) Frozen orange juice in a highly concentrated form, sometimes referred to as frozen concentrated orange juice for manufacture (FCOJM); and (2) pasteurized single-strength orange juice which has not been concentrated, referred to as not-from-concentrate (NFC). At the time of the filing of the petition, there was an existing antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) from Brazil.3 Therefore, the scope of the order with regard to FCOJM covers only FCOJM produced and/or exported by those companies which were excluded or revoked from the pre-existing antidumping order on FCOJ from Brazil as of December 27, 2004. Those companies are Cargill Citrus Limitada, Coinbra Frutesp S.A.,4 Fischer S.A. Comercio, Industria, and Agricultura, Montecitrus Trading S.A., and Succotritico Cutrale, S.A.

Excluded from the scope of the order are reconstituted orange juice and frozen concentrated orange juice for retail (FCOJR). Reconstituted orange juice is produced through further manufacture of FCOJM, by adding water, oils and essences to the orange juice concentrate. FCOJR is concentrated orange juice, typically at 42 Brix, in a frozen state, packed in retail-sized containers ready for sale to consumers. FCOJR, a finished consumer product, is produced through further manufacture of FCOJM, a bulk manufacturer’s product.

The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under subheadings 2009.11.00, 2009.12.25, 2009.12.45, and 2009.19.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). These HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes only and are not dispositive. Rather, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Background
On March 9, 2006, the Department published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil.5 On February 1, 2011, the Department initiated, and the ITC instituted, a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil. See Initiation Notice. As a result of its sunset review of this order, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping.6 The Department notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the antidumping duty order to be revoked.

On April 13, 2012, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of this order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.7

Revocation
As a result of the determination by the ITC that revocation of this order is not likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d) of the Act, is revoking the antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil. Pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the effective date of revocation is March 9, 2011 (i.e., the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the Federal Register of the antidumping duty order). The Department will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection to discontinue suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after March 9, 2011, the effective date of revocation of the antidumping duty order. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order. This revocation and notice are issued in accordance with section 751(d)(2) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

See Antidumping Duty Order: Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil, 72 FR 12183 (Mar. 9, 2006).


7 See ITC Final and USITC Publication 4311 (April 2012), titled Certain Orange Juice from Brazil (Inv. No. 731–TA–1089).

3 See Antidumping Duty Order: Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil, 52 FR 16426 (May 5, 1987).

4 The Department preliminarily found that Louis Dreyfus Commodities Agroindustrial S.A. (Louis Dreyfus) is the successor-in-interest to Coinbra Frutesp (SA). See Certain Orange Juice From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary No Shipment Determination, 77 FR 21724 (Apr. 11, 2012).

5 See Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Orange Juice from Brazil, 71 FR 12183 (Mar. 9, 2006).


7 See ITC Final and USITC Publication 4311 (April 2012), titled Certain Orange Juice from Brazil (Inv. No. 731–TA–1089).