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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Executive Summary

The purpose of this regulatory action is to disestablish a restricted area that is no longer needed by Naval Station Newport, because use of the practice minefield and conducting mine detection and mine sweeping exercises in that area has been discontinued.

The Corps authority to disestablish this restricted area is Section 7 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1917 (40 Stat. 892; 33 U.S.C. 3), and Chapter XIX of the Army Appropriations Act of 1919 (40 Stat. 892; 33 U.S.C. 3), the Corps is proposing to amend the regulations in 33 CFR Part 334 by removing 334.78 to disestablish the restricted area.

Procedural Requirements

a. Review Under Executive Order 12866. This proposed rule is issued with respect to a military function of the Defense Department and the provisions of Executive Order 12866 do not apply.

b. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. This proposed rule has been reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–354) which requires the preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis for any regulation that will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (i.e., small businesses and small governments). Unless information is obtained to the contrary during the public notice comment period, the Corps expects that the amendment of this restricted area would have practically no economic impact on the public, no anticipated navigational hazard, or interference with existing waterway traffic. This proposed rule if adopted, will have no significant economic impact on small entities.

c. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act. Due to the administrative nature of this action, the Corps expects that this regulation, if adopted, will not have a significant impact to the quality of the human environment and, therefore, preparation of an environmental impact statement will not be required. An environmental assessment will be prepared after the public notice period is closed and all comments have been received and considered. After it is prepared, it may be reviewed at the District office listed at the end of the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section, above.

d. Unfunded Mandates Act. The proposed rule does not impose an enforceable duty among the private sector and, therefore, is not a Federal private sector mandate, and is not subject to the requirements of Section 202 or 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (Pub. L. 104–4, 109 Stat. 48, 2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.). We have also found under Section 203 of the Act, that small governments will not be significantly or uniquely affected by this rulemaking.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 334

Danger zones, Navigation (water), Restricted areas, Waterways.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Corps proposes to amend 33 CFR part 334 as follows:

PART 334—DANGER ZONE AND RESTRICTED AREA REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for 33 CFR part 334 continues to read as follows:


§ 334.78 [Removed]

2. Remove § 334.78.


Richard C. Lockwood,
Chief, Operations and Regulatory, Directorate of Civil Works.

[FR Doc. 2012–8115 Filed 4–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720–58–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

33 CFR Part 334

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Felgates Creek and Indian Field Creek along the York River in Yorktown, VA; Restricted Area

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Corps of Engineers is proposing to amend an existing permanent restricted area in the waters of Felgates Creek and Indian Field Creek along the York River in Yorktown, Virginia. Naval Weapons Station Yorktown is requesting the Corps of Engineers modify the existing restricted area to include areas historically noted on nautical charts as closed to the public and traditionally enforced by the Commander, Naval Weapons Station Yorktown. Naval Weapons Station Yorktown provides ordnance logistics, technical, supply and related services to the Atlantic Fleet. Felgates Creek and Indian Field Creek are within a designated Level Two Restricted Area as defined by the Navy Physical Security and Law Enforcement Program. In addition, Felgates Creek and Indian Field Creek are within explosive arcs from various munitions points on Naval Weapons Station Yorktown. Naval Weapons Station Yorktown is seeking authorization to expand the existing restricted area to enhance safety and security.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before May 4, 2012.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number COE–2011–0038, by any of the following methods:
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Executive Summary
The purpose of this regulatory action is to amend an existing restricted area to include areas historically noted on nautical charts as closed to the public and traditionally enforced by the Commander, Naval Weapons Station Yorktown.

The Corps authority to amend this restricted area is Section 7 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1) and Chapter XIX of the Army Appropriations Act of 1919 (40 Stat. 892; 33 U.S.C. 3).

Background
Pursuant to its authorities in Section 7 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1) and Chapter XIX of the Army Appropriations Act of 1919 (40 Stat. 892; 33 U.S.C. 3), the Corps of Engineers is proposing amendments to regulations in 33 CFR Part 334 for a permanent restricted area in the waters of Felgates Creek and Indian Field Creek along the York River in Yorktown, Virginia. Naval Weapons Station Yorktown provides ordnance logistics, technical, supply and related services to the Atlantic Fleet. Felgates Creek and Indian Field Creek are within a designated Level Two Restricted Area as defined by OPNAVIST 5530.14E, Navy Physical Security and Law Enforcement Program. In addition, Felgates Creek and Indian Field Creek are within explosive arcs from various munitions points on Naval Weapons Station Yorktown. Naval Weapons Station Yorktown is seeking authorization to expand the existing restricted area to enhance safety and security. The Navy has also requested that these waterways shall remain restricted pursuant to authorities granted in 33 U.S.C. 1 during the rulemaking process. The temporary restricted areas were established as a separate action announced by public notice issued by the Norfolk District on September 12, 2011.

Procedural Requirements
a. Review Under Executive Order 12866
This proposed rule is issued with respect to a military function of the Defense Department and the provisions of Executive Order 12866 do not apply.

b. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act
This proposed rule has been reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–354) which requires the preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis for any regulation that will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (i.e., small businesses and small governments). Unless information is obtained to the contrary during the public notice comment period, the Corps expects that the amendment of this restricted area would have practically no economic impact on the public, no anticipated navigational hazard, or interference with existing waterway traffic. This proposed rule if adopted, will have no significant economic impact on small entities.

c. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act
Due to the administrative nature of this action and because there is no intended change in the use of the area, the Corps expects that this regulation, if adopted, will not have a significant impact to the quality of the human environment and, therefore, preparation of an environmental impact statement will not be required. An environmental assessment will be prepared after the public notice period is closed and all comments have been received and considered. After it is prepared, it may be reviewed at the District office listed at the end of the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section, above.

d. Unfunded Mandates Act
This proposed rule does not impose an enforceable duty among the private sector and, therefore, it is not a Federal private sector mandate and it is not subject to the requirements of either Section 202 or Section 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Act. We have also found under Section 203 of the Act, that small governments will not be significantly and uniquely affected by this rulemaking.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 334
Danger zones, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Restricted areas, Waterways.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Corps proposes to amend 33 CFR part 334 as follows:

PART 334—DANGER ZONE AND RESTRICTED AREA REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for 33 CFR part 334 continues to read as follows:

2. Revise § 334.260 to read as follows:

§ 334.260 York River, Va.; naval restricted areas.

(a) The areas—(1) Naval mine service-testing area (prohibited). A rectangular area surrounding Piers 1 and 2, Naval Weapons Station, and extending upstream therefrom, beginning at a point on the shore line at latitude 37°15′25″ N, longitude 76°32′32″ W; thence to latitude 37°15′42″ N, longitude 76°32′06″ W; thence to latitude 37°15′27″ N, longitude 76°31′48″ W; thence to latitude 37°15′05″ N, longitude 76°31′27″ W; thence to a point on the shore line at latitude 37°14′51″ N, longitude 76°31′50″ W; and thence along the shore line to the point of beginning.

(2) Naval mine service-testing area (restricted). A rectangular area adjacent to the northeast boundary of the prohibited area described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, beginning at latitude 37°16′00″ N, longitude 76°32′29″ W; thence to latitude 37°16′23″ N, longitude 76°32′00″ W; thence to latitude 37°15′27″ N, longitude 76°30′54″ W; thence to latitude 37°15′05″ N, longitude 76°31′27″ W; thence to latitude 37°15′27″ N, longitude 76°31′48″ W; thence to latitude 37°15′05″ N, longitude 76°32′06″ W; thence to latitude 37°15′41″ N, longitude 76°31′50″ W; and thence along the shore line to the point of beginning.

(b) The regulations. (1) All persons and all vessels other than naval craft are forbidden to enter the prohibited area described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(2) Trawling, dragging, and net-fishing are prohibited, and no permanent obstructions may at any time be placed in the area described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. Upon official notification, any vessel anchored in the area and any person in the area will be required to vacate the area during the actual mine-laying operation. Persons and vessels entering the area during mine-laying operations by aircraft must proceed directly through the area without delay, except in case of emergency. Naval authorities are required to publish advance notice of mine-laying and/or retrieving operations scheduled to be carried on in the area, and during such published periods of operation, fishing or other aquatic activities are forbidden in the area. No vessel will be denied passage through the area at any time during either mine-laying or retrieving operations.

(3) The Explosives-Handling Berth (Naval) described in paragraph (a)(3) of this section is reserved for the exclusive use of naval vessels and except in cases of emergency no other vessel shall anchor therein without the permission of local naval authorities, obtained through the Captain of the Port, U.S. Coast Guard, Norfolk, Virginia. There shall be no restriction on the movement of vessels through the Explosive-Handling Berth.

(4) Vessels shall not be anchored, nor shall persons in the water approach within 300 yards of the perimeter of the Explosives-Handling Berth (Naval) when that berth is occupied by a vessel handling explosives.

(5) All persons and all vessels are forbidden to enter the prohibited areas described in paragraphs (a)(4) and (a)(5) of this section without prior permission of the enforcing agency.

(6) The regulations of this section shall be enforced by the Commander, Naval Weapons Station Yorktown, Virginia, and such agencies as he/she may designate.

Dated: March 29, 2012,

Richard C. Lockwood,
Chief, Operations and Regulatory, Directorate of Civil Works.

[FR Doc. 2012–8113 Filed 4–3–12; 8:45 am]
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ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval, Disapproval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Nebraska; Regional Haze State Implementation Plan; Federal Implementation Plan for Best Available Retrofit Technology Determination; Extension of Public Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

SUMMARY: On March 2, 2012, EPA proposed several related actions regarding a State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by Nebraska to address its obligations under the Clean Air Act (CAA) with respect to regional haze for the first implementation period. One such action was EPA’s proposal of a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) relying on the Transport Rule to satisfy Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) requirements for sulfur dioxide (SO₂) at one source in Nebraska to address deficiencies in the State’s implementation plan for regional haze. The original public comments due date was April 2, 2012. EPA is extending the public comment period until May 2, 2012 in order to allow for submission of comments or supplementary information relevant to the proposed action. EPA is also providing notice of opportunity for a public hearing in Kansas City, Kansas, on the proposed FIP, to be held if requested.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published March 2, 2012 (77 FR 12270), is extended. EPA will accept public comments on or before May 2, 2012. If requested by April 9, 2012, a public hearing will be held on April 18, 2012, at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7, Room 2240A, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas from 3:30 p.m. until 5:30 p.m. Parties interested in the status of the public hearing may contact Ms. Chrissy Wolfersberger by phone using the contact information provided below or they may consult the following link: http://www.epa.gov/region7/public_notices/.

ADDRESSES: Instructions for comment submittal. Submit your comments, identified by Docket No. EPA–R07–OAR–2012–0158, by one of the following methods: