if both of the following conditions are met:
(1) The current MLR reporting year and each of the two previous MLR reporting years included experience of at least 1,000 life-years; and
(2) Without applying any credibility adjustment, the issuer’s MLR for the current MLR reporting year and each of the two previous MLR reporting years were below the applicable MLR standard for each year as established under §158.210 in this subpart.

Dated: October 11, 2011.
Donald M. Berwick,
Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Kathleen Sebelius,
Secretary.

§158.210 Revised in this subpart.

I. Background

1. In Council Tree Communications, Inc. v. FCC, 619 F.3d 235 (3d Cir. 2010), cert. denied, 131 S. Ct. 1784 (2011), the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit vacated two modifications the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) had made in 2006 to its competitive bidding rules for designated entities on the ground that the Commission had failed to provide the public an adequate opportunity for notice and comment. The Commission removes the two modifications in accordance with the Third Circuit’s mandate.

2. The Third Circuit held that the Commission’s impermissible material relationship rule in 47 CFR 1.2110(b)(3)(iv)(A) and its extension of the unjust enrichment period from five years to ten years in 47 CFR 1.2111(d)(2) had been adopted without the notice and opportunity for comment required by the Administrative Procedure Act. The Court thus vacated the impermissible material relationship rule and ordered reinstatement of the Commission’s previous five year unjust enrichment payment schedule. The Court also denied Council Tree’s petition for review with respect to the attributable-material-relationship rule articulated in 47 CFR 1.2110(b)(1) and (b)(3)(iv)(B).

II. Discussion

3. The Order conforms Part 1 of the Commission’s rules to the Court’s mandate by amending 47 CFR 1.2110 to remove paragraph (b)(3)(iv)(A) and 47 CFR 1.2111 by removing paragraph (d)(2)(i) as no longer applicable and reinstating the previous version of the payment schedule in 47 CFR 1.2111(d)(2). The Order also conforms other Part 1 rules, as necessary, to remove several references to impermissible material relationships.

4. The Commission finds that notice and comment are unnecessary for these rule amendments under 5 U.S.C. Section 553(b), because this is a ministerial order issued at the direction of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

III. Congressional Review Act

5. The Commission will send a copy of the Order to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedures, Auctions, Licensing, Telecommunications.

Federal Communications Commission.

Bulah F. Wheeler,
Deputy Manager.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR part 1 as follows:

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

§1.2110 Designated entities.

(A) Grandfathering (1) Licensees. An attributable material relationship shall not disqualify a licensee for previously awarded benefits before April 25, 2006, based on spectrum lease or resale (including wholesale) arrangements entered into before April 25, 2006.

(B) Applicants. An attributable material relationship shall not disqualify an applicant seeking eligibility in an application for a license, authorization, assignment, or transfer of control or for partitioning or disaggregation filed before April 25, 2006, based on spectrum lease or resale (including wholesale) arrangements entered into before April 25, 2006.
relationship(s) of those entities that are its affiliates based solely on paragraph (c)(5)(i)(C) of this section if those affiliates entered into such material relationship(s) before April 25, 2006, and are subject to a contractual prohibition preventing them from contributing to the applicant’s total financing.

(j) Designated entities must describe on their long-form applications how they satisfy the requirements for eligibility for designated entity status, and must list and summarize on their long form applications all agreements that affect designated entity status such as partnership agreements, shareholder agreements, management agreements, spectrum leasing arrangements, spectrum resale (including wholesale) arrangements, and all other agreements including oral agreements, establishing as applicable, de facto or de jure control of the entity or the presence or absence of attributable material relationships. Designated entities also must provide the date(s) on which they entered into any of the agreements listed. In addition, designated entities must file with their long-form applications a copy of each such agreement. In order to enable the Commission to audit designated entity eligibility on an ongoing basis, designated entities that are awarded eligibility must, for the term of the license, maintain at their facilities or with their designated agents the lists, summaries, dates and copies of agreements required to be identified and provided to the Commission pursuant to this paragraph and to § 1.2114.

3. Section 1.2111 is revised by removing paragraph (d)(2)(i) and redesignating paragraphs (d)(2)(ii) and (iii) as paragraphs (d)(2)(i) and (ii) and by revising them to read as follows:

§ 1.2111 Assignment or transfer of control: unjust enrichment.

(d) * * *

(2) Payment schedule. (j) The amount of payments made pursuant to paragraph (d)(1) of this section will be reduced over time as follows:

(A) A transfer in the first two years of the license term will result in a forfeiture of 100 percent of the value of the bidding credit (or in the case of very small businesses transferring to very small businesses, 100 percent of the difference between the bidding credit received by the former and the bidding credit for which the latter is eligible);

(B) A transfer in year 3 of the license term will result in a forfeiture of 75 percent of the value of the bidding credit;

(C) A transfer in year 4 of the license term will result in a forfeiture of 50 percent of the value of the bidding credit;

(D) A transfer in year 5 of the license term will result in a forfeiture of 25 percent of the value of the bidding credit; and

(E) For a transfer in year 6 or thereafter, there will be no payment.

(ii) These payments will have to be paid to the United States Treasury as a condition of approval of the assignment, transfer, ownership change or reportable eligibility event (see § 1.2114).

* * * * *

■ 4. Section 1.2112 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(1)(iii) and (b)(2)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 1.2112 Ownership disclosure requirements for applications.

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(iii) List and summarize all agreements or instruments (with appropriate references to specific provisions in the text of such agreements and instruments) that support the applicant’s eligibility as a small business under the applicable designated entity provisions, including the establishment of de facto or de jure control or the presence or absence of attributable material relationships. Such agreements and instruments include articles of incorporation and by-laws, partnership agreements, shareholder agreements, voting or other trust agreements, management agreements, franchise agreements, spectrum leasing arrangements, spectrum resale (including wholesale) arrangements, and any other relevant agreements (including letters of intent), oral or written;

* * * * *

(2) * * *

(iii) List and summarize all agreements or instruments (with appropriate references to specific provisions in the text of such agreements and instruments) that support the applicant’s eligibility as a small business under the applicable designated entity provisions, including the establishment of de facto or de jure control or the presence or absence of attributable material relationships. Such agreements and instruments include articles of incorporation and by-laws, partnership agreements, shareholder agreements, voting or other trust agreements, management agreements, franchise agreements, spectrum leasing arrangements, spectrum resale (including wholesale) arrangements, and any other relevant agreements (including letters of intent), oral or written;

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2012–6946 Filed 3–20–12; 8:45 am] 
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 191, 192, 193 and 195

[Docket No. PHMSA–2012–0001]

Pipeline Safety: Implementation of the National Registry of Pipeline and Liquefied Natural Gas Operators

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.


SUMMARY: This notice advises owners and operators of pipeline facilities of PHMSA’s plan for implementing the national registry of pipeline and liquefied natural gas operators. This notice provides updates to the information contained in a PHMSA Advisory Bulletin published on January 13, 2012 (77 FR 2126).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jamerson Pender, Information Resources Manager, 202–366–0218 or by email at Jamerson.Pender@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On November 26, 2010, PHMSA published a final rule in the Federal Register [75 FR 72878] titled: “Pipeline Safety: Updates to Pipeline and Liquefied Natural Gas Reporting Requirements.” That final rule added two new sections, 49 CFR 191.22 and 195.64, to the pipeline safety regulations that concerned the establishment of a national registry of pipeline and liquefied natural gas (LNG) operators. New operators use the national registry to obtain an Operator Identification (OPID) Number and existing operators use it to notify PHMSA of certain actions, including company name changes, certain construction activities, and project planning.

The national pipeline operator registry became effective on January 1, 2012. In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act requirements, PHMSA issued a 60-day Federal Register notice on December 13, 2010 (75 FR 77604), and a 30-day Federal Register notice on