collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Submit comments on or before May 29, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 9000–0067, Incentive Contracts, by any of the following methods:

- Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by inputting “Information Collection 9000–0067, Incentive Contracts” under the heading “Enter Keyword or ID” and selecting “Search”. Select the link “Submit a Comment” that corresponds with “Information Collection 9000–0067, Incentive Contracts”. Follow the instructions provided at the “Submit a Comment” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “Information Collection 9000–0067, Incentive Contracts” on your attached document.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 9000–0067, Incentive Contracts, in all correspondence related to this collection. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Michael O. Jackson, Procurement Analyst, Office of Acquisition Policy, GSA (202) 208–4949 or via email michael.o.jackson@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

In accordance with FAR 16.4, incentive contracts are normally used when a firm fixed-price contract is not appropriate and the required supplies or services can be acquired at lower costs, and sometimes with improved delivery or technical performance, by relating the amount of profit or fee payable under the contract to the contractor’s performance.

The information required periodically from the contractor, such as cost of work already performed, estimated costs of further performance necessary to complete all work, total contract price for supplies or services accepted by the Government for which final prices have not been established, and estimated costs allocable to supplies or services accepted by the Government and for which final prices have not been established, is needed to negotiate the final prices of incentive-related items and services. Contractors are required to submit the information in accordance with several incentive fee FAR clauses: FAR 52.216–16, Incentive Price Revision—Firm Target; FAR 52.216–17, Incentive Price Revision—Successive Targets; and FAR 52.216–10, Incentive Fee.

The contracting officer evaluates the information received to determine the contractor’s performance in meeting the incentive target and the appropriate price revision, if any, for the items or services.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 3,000.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 3,000.

Hours Per Response: 1.

Total Burden Hours: 3,000.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals:

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20417, telephone (202) 501–4755.

Please citeOMB Control No. 9000–0067, Incentive Contracts, in all correspondence.


Laura Auletta,
Director, Office of Governmentwide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Governmentwide Policy.

[FR Doc. 2012–7416 Filed 3–27–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

[Document Identifier OS–0090–0260; 30-Day Notice]

Agency Information Collection Request; 30-Day Public Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of a proposed collection for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency’s functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, email your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and OS document identifier, to Sherette.funncoleman@hhs.gov, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (202) 690–5683. Send written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections within 30 days of this notice directly to the OS OMB Desk Officer; faxed to OMB at 202–395–5806.


Abstract: Section 491(a) of Public Law 99–158 states that the Secretary of HHS shall by regulation require that each entity applying for HHS support (e.g., a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement) to conduct research involving human subjects submit to HHS assurances satisfactory to the Secretary that it has established an institutional review board (IRB) to review the research in order to ensure protection of the rights and welfare of the human research subjects. IRBs are boards, committees, or groups formally designated by an entity to review, approve, and have continuing oversight of research involving human subjects.

Pursuant to the requirement of the Public Law 99–158, HHS promulgated regulations at 45 CFR part 46, subpart A, the basic HHS Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects. The June 18, 1991 adoption of the common Federal Policy (56 FR 28003) by 15 departments and agencies implements a recommendation of the President’s Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral Research which was established on November 9, 1974, by Public Law 95–622. The Common Rule is based on HHS regulations at 45 CFR part 46, subpart A, the basic HHS Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects.
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the intention of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to request that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approve the proposed information collection project: “Adapting Best Practices for Medicaid Readmissions.” In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3521, AHRQ invites the public to comment on this proposed information collection.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by May 29, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted to: Doris Lefkowitz, Reports Clearance Officer, AHRQ, by email at doris.lefkowitz@AHRQ.hhs.gov.

Copies of the proposed collection plans, data collection instruments, and specific details on the estimated burden can be obtained from the AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doris Lefkowitz, AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer, [301] 427–1477, or by email at doris.lefkowitz@AHRQ.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Project

Adapting Best Practices for Medicaid Readmissions

One particular mission of AHRQ is to improve the efficiency of health care through reducing unnecessary health care costs while maintaining or improving quality. The proposed data collection supports this goal through developing strategies to assist safety net hospitals in reducing readmissions for Medicaid patients. Previous research has shown that a focus on transitional care, including needs assessment, discharge planning, post-discharge intervention, and care coordination can reduce avoidable readmissions. Based on this evidence, there have been a number of strategies and resources developed for hospitals to reduce avoidable readmissions, including:

• The Aging & Disability Resource Centers Evidence-Based Care Transitions program by the Administration on Aging & CMS to support state efforts in implementing evidence-based care transition models for older adults and individuals with disabilities.

• The State Action on Avoidable Rehospitalizations (STAAR) initiative by the Institute for Healthcare Improvement to improve care transitions and care coordination through state-based multi-stakeholder collaborative efforts.

• The Hospital-to-Home (H2H) initiative by the American College of Cardiology to reduce readmissions for patients with cardiovascular conditions.

• Project Re-Engineered Discharge (RED), funded by AHRQ and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, to reduce re-hospitalizations by improving hospital discharge processes.

However, the majority of these strategies and resources focuses on general patient populations or specifically targets the elderly and/or disabled, primarily Medicare populations. Recent research finds that rates of readmission among Medicaid-insured non-elderly adults equals that of the elderly, Medicare-insured population and is 60 percent higher than a privately-insured population. It is not known whether existing resources and strategies to reduce readmissions address the circumstances and characteristics of Medicaid-insured patients. Particular socio-demographic characteristics more prevalent in populations insured through Medicaid, such as low-income, racial and ethnic minority, low literacy, housing instability, mental illness, substance abuse disorders, chronic and disabling conditions, language barriers, and discontinuous insurance coverage may mean that strategies for reducing readmissions need to be tailored specifically to the unique needs of this population.

Additionally, safety net hospitals, which serve large populations of the most vulnerable in society and where Medicaid is often a major payer, face unique conditions. Not only do they serve more vulnerable populations, they are often constrained by their financing and governance structures. Safety net hospitals generally operate on lower financial margins than other hospitals because they are often underpaid for many services provided to Medicaid recipients and the uninsured. Faced with declining contributions from state and local governments and payment reduction from both public and private payers, many are struggling to meet the growing demand for their services with stagnant or declining revenues. Resources addressing hospital readmissions may also have to be tailored to meet the unique circumstances of safety net settings.

This project will recruit six safety net hospitals to assess the existing resources and strategies and suggest and test modifications to address the particular circumstances related to Medicaid readmissions and safety net hospital settings. The goals of this project are to:

• Identify factors at the patient, provider, and community levels that especially contribute to hospital readmissions for Medicaid patients;

• Assess and test existing strategies to reduce avoidable readmissions for their adequacy and applicability to Medicaid-insured populations and safety net hospital settings;

• Modify and test modifications of existing strategies as necessary for applicability to Medicaid-insured populations and safety net hospital settings; and

### TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN—DOLLARS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Number of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Average burden per response (in hours)</th>
<th>Total burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.103(b)(4), .109(d) IRB Actions, .116 and .117 Informed Consent</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>39.33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>235,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.115(a) IRB Recordkeeping</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.103(b)(5) Incident Reporting, .113 Suspension or Termination Reporting</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>45/60</td>
<td>2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>39.33</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,138,230</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keith A. Tucker,

Paperwork Reduction Act Clearance Officer,
Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–7464 Filed 3–27–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150–36–P