

Technologies Inc., Tai-Yuan, St. Jubei, TAIWAN; Crealab SRL, Rome, ITALY; Danal Entertainment Inc., Seongnam-si, Gyeonggi-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; deconits GmbH, Loebau, GERMANY; Dimark Software, Inc., Cupertino, CA; EnSoft Co., Ltd., BundangGu, Seongnam City, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; FancyFon Software Ltd., Cork, IRELAND; Funambol, Pavia, ITALY; Future Dial, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Garmin International Inc., Olathe, KS; GlobalLogic Inc., San Jose, CA; GMIT GmbH, Berlin, GERMANY; GMV Soluciones Globales Internet, S.A.U., Madrid, SPAIN; GoldSpot Media Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Handmark, Inc., Kansas City, MI; Hewlett-Packard, Cupertino, CA; iAnywhere Solutions Inc., Corvalis, OR; IBM Corporation, Somers, NY; IfeN GmbH, Poing, GERMANY; INNOACE Ltd., Twanak-gu, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Irdet, Access B.V., Ka Moofodorp, NETHERLANDS; kt mhow Inc., Kangnam-gu, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Kvaleberg AS, Oslo, NORWAY; Mobicell Networks Ltd., Raanana, ISRAEL; Movial Applications, Helsinki, FINLAND; Myriad Group AG, Le Bourget Du Lac, FRANCE; NDS Limited, Middlesex, UNITED KINGDOM; O3SIS AG, Overath, GERMANY; Openwave, Redwood City, CA; PacketVideo Corp., San Diego, CA; Palm, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; POINT-I CO., Ltd., Gwanjin-gu, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Prim'Vision, Villeneuve-Loubet, FRANCE; RedKnee, Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, CANADA; Roundbox, Inc., Bridgewater, NJ; Sagem Wireless, Paris, FRANCE; Sofia Digital Ltd., Tampere, FINLAND; Spectracore Technologies, San Diego, CA; Sprint, Lenexa, KS; SS8 Networks, Milpitas, CA; Syniverse Technologies, Inc., Tampa, FL; Tactel AB, Jonkoping, SWEDEN; Telcordia, Piscataway, NJ; Telstra Corporation Limited, Melbourne, AUSTRALIA; Ublox AG, Thalwil, SWITZERLAND; and Z-Think, LLC., Alpharetta, GA.

The following members have changed their names: Synclore Corporation to KII Corporation, Minato-ku, Tokyo, JAPAN; LG Telecom LTD. to LG Uplus Corp., Mapo-gu, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Mtag to Mobile Tag SAS, Paris, FRANCE; and Sagem Orga GmbH to Morpho Cards GmbH, Paderborn, GERMANY.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OMA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 18, 1998, OMA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of

Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 31, 1998 (63 FR 72333).

The last notification was filed with the Department on June 1, 2011. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 20, 2011 (76 FR 43346).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012-6292 Filed 3-14-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[OMB Number 1110—NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comments Requested; Monthly Return of Human Trafficking Offenses Known to Law Enforcement

ACTION: 30-day Notice of Information Collection Under Review.

The Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services Division (CJIS) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with established review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 77, Number 6, pages 1511–1512, on January 10, 2012, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until (insert the date 30 days from the date this notice is published in the **Federal Register**). This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to Mr. Gregory E. Scarbro, Unit Chief, Federal Bureau of Investigation, CJIS Division, Module E-3, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306; facsimile (304) 625–3566.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of

information are encouraged. Comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques of other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of information collection:* New collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Monthly Return of Human Trafficking Offenses Known to Law Enforcement

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the department sponsoring the collection:* No Form number.

Sponsor: Criminal Justice Information Services Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: City, county, state, federal and tribal law enforcement agencies. Brief Abstract: This collection is needed to collect information on human trafficking incidents committed throughout the United States.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* There are approximately 18,108 law enforcement agency respondents that submit monthly for a total of 217,296 responses with an estimated response time of 5 minutes per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with this collection:* There are approximately 18,108 hours, annual burden, associated with this information collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, Two Constitutional Square, 145 N Street

NE., Room 2E-508, Washington, DC 20530.

Jerri Murray,
Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.
[FR Doc. 2012-6264 Filed 3-14-12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement; Notice of Determination Regarding Review of Submission #2011-03

AGENCY: Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of Trade and Labor Affairs (OTLA) gives notice that on February 22, 2012, Submission #2011-03 was accepted for review pursuant to Article 16.4.3 of the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR).

Father Christopher Hartley filed the submission with OTLA on December 22, 2011. The submitter alleges that the Government of the Dominican Republic (GODR) failed to fulfill its obligations under Chapter 16 of the CAFTA-DR (the Labor Chapter). U.S. Submission #2011-3 alleges that the GODR's actions or lack thereof denied workers their rights under the laws of the Dominican Republic relating to freedom of association, the right to organize, child labor, forced labor, the right to bargain collectively, and acceptable conditions of work. These allegations are supported by statements which, if substantiated, could constitute a failure on the part of the Dominican Republic to comply with its obligations under the CAFTA-DR.

The objective of the review of the submission will be to gather information so that OTLA can better understand the allegations therein and publicly report on the U.S. Government's views regarding whether the GODR's actions were consistent with its obligations under the Labor Chapter of the CAFTA-DR.

DATES: Effective Date: February 22, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Gregory Schoepfle, Director, OTLA, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room S-5303, Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693-4900. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Article 16.4.3 of the Labor Chapter of the CAFTA-DR provides for the receipt and review of public communications ("submissions") regarding labor law matters in Central America and the Dominican Republic. A **Federal Register** notice issued on December 21, 2006 informed the public that the OTLA had been designated as the office to serve as the contact point for implementing the CAFTA-DR's labor provisions. The same **Federal Register** notice informed the public of the Procedural Guidelines that OTLA would follow for the receipt and review of public submissions (71 FR 76691 (2006)). These Procedural Guidelines are available at <http://www.dol.gov/ilab/programs/otla/proceduralguidelines.htm>. According to the definitions contained in the Procedural Guidelines (Section B) a "submission" is "a communication from the public containing specific allegations, accompanied by relevant supporting information, that another Party has failed to meet its commitments or obligations arising under a labor chapter or Part Two of the NAALC."

The Procedural Guidelines specify that OTLA shall consider six factors, to the extent that they are relevant, in determining whether to accept a submission for review:

1. Whether the submission raises issues relevant to any matter arising under a labor chapter or the NAALC;
2. Whether a review would further the objectives of a labor chapter or the NAALC;
3. Whether the submission clearly identifies the person filing the submission, is signed and dated, and is sufficiently specific to determine the nature of the request and permit an appropriate review;
4. Whether the statements contained in the submission, if substantiated, would constitute a failure of the other Party to comply with its obligations or commitments under a labor chapter or the NAALC;
5. Whether the statements contained in the submission or available information demonstrate that appropriate relief has been sought under the domestic laws of the other Party, or that the matter or a related matter is pending before an international body; and
6. Whether the submission is substantially similar to a recent submission and significant, new information has been furnished that would substantially differentiate the submission from the one previously filed.

U.S. Submission #2011-3 alleges that the GODR's actions or lack thereof denied workers their rights under the laws of the Dominican Republic relating to freedom of association, the right to organize, child labor, forced labor, the right to bargain collectively, and acceptable conditions of work.

In determining whether to accept the submission, OTLA considered the relevant factors in light of the statements in the submission and its supporting documentation. The submission clearly identifies the submitter, is signed and dated, and upon clarification, was sufficiently specific to determine the nature of the request and permit an appropriate review. It also raises issues relevant to the Labor Chapter of the CAFTA-DR, citing numerous problems in the sugar sector that it believes are in violation of the Dominican Republic's labor laws. The submission raises pertinent issues that would further the objectives of the Labor Chapter and that could, if substantiated, constitute a failure of the GODR to comply with its obligations under the Labor Chapter. The submitter provided additional information, including a list of articles of the Labor Code, the Constitution of the Dominican Republic, and ILO Conventions that he believes were violated by the allegations in the submission. The submitter provided information on his efforts to seek appropriate relief for these alleged violations under domestic laws and to raise the issues with GODR officials. The submission also notes that the issues in the submission have been raised in international fora, but to date, they have not been remedied. OTLA has not received similar submissions. Accordingly, OTLA has accepted the submission for review.

OTLA's decision to accept the submission for review is not intended to indicate any determination as to the validity or accuracy of the allegations contained in the submission. The objective of the review of the submission will be to gather information so that OTLA can better understand the allegations therein and publicly report on the issues raised by the submission. OTLA will complete the review and issue a public report within 180 days, unless circumstances, as determined by OTLA, require an extension of time, as set out in the Procedural Guidelines. The public report will include a summary of the review process, as well as any findings and recommendations.