

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Project No. 753; NRC–2012–0071]

**Proposed Models for Plant-Specific Adoption of Technical Specifications Task Force Traveler TSTF–522, Revision 0, “Revise Ventilation System Surveillance Requirements To Operate for 10 Hours per Month”****AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.**ACTION:** Notice of opportunity for public comment.**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is requesting public comment on the proposed model safety evaluation (SE) for plant-specific adoption of Technical Specifications (TS) Task Force (TSTF) Traveler TSTF–522, Revision 0, “Revise Ventilation System Surveillance Requirements to Operate for 10 hours per Month.”**DATES:** Comment period expires on April 23, 2012. Comments received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.**ADDRESSES:** You may access information and comment submissions related to this document by searching on <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket ID NRC–2012–0071. You may submit comments by the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2012–0071. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–492–3668; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov).

- *Mail comments to:* Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

- *Fax comments to:* RADB at 301–492–3446.

For additional direction on accessing information and submitting comments, see “Accessing Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Michelle C. Honcharik, Senior Project Manager, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone 301–415–1774 or email at [michelle.honcharik@nrc.gov](mailto:michelle.honcharik@nrc.gov). For technical questions please contact Mr.Matthew Hamm, Reactor Systems Engineer, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone 301–415–1472 or email at [matthew.hamm@nrc.gov](mailto:matthew.hamm@nrc.gov).**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Accessing Information and Submitting Comments***A. Accessing Information*

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2012–0071 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access information related to this document by the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2012–0071.

- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may access publicly-available documents online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). TSTF–522, Revision 0, includes a model application and is available in ADAMS under Accession Number ML100890316. The proposed model SE for plant-specific adoption of TSTF–522, Revision 0, is also available under ADAMS Accession Number ML113540250.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

*B. Submitting Comments*

Please include Docket ID NRC–2012–0071 in the subject line of your comment submission, in order to ensure that the NRC is able to make your comment submission available to the public in this docket.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. The NRC posts all comment submissions at <http://www.regulations.gov> as well as entering the comment submissions into ADAMS, and the NRC does not edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include

identifying or contact information in their comment submissions that they do not want to be publicly disclosed. Your request should state that the NRC will not edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

**Background**

The proposed change revises the Improved Standard Technical Specification (ISTS), NUREG–1430, “Standard Technical Specifications Babcock and Wilcox Plants,” NUREG–1431, “Standard Technical Specifications Westinghouse Plants,” NUREG–1432, “Standard Technical Specifications Combustion Engineering Plants,” NUREG–1433, “Standard Technical Specifications General Electric Plants BWR [Boiling Water Reactor]/4,” and NUREG–1434, “Standard Technical Specifications General Electric Plants, BWR/6.” Specifically, the proposed change revises Surveillance Requirements (SRs) for the following systems: The iodine cleanup system/shield building air cleanup system, the control room emergency filtration system, the emergency core cooling system (ECCS) pump room exhaust air cleanup system, and the fuel building air cleanup system. This TS improvement is part of the consolidated line item improvement process (CLIP).

**Additional Technical Details**

TSTF–522, Revision 0, is applicable to all nuclear power plants. The proposed change revises SRs for the following systems: The iodine cleanup system/shield building air cleanup system, the control room emergency filtration system, the ECCS pump room exhaust air cleanup system, and the fuel building air cleanup system. In particular SRs 3.6.11.1/3.6.13.1, 3.7.10.1, 3.7.12.1, 3.7.13.1, and 3.7.14.1, which currently require operating the heaters in the respective systems for at least 10 continuous hours every 31 days, would be changed to require at least 15 continuous minutes of heater operation every 31 days.

This notice provides an opportunity for the public to comment on proposed changes to the ISTS after a preliminary assessment and finding by the NRC staff that the agency will likely offer the changes for adoption by licensees. This notice solicits comment on proposed changes to the ISTS, which if implemented by a licensee will modify the plant-specific TS. The NRC staff will evaluate any comments received for the proposed changes and reconsider the

changes or announce the availability of the changes for adoption by licensees as part of the CLIP. Licensees opting to apply for this TS change are responsible for reviewing the NRC staff's SE, and the applicable technical justifications, providing any necessary plant-specific information, and assessing the completeness and accuracy of their license amendment request (LAR). The NRC will process each amendment application responding to the notice of availability according to applicable NRC rules and procedures.

The proposed changes do not prevent licensees from requesting an alternate approach or proposing changes other than those proposed in TSTF-522, Revision 0. However, significant deviations from the approach recommended in this notice or the inclusion of additional changes to the license require additional NRC staff review. This may increase the time and resources needed for the review or result in NRC staff rejection of the LAR. Licensees desiring significant deviations or additional changes should instead submit an LAR that does not claim to adopt TSTF-522, Revision 0.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of March 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**John R. Jolicoeur**,  
*Chief, Licensing Processes Branch, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*  
 [FR Doc. 2012-6894 Filed 3-21-12; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

**RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

*Summary:* In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title and purpose of information collection:* Employer's Quarterly Report

of Contributions Under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act; OMB 3220-0012.

Under Section 8 of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (RUIA), as amended by the Railroad Unemployment Improvement Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100-647), the RRB determines the amount of an employer's contribution, primarily on the basis of the RUIA benefits paid, both unemployment and sickness, to the employees of the railroad employer. These experienced-based contributions take into account the frequency, volume, and duration of the employees' unemployment and sickness benefits. Each employer's contribution rate includes a component for administrative expenses as well as a component to cover costs shared by all employers. The regulations prescribing the manner and conditions for remitting the contributions and for adjusting overpayments or underpayments of contributions are contained in 20 CFR part 345. RRB Form DC-1, Employer's Quarterly Report of Contributions Under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, is used by Railroad employers to report and remit their quarterly contributions to the RRB. Employers can use either the manual version of the form or its Internet equivalent. One response is requested quarterly of each respondent and completion is mandatory. The RRB proposes no changes to Form DC-1.

**ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL RESPONDENT BURDEN**  
 [The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows]

Form No.	Annual responses	Time (minutes)	Burden (hours)
DC-1 (Manual) .....	1,235	25	515
DC-1 (Internet) .....	1,365	25	569
<b>Total</b> .....	<b>2,600</b>	.....	<b>1,084</b>

*Additional Information or Comments:* To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, contact Dana Hickman at (312) 751-4981 or [Dana.Hickman@RRB.GOV](mailto:Dana.Hickman@RRB.GOV). Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Charles Mierzwa, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 or emailed to [Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV](mailto:Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV). Written

comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

**Charles Mierzwa**,  
*Chief of Information Resources Management.*  
 [FR Doc. 2012-6831 Filed 3-21-12; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7905-01-P**

**RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

**Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review, Request for Comments**

*Summary:* In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) is forwarding an Information Collection Request (ICR)

to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Our ICR describes the information we seek to collect from the public. Review and approval by OIRA ensures that we impose appropriate paperwork burdens.

The RRB invites comments on the proposed collection of information to determine (1) The practical utility of the collection; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden of the collection; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information that is the subject of collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of collections on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or