

the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The applications will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than May 14, 2012.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Chapelle Davis, Assistant Vice President), 1000 Peachtree Street NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30309:

1. *IBERIABANK Corporation*, Lafayette, Louisiana; to merge with Florida Gulf Bancorp and thereby indirectly acquire Florida Gulf Bank, both in Fort Myers, Florida.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 16, 2012.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2012-9452 Filed 4-18-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals To Engage In or To Acquire Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR part 225) to engage *de novo*, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages

either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than May 4, 2012.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth Binning, Vice President, Applications and Enforcement) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-1579:

1. *Security California Bancorp*, Riverside, California; to engage *de novo* through its subsidiary, SCB Asset Management, Riverside, California, in extending credit and servicing loans, pursuant to section 225.28(b)(1).

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 16, 2012.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2012-9453 Filed 4-18-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: D HS/ACF/OPRE Head Start Classroom-based Approaches and Resource for Emotion and Social skill promotion (CARES) project: Impact and Implementation Studies.

OMB No. 0970-0364.

Description: The Head Start Classroom-based Approaches and

Resource for Emotion and Social skill promotion (CARES) project is evaluating social emotional program enhancements within Head Start settings serving 3- and 4-year-old children. This project focuses on identifying the central features of effective programs to provide the information federal policy makers and Head Start providers will need if they are to increase Head Start's capacity to improve the social and emotional skills and school readiness of preschool age children. The project is sponsored by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation (OPRE).

the Administration for Children and Families (ACF): The Head Start CARES project uses a group-based randomized design to test the effects of three different evidence-based programs designed to improve the social and emotional development of children in Head Start classrooms.

Data to assess impacts of the program models in preschool was collected through surveys with teachers and parents, as well as direct child assessments. Data to assess implementation of the program models in preschool was collected through surveys and interviews with teachers, local coaches, trainers and center staff. Data collection for both the impact and implementation studies occurred during the Head Start Year. The study sample involved 17 Head Start grantees/ delegate agencies, 104 centers, 307 classrooms, 1,042 selected 3-year-old children and 2,885 selected 4-year-old children.

The purpose of this request is to obtain an extension to finish impact data collection in the 2012 Follow-up Year (e.g., Kindergarten for the 4-year-olds). This data to assess impacts of the program models in the kindergarten year will be collected through teacher reports (surveys) and parent surveys.

Respondents: The respondents for the activities under the extension request for Follow-Up year data collection will be parents of children and kindergarten teachers of children in the study.

The annual burden estimates for both surveys covered by the extension are detailed below.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES—EXTENSION

Instrument	Annual number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Estimated annual burden hours
Teacher Report on Individual Children	608	1	0.33	201
Follow-up Parent Survey	608	1	0.33	201
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours				402

Additional Information

Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 370 L'Enfant Promenade SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: OPRE Reports Clearance Officer. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection. Email address:

OPREinfocollection@acf.hhs.gov.

OMB Comment

OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, Email: OIRA_SUBMISSION@OMB.EOP.GOV, Attn: Desk Officer for the Administration for Children and Families.

Dated: April 12, 2012.

Steven M. Hanmer,

OPRE Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-9303 Filed 4-18-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2012-N-0357]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Medical Device Decision Analysis: A Risk-Tolerance Pilot Study

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing an opportunity for public comment on the proposed collection of certain information by the Agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (the PRA), Federal Agencies are required to publish notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information and to allow 60 days for public comment in response to the notice. This notice solicits comments on the survey entitled "Medical Device

Decision Analysis: A Risk-Tolerance Pilot Study."

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments on the collection of information by June 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit electronic comments on the collection of information to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments on the collection of information to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Gittleston, Office of Information Management, Food and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., PI50-400B, Rockville, MD 20850, 301-796-5156, Daniel.Gittleston@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), Federal Agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. "Collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes Agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) requires Federal Agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, FDA is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

With respect to the following collection of information, FDA invites comments on these topics: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of FDA's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FDA's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

I. Background

A recent study of obesity indicates that 35.5 percent of men and 35.8 percent of women in America reported being obese in 2010. This represents an increase from 27.5 percent and 33.4 percent in 2000 for men and women, respectively (Ref. 1). People who are obese are more likely to suffer from diabetes, cardiovascular disease, respiratory and metabolic disease, and sleep apnea, as well as other physical and psychological disabilities. By some estimates, as much as \$140 billion were spent in 2008 to treat obesity-related diseases (Ref. 2). Studies have shown that weight loss can significantly reduce the burden of obesity-related comorbidities (Refs. 3 and 4), and that weight lost as a result of laparoscopic banding or other weight-loss surgeries positively impacts quality of life and burden of disease (Refs. 5 through 7). However, like any surgical procedure, these surgeries are associated with substantial risks, including risks of potentially life-threatening events (Ref. 6), that patients and physicians must weigh against any potential benefits when making an informed treatment decision.

With the assistance of advisory panels, FDA determines the acceptable risk threshold of a medical intervention against its effectiveness as demonstrated in clinical evidence. In addition, individual patients and patient-advocacy groups anecdotally express their opinions about their needs and tolerance for risks to FDA through letters and public testimonies during advisory panel meetings. To evaluate the scientific validity of systematically eliciting patient perspectives on outcomes associated with weight-loss devices, the Agency requests approval of a pilot survey to quantify obesity patients' benefit-risk preferences.

The choice-format preference-elicitation survey will ask obese individuals (with a body mass index of 30 kg/m² or above) to evaluate a series of choices between pairs of hypothetical medical devices. Each hypothetical device will be defined by the amount and duration of weight loss, side effects, risks associated with hypothetical weight-loss devices, and the effect of the device on weight-related comorbidities. The survey was developed using findings from a literature review of the outcomes associated with weight-loss devices, interviews with obesity patients, and expert opinion.

An invitation to the online survey will be sent to a sample of 1,000 obese adults in the United States. Among the adults who receive the invitation, about