These preliminary results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
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Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the Republic of Korea and the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: April 6, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sergio Balbontin or Yang Jin Chun, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6478 or (202) 482–5760, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 6, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on diamond sawblades and parts thereof (diamond sawblades) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) and the People’s Republic of China (PRC). See Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 76128 (December 6, 2011) (Preliminary Results—Korea) and Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 76135 (December 6, 2011) (Preliminary Results—PRC). On March 13, 2012, we extended the deadline for the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from the PRC. See Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 77 FR 14733 (March 13, 2012). The final results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on diamond sawblades from Korea and the PRC are currently due no later than April 4, 2012, and May 14, 2012, respectively.

Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results of Reviews

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time period to a maximum of 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of these reviews within the current time limits because we need additional time to consider new allegations in both the PRC and Korea proceedings. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (“Act”) allows us to extend the deadline for the final results of these reviews to June 3, 2012, which is 180 days after the date of the publication of the Preliminary Results—Korea and the Preliminary Results—PRC. Because June 3, 2012, falls on a weekend, we shall issue the final results of these reviews on June 4, 2012. See Notice of Clarification: Application of “Next Business Day” Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).


Gary Taverman,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[ A–570–862]

Foundry Coke Products From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) initiated the second five-year (“sunset”) review of the antidumping duty order on foundry coke products (“foundry coke”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate, and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties,1 as well as a lack of response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty order, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1). As a result of the sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on foundry coke from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Effective Date: April 6, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats and Ricardo Martinez Rivera, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5047 and (202) 482–4532, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 1, 2011, the Department initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on foundry coke from the PRC,7 pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c)(2). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer of a domestic like product in the United States. We received a complete substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from respondent interested parties. As a result, the

1 ABC Coke, Erie Coke, Tonawanda Coke, and Walker Coke (collectively, the “domestic interested parties”).

2 See Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review, 76 FR 74775 (December 1, 2011); see also Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Foundry Coke Products From The People’s Republic of China 66 FR 48025 (September 17, 2001) (“Order”).