is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.


Glenna Mickelson,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–8511 Filed 4–9–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 28–2012]

Foreign-Trade Zone 18—San Jose, CA Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the City of San Jose, grantee of FTZ 18, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170, 1/12/2009; correction 74 FR 3987, 1/22/2009; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/2010). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on April 4, 2012.

FTZ 18 was approved by the Board on November 27, 1974 (Board Order 103, 39 FR 42031, 12/04/1974), reorganized on October 13, 1983 (Board Order 228, 48 FR 48486, 10/19/1983), and relocated on April 3, 1985 (Board Order 293, 50 FR 15206, 04/17/1985).

The current zone project includes the following site: Site 1 (7.5 acres)—2055 South Street South, Suite A, San Jose.

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be the City of San Jose, California, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within the San Jose U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to include its existing site as a “magnet” site. No usage-driven sites are being requested at this time. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 18’s authorized subzones.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Christopher Kemp of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is June 11, 2012. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to June 25, 2012.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov or (202) 482–0862.


Elizabeth Whiteman,
Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–8619 Filed 4–9–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–821–811]

Ammonium Nitrate From Russia: Correction to Notice of Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 2, 2012, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published its opportunity to request administrative review of the antidumping duty orders and inadvertently omitted Ammonium Nitrate from Russia, POR 5/2/2011–3/31/2012. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 77 FR 63 (April 2, 2012). This notice serves as a correction to include the Ammonium Nitrate from Russia administrative review in the referenced notice.


Gary Taverman,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012–8621 Filed 4–9–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–836]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 13, 2012, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate products from the Republic of Korea. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter. The period of review is February 1, 2010, through
January 31, 2011. The final margin is listed below in the “Final Results of the Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Effective Date: April 10, 2012.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 13, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate products (CTL plate) from the Republic of Korea (Korea).1

We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. On February 13, 2012, we received a case brief from Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM). On February 21, 2012, we received a rebuttal brief from Nucor Corporation.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping duty order are certain hot-rolled carbon-quality steel: (1) Universal mill plates (i.e., flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a nominal or actual thickness of not less than 4 mm, which are cut-to-length (not in coils) and without patterns in relief), of iron or non-alloy quality steel; and (2) flat-rolled products, hot-rolled, of a nominal or actual thickness of 4.75 mm or more and of a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness, and which are cut-to-length (not in coils). Steel products included in the scope of the order are of rectangular, square, circular, or other shape and of rectangular or non-rectangular cross section where such non-rectangular cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (i.e., products which have been “worked after rolling”)—for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Steel products that meet the noted physical characteristics that are painted, varnished, or coated with plastic or other non-metallic substances are included within the scope. Also, specifically included in the scope of the order are high strength, low alloy (HSLA) steels. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, niobium, titanium, vanadium, and molybdenum. Steel products included in the scope, regardless of Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) definitions, are products in which: (1) Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements, (2) the carbon content is two percent or less, by weight, and (3) none of the elements listed below is equal to or exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively indicated: 1.80 percent of manganese, or 1.50 percent of silicon, or 1.00 percent of copper, or 0.50 percent of aluminum, or 1.25 percent of chromium, or 0.30 percent of cobalt, or 0.40 percent of lead, or 1.25 percent of nickel, or 0.30 percent of tungsten, or 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or 0.10 percent of niobium, or 0.41 percent of titanium, or 0.15 percent of vanadium, or 0.15 percent zirconium. All products that meet the written physical description, and in which the chemistry quantities do not equal or exceed any one of the levels listed above, are within the scope of the order unless otherwise specifically excluded. The following products are specifically excluded from the order: (1) Products clad, plated, or coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastic or other non-metallic substances; (2) SAE grades (formerly AISI grades) of series 2300 and above; (3) products made to ASTM A710 and A736 and their proprietary equivalents; (4) abrasion-resistant steels (i.e., USS AR 400, USS AR 500); (5) products made to ASTM A202, A225, A514 grade S, A517 grade S, or their proprietary equivalents; (6) ball bearing steels; (7) tool steels; and (8) silicon manganese steel or silicon electric steel.

Imports of CTL plate are currently classified in the HTSUS under subheadings 7208.40.30.30, 7208.40.30.60, 7208.50.01.30, 7208.50.01.45, 7208.50.01.60, 7208.52.00.00, 7208.53.00.00, 7208.90.00.00, 7210.70.30.00, 7210.90.90.00, 7211.13.00.00, 7211.14.00.30, 7211.14.00.45, 7211.90.90.00, 7212.40.10.00, 7212.40.50.00, 7225.40.30.50, 7225.40.70.00, 7225.50.60.00, 7225.99.00.00, 7226.91.50.00, 7226.91.70.00, 7226.91.80.00, and 7226.99.00.00. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the merchandise covered by the order is dispositive.

Analysis of the Comments Received

The issue raised in DSM’s case brief is addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” (Decision Memo) from Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Gary Taverman to Assistant Secretary Paul Piquado dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The sole issue which DSM has raised and to which we have responded is related to zeroing. The Decision Memo is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Import Administration Web site at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Decision Memo and the electronic versions of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the weighted-average dumping margin for DSM is 1.64 percent for the period February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011. The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we calculated an importer-specific assessment rate for the final results of review. We divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by the total entered value of those reviewed sales for the importer. We will instruct CBP to assess the importer-specific rate uniformly, as appropriate, on all entries of subject merchandise made by the relevant importer during the period of review. See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (Assessment of Antidumping Duties). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by DSM for which DSM did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries of the DSM-produced merchandise at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the

---
intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. See Assessment of Antidumping Duties for a full discussion of this clarification.

The Department will issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of the final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of CTL plate from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) The cash deposit rate for DSM will be the 1.64 percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer has its own rate, the cash deposit rate will be 0.98 percent, the all-others rate.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–8604 Filed 4–9–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Review in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 2011, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on freshwatwr crawfish tail meat from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). The review covers five exporters. The period of review is September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2010.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations for one company. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of the Review.”

DATES: Effective Date: April 10, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dmitry Vladimirov or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0665 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 7, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind Review in Part, 76 FR 62349 (October 7, 2011) (Preliminary Results).1 In the Federal Register. The administrative review covers Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd. (Xiping Opeck), Shanghai Ocean Flavor International Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Ocean Flavor), China Kingdom (Beijing) Import & Export Co., Ltd. (China Kingdom), Xuzhou Jinjiang Foodstuffs Co., Ltd. (Xuzhou Jinjiang), and Nanjing Gensens International Co., Ltd. (Nanjing Gensens).


On February 13, 2012, we determined a rate for Xiping Opeck, the sole mandatory respondent in this review, on the basis of adverse facts available (AFA). See memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, entitled “Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People’s Republic of China—Post-Preliminary Analysis Memorandum—The Use of Adverse Facts Available,” dated February 13, 2012 (AFA Memo). We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results and the AFA Memo.

We received case and rebuttal briefs from Xiping Opeck and the petitioner, the Crawfish Processors Alliance. No interested party requested a hearing.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the antidumping duty order is freshwater crawfish tail meat, in all its forms (whether washed or with fat on, whether purged or un-purged), grades, and sizes; whether frozen, fresh, or chilled; and regardless of how it is packed, preserved, or prepared. Excluded from the scope of the order are live crawfish and other whole crawfish.


1 In publishing the Preliminary Results, the Federal Register distorted the title of the notice; the Federal Register thereafter published the correct title of the notice in 76 FR 65497 (October 21, 2011).