

IV. Further Information

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of NRC's "Rules of Practice," final NRC records and documents regarding this proposed action are publicly available in the records component of NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). The request for exemption dated July 21, 2011 (ML11208C453), as supplemented September 28, 2011 (ML11286A143), was docketed under 10 CFR 50, Docket Nos. 50-338 and 50-339, and under 10 CFR 72, Docket No. 72-56. These documents may be inspected at NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), O1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS, or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or (301) 415-4737, or by email to pdr@nrc.gov.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of March, 2012.

Jennie Rankin,

Project Manager, Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2012-8114 Filed 4-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 72-1030, 72-55, 50-280 and 50-281; NRC-2012-0085]

Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation, Virginia Electric and Power Company, Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Issuance of an environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennie Rankin, Project Manager, Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone: (301) 492-3268; Fax number: (301) 492-3342; email: jennivine.rankin@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) is considering issuance of a one-time exemption to Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion or licensee) pursuant to 10 CFR 72.7 from the requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(b)(3) and the portion of 72.212(b)(11) which requires compliance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of the CoC. Dominion submitted its exemption request by letter dated July 21, 2011, as supplemented September 28, 2011. Dominion has loaded spent nuclear fuel into Transnuclear, Inc. (TN) NUHOMS® HD Storage System (HD-32PTH) dry storage casks, under the Certificate of Compliance (CoC or Certificate) No. 1030, Amendment No. 0. The licensee inadvertently reversed the upper and lower zones while preparing the dry shielded canister (DSC) loading maps. This resulted in five fuel assemblies being loaded into four DSCs with decay heat greater than the levels specified in the CoC. Dominion requests a one-time exemption to the 10 CFR Part 72 requirements to continue storage of the affected DSCs with serial numbers DOM-32PTH-001-C, -002-C, -003-C, and -009-C in their current condition at the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) associated with the operation of Dominion's nuclear power reactors, Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2, located in Surry County, Virginia.

II. Environmental Assessment (EA)

Identification of Proposed Action: The CoC is the NRC approved design for each dry storage cask system. The proposed action would grant Dominion a one-time exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(b)(3) and from the portion of 72.212(b)(11) that states the licensee shall comply with the terms, conditions, and specifications of the CoC, to the extent necessary to enable Dominion to continue storage of the four DSCs in their current condition at the ISFSI associated with Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2. These regulations specifically require storage of spent nuclear fuel under a general license in dry storage casks approved under the provisions of 10 CFR part 72, and compliance with the terms and conditions set forth in the CoC for each dry spent fuel storage cask used by an ISFSI general licensee.

The TN NUHOMS® HD dry cask storage system CoC provides requirements, conditions and operating limits in Attachment A, Technical Specifications (TS). The TS restrict the decay heat in lower Zone "1a" locations

to ≤ 1.05 kW and the upper Zone "1b" locations to ≤ 0.8 kW. The applicant inadvertently reversed the upper and lower zones while preparing the DSC loading maps. This resulted in five fuel assemblies being loaded into four DSCs (serial numbers DOM-32PTH-001-C, -002-C, -003-C, and -009-C) with decay heat greater than specified in the CoC. The maximum decay heat of the misloaded fuel assemblies at the time of loading was 0.806 kW, which exceeded the Zone "1b" limit mentioned above by six watts. Currently, the five affected fuel assemblies have been in storage for a minimum of 2.5 years and have decayed to meet the required decay heat limits of the CoC.

The proposed action would grant Dominion a one-time exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(b)(3) and the portion of 72.212(b)(11) which requires compliance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of a CoC, in order to allow continued storage of the four affected DSCs in their current condition. This exemption approval is only valid for DSCs with serial numbers DOM-32PTH-001-C, -002-C, -003-C, and -009-C, at the Surry Power Station ISFSI.

Need for the Proposed Action: Dominion requested this exemption in order to continue storage of four as-loaded DSCs containing five fuel assemblies which exceeded the CoC decay heat limits at the time of loading. Dominion, with the assistance of TN, has provided an evaluation and thermal analysis which shows that the affected DSCs remain bounded by the system's design basis limits and that the continued storage of the fuel in the as-loaded configuration is safe.

Dominion has considered an alternative to the proposed action, which would correct the condition by reloading the affected DSCs to be in compliance with CoC No. 1030. This would involve retrieving each of the DSCs from their Horizontal Storage Modules (HSM), unloading the spent fuel assemblies from the DSC, performing inspections of various DSC components, reloading the spent fuel assemblies into the used DSC or a new DSC (if there was damage noted on the used DSC) in accordance with CoC No. 1030, performing the DSC closing procedures, and transferring the DSC back to the ISFSI for re-insertion into the HSM.

Dominion estimates this alternative action of loading and unloading operations would increase personnel exposures by 250 mRem per affected DSC. In addition, Dominion states the alternative to the proposed action would generate radioactive contaminated

material and waste during loading and unloading operations and disposal of the used DSCs if the DSCs were damaged during the unloading process. The licensee estimates the alternative to the proposed action would cost an estimated \$300,000 for unloading and reloading operations of each affected DSC and also necessitate additional fuel handling operations. If the DSC was damaged during unloading, the licensee estimates an additional \$1,000,000 for purchase of a new DSC and \$200,000 for disposal of the used DSC.

The proposed action is necessary to document the acceptability and safety basis for storage of the DSCs in the as-loaded configuration, thus precluding the need to unload the four DSCs.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action: The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action would not endanger life or property. The potential impact of using the NUHOMS® HD dry cask storage system was initially presented in the Environmental Assessment (EA) for the rulemaking to add the TN NUHOMS® HD Horizontal Modular Storage System for Irradiated Nuclear Fuel to the list of approved spent fuel storage casks in 10 CFR 72.214 (71 FR 25740, dated May 2, 2006 (Direct Final Rule), and 71 FR 71463, dated December 11, 2006 (Final Rule)).

The licensee submitted TN Calculation No. 10494-174, which performed bounding thermal analysis using ANSYS finite element software to evaluate the misloading events. The licensee concluded the maximum fuel cladding temperature for the as loaded DSCs remained below the fuel cladding temperature limit used in the Updated Safety Analysis Report dated October 2, 2009. The NRC staff performed an independent safety evaluation of the proposed exemption and determined that loading of the spent nuclear fuel with higher than allowable decay heat loads did not exceed the structural and shielding design basis and that the fuel cladding temperatures are below the temperature limit at the time of loading. The fuel assemblies have since decayed to meet the CoC limits. There are no changes being made in the types or amounts of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure as a result of the proposed activities. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. The proposed action only affects the requirements associated with the fuel assemblies already loaded into the casks and does not affect non-

radiological plant effluents, or any other aspects of the environment. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternative to the Proposed Action: Because there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed action, alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact were not evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action which would involve reloading the affected DSCs as described previously. Denial of the exemption would result in an increase in radiological exposure to workers, a small potential for radioactive releases to the environment due to radioactive material handling, additional opportunities for accidents, and increased cost to the licensee. Therefore, the NRC staff has determined that approving the proposed action has a lesser environmental impact than denying the proposed action.

Agencies and Persons Consulted: The environmental assessment associated with this exemption request was sent to Ms. Ellie Irons of the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality in the Office of Environmental Impact Review, by letter dated November 14, 2011 (ML113180499). The state response was received by letter dated December 14, 2011 (ML120030312). The letter states that the proposed action is unlikely to have significant effects on ambient air quality, historic resources, surface waters, and wetlands. The letter also states that it is unlikely to adversely affect species of plants or insects listed by state agencies as rare, threatened, or endangered. Furthermore, the Virginia Department of Health considered the alternative to the proposed action of reloading the casks presents several risks, namely additional radiation exposure to workers and potential accidents that may lead to dispersal of radiation to the environment. Thus, the Virginia Department of Health states that it supports the exemption without reservation. The NRC staff has determined that a consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act is not required because the proposed action will not affect listed species or a critical habitat. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not a type of activity having the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no consultation is

required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The environmental impacts of the proposed action have been reviewed in accordance with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR part 51. Based upon the foregoing Environmental Assessment, the Commission finds that the proposed action of granting the one-time exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(b)(3) and the portion of 72.212(b)(11) which requires compliance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of the CoC in order to allow Dominion to store spent fuel assemblies in DSCs with serial numbers DOM-32PTH-001-C, -002-C, -003-C, and -009-C in the as-loaded configuration at the ISFSI associated with Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2, will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined that an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption is not warranted and that a finding of no significant impact is appropriate.

IV. Further Information

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of NRC's "Rules of Practice," final NRC records and documents regarding this proposed action are publicly available in the records component of NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). The request for exemption dated July 21, 2011 (ML11208B629), as supplemented September 28, 2011 (ML11286A115), was docketed under 10 CFR part 50, Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281, and under 10 CFR 72, Docket No. 72-55. These documents may be inspected at NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), O1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS, or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or (301) 415-4737, or by email to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of March, 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jennie Rankin,

Project Manager, Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2012-8111 Filed 4-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHRC) invites the public and other Federal agencies to comment on a proposed information collection concerning participation in conventional proceedings as part of our review of the OSHRC Settlement Part program. OSHRC will submit the proposed information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 4, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit all written comments, identified by the title "Paperwork Reduction Act Information Collection—Conventional Proceedings", by mail or hand delivery to John X. Cerveny, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street NW., Washington, DC 20036-3457, by fax to 202-606-5050, or by email to pracomments@oshrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for information or copies of the proposed information collection instrument should be directed to John X. Cerveny, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street NW., Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036-3457; Telephone (202) 606-5706; email address: pracomments@oshrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OSHRC's Settlement Part program, codified at 29 CFR 2200.120, is designed to encourage settlements on contested citations issued by the U.S. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and to reduce litigation costs. The program requires employers who receive job safety or health citations that include proposed penalties of \$100,000 or more in total to

participate in formal settlement talks presided over by an OSHRC Administrative Law Judge. If settlement efforts fail, the case would continue under OSHRC's conventional proceedings, usually before a judge other than the one who presided over the settlement proceedings.

OSHRC has submitted for OMB review a proposed information collection from participants in the Settlement Part program. A copy of that information collection request (ICR) with applicable supporting documentation may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site, <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>, or by contacting John X. Cerveny, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street NW., Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036-3457; Telephone (202) 606-5706; email address: pracomments@oshrc.gov.

OSHRC proposes to conduct a second voluntary survey of employer, Department of Labor (OSHA) personnel (decision makers), Authorized Employee Representatives, and their representatives, including attorneys, who personally participated in OSHRC cases between February 15, 2011 and June 30, 2012, where a total proposed penalty between \$50,000 and \$99,999 was involved and where OSHRC Settlement Part Process procedures were not used. The cases would include those settled by the parties without an OSHRC judge conducting a face-to-face settlement proceeding, as well as any cases within the above dollar range that went to a trial on the merits. These cases would be considered part of a control group. Participant responses will be used for comparative purposes and to facilitate our understanding of the efficacy of the Settlement Part program. The proposed information collection instrument is a written survey consisting of a series of questions to determine participants' level of satisfaction with OSHRC processes and outcomes. They are intended to take a respondent no more than 30 minutes to complete. The respondents may skip any questions that they do not feel comfortable answering, and are permitted to comment further on their experiences at the end of the questionnaire.

OSHRC will submit the proposed information collection to the Office of Management and Budget for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). OSHRC invites comments on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper

performance of the agency's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

OMB Control Number: Not applicable, new request.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Regular submission (new information collection).

Title: Survey of Participants in OSHRC Conventional Proceedings where between \$50,000 and \$99,999 is at issue.

Description: Information collection required to evaluate the Review Commission's Settlement Part process.

Affected Public: Employer and Department of Labor (OSHA) personnel (decision makers), Authorized Employee Representatives, and their representatives, including attorneys, who have personally participated in OSHRC cases between February 15, 2011 and June 30, 2012, where a total proposed penalty between \$50,000 and \$99,999 was involved and where OSHRC Settlement Part Process procedures were not used.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 500.

Estimated Time per Response: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 250 hours.

Obligation To Respond: Voluntary.

Dated: March 30, 2012.

Debra Hall,

Acting Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2012-8124 Filed 4-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7600-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 30014; File No. 812-13778]

Sunwest Rollover Member LLC; Notice of Application

March 29, 2012.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").