

Notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

April 9, 2012.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques and other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Washington, DC, OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV or fax (202) 395–5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250–7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–8681.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such

persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Forest Service

Title: Understanding Value Trade-Offs Regarding Fire Hazard Reduction Programs in the Wildland-Urban Interface.

OMB Control Number: 0596–0189.

Summary of Collection: The Healthy Forests Restoration Act (Pub. L. 108–148), improves the ability of the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior to plan and conduct hazardous fuels reduction projects on National Forest System and Bureau of Land Management Lands. The Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, National Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, and many State agencies with fire protection responsibilities have undertaken a very ambitious and expensive forest fuels reduction program. The Forest Service (FS) and university researchers will contact recipients of a phone/mail questionnaire to help forest and fire managers understand value trade-offs regarding fire hazard reduction programs in the wildland-urban interface.

Need and Use of the Information: Through the questionnaire, researchers will evaluate the responses of California and Colorado residents to different scenarios related to fire hazard reduction programs, how residents think the programs presented to them are effective, and calculate how much residents would be willing to pay to implement the alternatives. The collected information will help researchers provide better information to natural resources, forest, and fire managers when they are contemplating the kind and type of fire hazard reduction programs to implement to achieve forest land management planning objectives. Without the information the agencies with fire protection responsibilities will lack the capability to evaluate the general public's understanding of proposed fuels reduction projects and programs or their willingness to pay for implementing such programs.

Description of Respondents: Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 1,000.

Frequency of Responses: Reporting: Other (One time only).

Federal Register

Vol. 77, No. 71

Thursday, April 12, 2012

Total Burden Hours: 584.

Charlene Parker,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–8814 Filed 4–11–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–928]

Uncovered Innerspring Units From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 6, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results* of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on uncovered innersprings units (“innersprings”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. None were received. As such, these final results do not differ from the *Preliminary Results*. We find that Reztec Industries Sdn Bhd (“Reztec”) did not sell subject merchandise during the period of review (“POR”), February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011 and, thus we are rescinding the administrative review, in part, with respect to Reztec. We additionally find that Goodnite Sdn Bhd (“Goodnite”) failed to cooperate to the best of its ability when it did not respond to the Department's original questionnaire and, therefore, we have assigned Goodnite's a rate based on total adverse facts available (“AFA”). The final dumping margin for this administrative review is listed in the “Final Results of Review” section below.

DATES: Effective April 12, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Pulongbarit, AD/CVD Operations,

¹ See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Preliminary Rescission, in Part, of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 76126 (December 6, 2011) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4031.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

As noted above, on December 6, 2011, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review of innersprings from the PRC. The Department did not receive comments from interested parties on the *Preliminary Results*.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

We have not made any changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is uncovered innerspring units composed of a series of individual metal springs joined together in sizes corresponding to the sizes of adult mattresses (e.g., twin, twin long, full, full long, queen, California king and king) and units used in smaller constructions, such as crib and youth mattresses. All uncovered innerspring units are included in the scope regardless of width and length. Included within this definition are innersprings typically ranging from 30.5 inches to 76 inches in width and 68 inches to 84 inches in length. Innersprings for crib mattresses typically range from 25 inches to 27 inches in width and 50 inches to 52 inches in length.

Uncovered innerspring units are suitable for use as the innerspring component in the manufacture of innerspring mattresses, including mattresses that incorporate a foam encasement around the innerspring.

Pocketed and non-pocketed innerspring units are included in this definition. Non-pocketed innersprings are typically joined together with helical wire and border rods. Non-pocketed innersprings are included in this definition regardless of whether they have border rods attached to the perimeter of the innerspring. Pocketed innersprings are individual coils covered by a "pocket" or "sock" of a nonwoven synthetic material or woven material and then glued together in a linear fashion.

Uncovered innersprings are classified under subheading 9404.29.9010 and have also been classified under subheadings 9404.10.0000, 7326.20.0070, 7320.20.5010, or 7320.90.5010 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States

("HTSUS"). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Partial Rescission

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to Reztec.² In this administrative review, Reztec reported that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. As a result, the Department issued a no-shipment inquiry to U.S. Customs Border and Protection ("CBP"), asking that CBP provide any information contrary to our preliminary findings of no entries of subject merchandise for merchandise manufactured and shipped by Reztec.³ We did not receive any response from CBP, thus indicating that there were no entries of subject merchandise into the United States exported by Reztec. After issuing the *Preliminary Results*, the Department did not receive any comments from interested parties regarding the rescission of this company. Therefore, the Department is rescinding the administrative review with respect to Reztec.

Final Results of Review

The dumping margin for the POR is as follows:

INNERSPRINGS FROM THE PRC

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Goodnite ⁴	234.51

Assessment

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we will calculate importer-specific (or customer) *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping margins calculated for the

² See *id.*, at 76127.

³ See Memoranda to Michael Walsh, Director, AD/CVD/Revenue Policy & Programs, from Jim Doyle, Office Director, dated between October 28, 2010, to December 17, 2010, Request for U.S. Entry Documents: Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China.

⁴ The Department notes that this antidumping duty margin applies only to Goodnite's subject merchandise, which is limited to PRC-origin merchandise. See *Preliminary Results* at 76127.

examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, all entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 234.51 percent; (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter; and (4) for Goodnite the cash deposit rate will be 234.51 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), this notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 3, 2012.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-8863 Filed 4-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-423-808]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") has determined that Aperam Stainless Belgium N.V. ("Aperam") is the successor-in-interest to ArcelorMittal Stainless Belgium N.V. ("AMSB"). As a result, Aperam will be accorded the same treatment previously accorded AMSB with regard to the antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium ("SSPC from Belgium"), effective as of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

DATES: Effective April 12, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George McMahon or Stephanie Moore, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1167 and (202) 482-3692, respectively.

Background

On May 21, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium.¹ On

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 64 FR 27756 (May 21, 1999), amended by *Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 68 FR 11520 (March 11, 2003); *Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 68 FR 16117 (April 2, 2003); and

June 14, 2011, Aperam filed a request for a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on SSPC from Belgium. Aperam claimed that it is the successor-in-interest to AMSB and should be treated as such for purposes of the antidumping duty order.

On July 29, 2011, the Department published its initiation of the instant changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on SSPC from Belgium.²

On October 26, 2011, the Department published its preliminary results of changed circumstances review of the *AD Order* on SSPC from Belgium.³ The Department preliminarily determined that Aperam is the successor-in-interest to AMSB and should be treated as such for purposes of the antidumping duty order. In the *Preliminary Results*, we stated that interested parties could submit case briefs to the Department no later than 30 days after the publication of the *Preliminary Results* in the **Federal Register**, and submit rebuttal briefs seven days subsequent to the due date of the case briefs. Aperam submitted a case brief on November 23, 2011, and no interested parties submitted a rebuttal brief.

Analysis of Comments Received

The issue raised in Aperam's case brief is addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium," from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, ("Issues and Decision Memorandum"),⁴ dated concurrently with this notice and which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised,

Notice of Correction to the Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, 68 FR 20114 (April 24, 2003).

² See *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 76 FR 45511 (July 29, 2011).

³ See *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review* 76 FR 66271 (October 26, 2011) ("Preliminary Results").

⁴ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit, main Commerce Building, Room 7046. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix.

Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order

The product covered by this order is certain stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (e.g., cold-rolled, polished, etc.) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) Plate not in coils; (2) Plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled; (3) Sheet and strip; and (4) Flat bars.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.81, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to these orders is dispositive.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Based on the information provided by Aperam, the Department's analysis in the *Preliminary Results* (which we incorporate herein by reference), and in light of the fact that no interested parties submitted any comments on the Department's preliminary finding with respect to Aperam, the Department hereby determines that Aperam is the successor-in-interest to AMSB and is entitled to AMSB's treatment under the order. The Department will rely on the date of the publication of these final results of the instant changed circumstances review in the **Federal**