ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.


Glenna Mickelson,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–7118 Filed 3–23–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 19–2012]

Foreign-Trade Zone 204—Tri-Cities Area, TN/VA; Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Tri-Cities Airport Commission, grantee of FTZ 204, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170, 11/22/09; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 20, 2012.

FTZ 204 was approved by the Board on October 18, 1994 (Board Order 706, 59 FR 54432, 10/31/94) and expanded on June 7, 2002 (Board Order 1233, 67 FR 41393–41394, 06/18/02) and on June 22, 2010 (Board Order 1691, 75 FR 38979–38980).

The current zone project includes the following sites: Site 1 (977 acres, expires 6/30/15)—Tri-Cities Regional Airport complex, 2525 Highway 75, Blountville, Sullivan County, TN; Site 2 (26 acres, expires 6/30/15)—Johnson City Chemical Company, 402 Steel Street, Johnson City, Washington County, TN; Site 3 (330 acres, expires 6/30/15)—Northeast Tennessee Business Park, intersection of TN 357 and Highway 75, Kingsport, Sullivan County, TN; Site 4 (129 acres, expires 6/30/15)—Bristol Tennessee Industrial Park, SR394, Bristol, Sullivan County, TN; Site 5 (799 acres)—Tri-County Industrial Park, Industrial Park Road, Piney Flats, Sullivan County, TN; Site 6 (206 acres, expires 6/30/15)—Regional Med-Tech Center, Med-Tech Parkway, Johnson City, Washington County, TN; Site 7 (103 acres, expires 6/30/15)—Linden/Head Station Industrial Park, Linden Drive at Bonham Road, Bristol, Washington County, VA; Site 8 (2,100 acres, expires 6/30/15)—Holston Business and Technology Park, 4509 West Stone Drive, Kingsport, Hawkins County, TN; Site 9 (134 acres, expires 6/30/15)—Washington County Industrial Park, Cherry Hill Road, Johnson City, Washington County, TN; Site 10 (113 acres, expires 8/31/12)—Oak Park Industrial Park, Westinghouse Road/Rt. 11, Washington County, VA; and, Site 11 (226 acres, expires 6/30/17)—The Partnership Park II, 2504 Weaver Pike, Bristol, Sullivan County, TN.

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be the Counties of Sullivan, Hawkins, Greene, Washington, Unicoi, Carter, Hamblen, and Johnson, Tennessee and the Counties of Buchanan, Dickenson, Wise, Lee, Russell, Scott and Washington, Virginia and the Cities of Norton and Bristol, Virginia as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within/adjacent to the Tri-Cities Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project under the ASF as follows: Sites 1 through 11 would become magnet sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that proposed magnet Site 1 be so exempted. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 204’s authorized subzone.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Kathleen Boyce, the Board’s Executive Secretary.

For further information, contact Kathleen Boyce at Kathleen.Boyce@trade.gov or (202) 482–1346.


Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–7275 Filed 3–23–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 20–2012]

Foreign-Trade Zone 151—Findlay, OH; Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Findlay/Hancock County Chamber of Commerce, grantee of FTZ 151, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170, 1/12/09 (correction 74 FR 3987, 1/22/09); 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 20, 2012.
FTZ 151 was approved by the Board on July 6, 1988 (Board Order 389, 53 FR 27058, 7/18/1988) and expanded on February 10, 1999 (Board Order 1023, 64 FR 8542, 2/22/1999) and April 4, 2004 (Board Order 1332, 69 FR 26067, 5/11/2004).

The current zone project includes the following sites: Site 1 (820 acres)—Tall Timbers Industrial Center, Intersection of State Route 12 and County Road 95, Findlay; and Site 3 (373 acres)—Ottawa Industrial Park, Intersection of Williamstown Street and Sugarmill Drive, Ottawa.

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be Hardin, Putnam, Seneca, Allen and Hancock Counties, Ohio, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Toledo Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to include both of the existing sites as “magnet” sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “setset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 1 be so exempted. No usage-driven sites are being requested at this time. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 151’s authorized subzones.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Elizabeth Whiteman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is May 25, 2012. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to June 11, 2012.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Elizabeth Whiteman at Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov or (202) 482–0473.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[A(32b)–3–2011]

Foreign-Trade Zone 45—Portland, OR
Expansion of Manufacturing Authority
Epson Portland, Inc. (Inkjet Ink); Notice of Approval of Restricted Authority

On December 22, 2011, the Port of Portland, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 45, requested to expand the scope of manufacturing authority approved within Subzone 45F, on behalf of Epson Portland, Inc. (EPI), in Hillsboro, Oregon. The request involved the use of privileged foreign (PF) status (19 CFR 146.41) inputs in manufacturing of ink for inkjet printer cartridges. Notice was given in the Federal Register inviting public comment (A(32b)–3–2011, 76 FR 81475–81476, 12/28/2011).

Section 400.32(b)(1) of the FTZ Board’s regulations (15 CFR part 400) allows the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to act for the Board in making decisions on new manufacturing authority when the zone benefits sought do not involve the election of non-privileged foreign status on items involving imported tariffs. Pursuant to that regulatory provision, on March 8, 2012, the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration approved authority to include the use of certain PF status inputs in the manufacturing of ink for inkjet printer cartridges within Subzone 45F, subject to the FTZ Act (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u) and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.28.

The applicant’s request for broader authority, including the manufacturing of inkjet ink involving foreign-sourced inputs that would be admitted to the subzone under nonprivileged foreign (NPF) status (19 CFR 146.42), will continue to be reviewed and processed under FTZ Docket 7–2012 (77 FR 4006, 1/26/2012).

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–831]

Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of the 2009–2010 Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 20, 2011, the Department published partial preliminary results of the administrative review. 1 On December 7, 2011, the Department published preliminary results of the administrative review.2 On February 27, 2012, the Department published partial final results of the administrative review.3 The final results of the administrative review are currently due no later than April 5, 2012.

Statutory Time Limits

In antidumping duty administrative reviews, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a final determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 120 days after the day on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 120-day period to 180 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the review within the 120-day time period

1 See Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China: Partial Preliminary Results, Rescission of, and Intent To Rescind, in Part, the 2009–2010 Administrative Review, 76 FR 65172 (October 20, 2011).