

options exchanges.⁸⁶ Accordingly, the Commission finds that the priority requirements for stock-option orders in CBOE Rule 6.53C, Commentary .06(b) are consistent with the Act.

D. Provisions Applicable to Marketable Stock-Option Orders

To the extent that a marketable stock-option order cannot be executed in full in, or in a permissible ratio, after it is routed to COB or following a COA, any part of the order that can execute will execute and the remaining balance will be routed on a class-by-class basis to PAR or, at the order entry firm's discretion, to the order entry firm's booth.⁸⁷ If the order is not eligible to route to PAR, the remaining balance will be cancelled.⁸⁸ The Commission believes that these provisions are consistent with the Act because they establish procedures for handling the remaining balance of a marketable stock-option order that cannot be executed in full or in a permissible ratio.

In addition, to the extent that a stock-option order resting in COB becomes marketable against the derived net market, the full order will be subject to a COA.⁸⁹ The Commission believes that this provision is consistent with the Act because it could facilitate the execution of a stock-option order that is marketable against the derived net market, but that would not execute against the derived net market because stock-option orders generally will not execute against leg market interest.

E. Price Check Parameters

The stock-option derived net market price check parameter in CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .08(f) will prevent the automatic execution of a stock-option order following a COA if the execution would not be within the acceptable derived net market that existed at the start of the COA. The Commission believes that this price check parameter is consistent with the Act because it could help to prevent the automatic execution of stock-option orders at extreme or potentially erroneous prices. The Commission believes that it is reasonable to use CBOE's best bid and offer for the

⁸⁶ See, e.g., ISE Rule 722(b)(2); and NYSE Amex Rule 980NY, Commentary .03(d).

⁸⁷ See CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .06(a)(1). As noted above, CBOE plans to file a separate proposal that will further describe booth routing parameters and order management terminal operations. See note 39, *supra*.

⁸⁸ See *id.*

⁸⁹ See CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .06(a)(2). This system feature will not be applicable to a resting stock-option order that becomes marketable against another stock-option order(s).

individual series legs to calculate the acceptable derived net market for the option leg(s) of a stock-option order because the option leg(s) would not be permitted to trade at a price that is inferior to CBOE's best bid or offer. The Commission believes that using the NBBO for the stock, plus or minus an acceptable tick distance, to determine the acceptable derived net market for the stock leg of a stock-option order will provide CBOE with flexibility in setting this parameter. The Commission notes that a stock-option order submitted to the Hybrid System must comply with the QCT Exemption.⁹⁰ The stock leg of a stock-option order that complies with the QCT Exemption would be permitted to trade at a price that is outside the NBBO for the stock.

CBOE also proposes to extend the existing individual series leg width price check parameter in CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .08(a)(i), which currently applies to complex orders, to the individual series legs of market and marketable limit stock-option orders.⁹¹ This price check parameter prevents the automatic execution of a marketable complex order when the width between CBOE's best bid and offer in any individual series leg is not within an acceptable price range. The Commission believes that it is consistent with the Act for CBOE to have the ability to apply this price check parameter to stock-option orders, in addition to complex orders.

F. Extension of the Re-COA Feature to Stock-Option Orders

CBOE proposes to amend CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .04(b) to apply its "re-COA" feature to stock-option orders resting at the top of the COB. For classes in which COA is activated, a non-marketable stock-option order resting at the top of the COB may be automatically subject to COA if the order is within a number of ticks away from current derived net market.⁹² The Commission believes that applying the "re-COA" feature to stock-option orders could facilitate the execution of stock-option orders by providing an opportunity for a stock-option resting at the top of the COB to be executed automatically. Accordingly, the

⁹⁰ See CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .06(a).

⁹¹ See CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .08(a)(5) and Notice, 77 FR at 10030—10031.

⁹² See CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .04(b). CBOE will calculate the derived net market for a stock-option order using CBOE's best bid or offer in the individual option series leg(s) and the NBBO in the stock leg.

Commission finds that the provision is consistent with the Act.

G. Rule Text Reorganizations

The Commission believes that the proposed changes to reorganize, consolidate, and simplify CBOE Rule 6.53C, Interpretation and Policy .06 are consistent with the Act.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁹³ that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2012-005) is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁹⁴

Kevin M. O'Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-8783 Filed 4-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-66758; File No. SR-NYSE-2012-05]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change Amending NYSE Rule 476A To Update its "List of Exchange Rule Violations and Fines Applicable Thereto Pursuant to Rule 476A"

April 6, 2012.

I. Introduction

On February 7, 2012, New York Stock Exchange LLC ("Exchange" or "NYSE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend NYSE Rule 476A to update its "List of Exchange Rule Violations and Fines Applicable Thereto Pursuant to Rule 476A." The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on February 24, 2012.³ The Commission received no comment letters on the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description

By way of background, NYSE Rule 476 governs disciplinary proceedings involving charges against members, member organizations, principal

⁹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 66421 (February 17, 2012), 77 FR 11181 ("Notice").

executives, approved persons, employees, or others for violations of the federal securities laws, Exchange rules and agreements with the Exchange, and other offenses listed in the rule.

NYSE Rule 476A, “Imposition of Fines for Minor Violation(s) of Rules,” provides that, in lieu of commencing a disciplinary proceeding under Rule 476, the Exchange may (subject to specified requirements) “impose a fine, not to exceed \$5,000, on any member, member organization, allied member, approved person, or registered or non-registered employee of a member or member organization, for any violation of a rule of the Exchange, which violation the Exchange shall have determined is minor in nature.”⁴ The provisions of Rule 476A are known as the Exchange’s Minor Rule Violation Plan.

According to the Exchange, the “summary fines” under Rule 476A provide a meaningful sanction for rule violations when the violation calls for stronger discipline than an admonition or cautionary letter, but the facts and circumstances of the violation do not warrant initiation of a formal disciplinary proceeding under Rule 476. A “List of Exchange Rule Violations and Fines Applicable Thereto Pursuant to Rule 476A” (“Rule 476A List”) is appended as Supplementary Material to the rule.

In the instant proposal, the NYSE proposes to amend the Rule 476A List to: (i) Make technical, non-substantive changes to conform the list to previously-approved changes in Exchange rules;⁵ (ii) update the rules relating to conduct by Designated Market Makers (“DMMs”), and (iii) add rules relating to conduct by DMMs, as follows:

Proposed Non-Substantive Changes to Rule 476A List

The Exchange proposes to update the Rule 476A List to conform it to approved changes to Exchange rules by updating the titles of certain rules, updating references to rules that have been renumbered or harmonized with a Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) rule, deleting references to rules that have been deleted, updating

the descriptions of rules that have been amended, and fixing a typographical error.⁶

Proposed Updates to Rule 476A List for DMM Conduct Rules

The current Rule 476A List includes certain specific rules that govern DMM conduct (e.g., NYSE Rules 104(a)(1)(A) and 104.10), as well as a category designated as “Exchange policies regarding procedures to be followed in delayed opening situations,” which refers to policies relating to DMM conduct included in NYSE Rule 123D. The Exchange proposes generally to update the Rule 476A List with current rules governing DMM conduct. In particular, under the proposed rule change, the list would be amended to include, more expansively, “Rule 104 requirements for the dealings and responsibilities of DMMs” and “Rule 123D requirements for DMMs relating to openings, re-openings, delayed openings, trading halts, and tape indications.” Thus, additional elements of Rules 104 and 123D would be included in the Minor Rule Violation Plan, as further detailed below.

Rule 104

NYSE Rule 104 requires DMMs registered in one or more securities traded on the Exchange to engage in a course of dealings for their own account to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, insofar as reasonably practicable, by contributing liquidity when lack of price continuity and depth, or disparity between supply and demand exists or is reasonably to be anticipated.⁷

The Rule 476A List currently includes the following elements of Rule 104:

- Rule 104(a)(1)(A), which requires DMMs to maintain a bid or an offer at the National Best Bid and National Best Offer (“inside”) at least 15% of the trading day for securities in which the DMM unit is registered that have a consolidated average daily volume of less than one million shares, and at least 10% for securities in which the DMM unit is registered that have a consolidated average daily volume equal to or greater than one million shares; and
- Rule 104.10, which is described in the Rule 476A List as relating to “Functions of DMM.” This description

⁶ For a more detailed description of these proposed non-substantive changes, see Notice, *supra* note 3.

⁷ NYSE Rule 104 currently operates on a pilot basis, set to end on July 31, 2012. The Exchange stated its belief that the Rule 476A List should reference those rules that are currently operational, even if operating on a pilot basis.

does not relate to the rule currently denominated as Rule 104.10, which was adopted when the Exchange adopted the New Market Model,⁸ but to a former rule relating to certain subject matters that, according to the Exchange, continue to be covered in the current Rule 104.

The proposed rule change would, instead, include a single reference in the Rule 476A List identifying “Rule 104 requirements for the dealings and responsibilities of DMMs” as subject to the Minor Rule Violation Plan. The proposed rule change would have the effect of adding to the Rule 476A List Rules 104(b), (c), (d), and (e),⁹ as well as Rule 104(a)(1)(B), the rule that governs the DMM’s new pricing obligations, which were implemented by all equities markets on December 6, 2010.¹⁰

Rule 123D

The Rule 476A List currently provides that “violations of Exchange policies regarding procedures to be followed in delayed opening situations” are eligible for summary fines under the Minor Rule Violation Plan. According to the Exchange, such Exchange policies are codified in Rule 123D. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to delete “violations of Exchange policies regarding procedures to be followed in delayed opening situations” and replace it with “Rule 123D requirements for DMMs relating to openings, re-openings, delayed openings, trading halts, and tape indications.” The effect of this change would be to include other requirements of DMMs set forth in Rule 123D—relating to openings, re-openings, trading halts, and tape indications—in the Minor Rule Violation Plan.

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58845 (October 24, 2008), 73 FR 64379 (October 29, 2008) (SR-NYSE-2008-46).

⁹ See Notice, *supra* note 3 at 11182-83 for a full description of the elements of Rule 104 that, under the proposal, would be included in the Minor Rule Violation Plan. The Exchange states that other elements of Rule 104 (i.e., Rule 104(j) and supplementary material .05 and .10) are not related to DMM obligations, but rather reflect operational aspects of the Exchange. See *id.* at note 11. The Exchange notes that, in a separate filing, it has proposed to delete NYSE Rule 104(a)(6). See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65736 (November 10, 2011), 76 FR 71399 (November 17, 2011) (SR-NYSE-2011-56). The Commission instituted proceedings to determine whether to disapprove SR-NYSE-2011-56. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 66397 (February 15, 2012), 77 FR 10586 (February 22, 2012).

¹⁰ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63255 (November 5, 2010), 75 FR 69484 (November 12, 2010) (SR-NYSE-2010-69).

⁴ NYSE Rule 476A(a).

⁵ In addition to these technical changes to the Rule 476A List, which are described below, the proposed rule change would amend Rule 476A(a) by replacing the term “allied member” with the term “principal executive,” to be consistent with a prior rule change eliminating the category of “allied member” on the Exchange. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58549 (September 15, 2008), 73 FR 54444 (September 19, 2008) (SR-NYSE-2008-80). See also NYSE Rule 476, which uses the term “principal executive.”

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.¹¹ In particular, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹² because expanding the list of DMM obligations that are subject to the Minor Rule Violation Plan should afford the Exchange increased flexibility in carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, and, in doing so, help to meet the aim of protecting investors and the public interest.

The Commission also believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Sections 6(b)(1) and 6(b)(6) of the Act,¹³ which require that an exchange enforce compliance with, and have rules that provide appropriate discipline for violations of, the Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, and Exchange rules. As an initial matter, the proposed rule change will further these objectives through its clarification of the list of Exchange rule violations that are subject to NYSE Rule 476A by updating rule titles and rule references, deleting references to rules that have been deleted, updating descriptions of rules that have been amended, and fixing a typographical error.

Further, the Commission recognizes that the proposed rule change will render violations of DMM obligations under Rule 104¹⁴ and Rule 123D that were not previously on the Rule 476A List as now eligible for treatment as minor violations. However, the Commission notes that designating a rule as subject to the Minor Rule Violation Plan does not signify that violation of the rule will always be deemed a minor violation. As noted by the Exchange, Rule 476A preserves the Exchange's discretion to seek formal discipline, as warranted, when transgressions of rules designated as eligible for the Minor Rule Violation Plan are found to be more serious. Thus, the Exchange will remain able to require, on a case-by-case basis, formal disciplinary action for any particular violation. Therefore, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change will not compromise the Exchange's

¹¹ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1) and 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(6).

¹⁴ The Commission believes that it is appropriate to include in NYSE Rule 476A references to rules that are currently operating on a pilot basis.

ability to seek more stringent sanctions for the more serious violations of Rules 104 and 123D.

In addition, because NYSE Rule 476A provides procedural rights to a person fined under the rule, entitling the person to contest the fine and receive a full disciplinary proceeding,¹⁵ the Commission believes that NYSE Rule 476A, as amended by this proposed rule change, will provide a fair procedure for the disciplining of Exchange members and persons associated with members, consistent with Sections 6(b)(7) and 6(d)(1) of the Act.¹⁶

Finally, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the public interest, the protection of investors, or is otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as required by Rule 19d-1(c)(2) under the Act,¹⁷ which governs minor rule violation plans. The Commission believes that the proposed changes to NYSE Rule 476A will strengthen the Exchange's ability to carry out its oversight and enforcement responsibilities as a self-regulatory organization, in cases where full disciplinary proceedings are unsuitable in view of the nature of a particular violation.

In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission emphasizes that in no way should the amendment of the rule be seen as minimizing the importance of compliance with NYSE rules and all other rules subject to the imposition of fines under NYSE Rule 476A. The Commission believes that the violation of any self-regulatory organization's rules, as well as Commission rules, is a serious matter. However, NYSE Rule 476A provides a reasonable means of addressing rule violations that do not rise to the level of requiring formal disciplinary proceedings, while providing greater flexibility in handling certain violations. The Commission expects that the Exchange will continue to conduct surveillance with due diligence and make a determination based on its findings, on a case-by-case basis, of whether a violation requires formal disciplinary action under Rule 476.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁸ that the proposed rule change (SR-NYSE-2012-05) be, and hereby is, approved.

¹⁵ See NYSE Rule 476A(d).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(7) and 15 U.S.C. 78f(d)(1).

¹⁷ 17 CFR 240.19d-1(c)(2).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁹

Kevin M. O'Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-8782 Filed 4-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-66757; File No. SR-Phlx-2012-45]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Rebates for Adding and Removing Liquidity in SPY

April 6, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹, and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on April 2, 2012, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Section I³ of its Pricing Schedule to further incentivize market participants to transact SPDR S&P 500 ("SPY")⁴ options.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://nasdaqtrader.com/micro.aspx?id=PHLXRulefilings>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements

¹⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12); 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(44).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Section I of the Exchange's Pricing Schedule is entitled "Rebates and Fees for Adding and Removing Liquidity in Select Symbols."

⁴ SPY is one of the Select Symbols subject to the rebates and fees in Section I. A complete list of Select Symbols is included in Section I of the Pricing Schedule.