Accession Number: 20120314–5114.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.
The filings are accessible in the Commission’s eLibrary system by clicking on the links or querying the docket number.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.


Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–6883 Filed 3–21–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IN12–7–000]

**Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc.; Notice of Designation of Certain Commission Personnel as Non-Decisional**

Commission staff members Sandra Waldstein and Joyce Davidson, both of the Office of External Affairs, are assigned to facilitate communication with affected states. The affected states, in New York Independent System Operator, Inc., ISO New England Inc., and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., are eligible to make requests for the apportionment of a fund the Commission established in a March 9, 2012 order in the above-referenced docket.

As “non-decisional” staff, Ms. Waldstein and Ms. Davidson will not participate in an advisory capacity in the Commission’s review of any future filings in the above-referenced docket, including offers of settlement or settlement agreements.

Different Commission “advisory staff” will be assigned to review and process subsequent filings that are made in the above-referenced docket, including any offer of settlement or settlement agreement. Non-decisional staff and advisory staff are prohibited from subsequent communications with one another concerning matters in the above-referenced docket.

Dated: March 16, 2012.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–6881 Filed 3–21–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Don Pedro Hydroelectric Project Project No. 2299–075]

**Turlock Irrigation District, & Modesto Irrigation District; Notice of Dispute Resolution Process Schedule, Panel, Technical Conference, and Modified Filing Times for Panel Recommendations and Dispute Determination**

On January 11, 2012, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the California Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) (collectively, the Agencies), filed a Notice of Study Dispute to initiate the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (Commission) formal study dispute resolution process, pursuant to 18 CFR 5.14, in the relicensing proceeding for the Don Pedro Hydroelectric Project No. 2299–075.¹ Turlock Irrigation District and the Modesto Irrigation District (collectively, the Districts), are co-licensees for the Don Pedro Hydroelectric Project.

In its Notice of Study Dispute, NMFS disputes the December 22, 2011 Study Plan Determination’s treatment of eight of its June 10, 2011 study requests. NMFS identified study requests 1–4 and 7–9 as being in dispute. Specifically, the disputed study requests are: Request 1—Effects of the Project and Related LaGrange Complex Facilities on Anadromous Fish; Request 2—Effects of the Project and Related Facilities Evaluated Through an Operations Model; Request 3—Effects of the Project

¹ The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) also requested dispute resolution on two studies requested by the California Department of Fish and Game (Cal Fish and Game) and one study requested by NMFS. Additionally, NMFS requested dispute resolution on one of the Cal Fish and Game studies. In a March 9, 2012, letter the Director of the Office of Energy Projects determined that the Cal Fish and Game’s studies would not be referred to dispute resolution because a disputing agency can only dispute a study request that it has made itself. The dispute regarding the study requested by NMFS and endorsed by FWS will go forward as a NMFS study dispute.