

pesticide market rather than conduct testing, and, under the “opt-out” provision, were required to cease, within 6 months of EPA issuing the test order, all sales and distribution of their chemical for use in pesticide formulations.

EPA is not pursuing further test orders at this time for these chemicals. None meet the criteria for new test orders under SDWA, and dialogue with pesticide trade associations indicates that member companies are unlikely to develop data in response to further FFDCa test orders. Instead, EPA intends to no longer approve the use of these inert ingredients in pesticide registration applications or reformulations unless a commenter commits to submitting required data. The effective date for this action would be the same effective date that EPA has proposed for revoking the tolerance exemptions for methyl ethyl ketone and diethyl phthalate; that is, 6 months after the date EPA publishes the tolerance revocation final rule. You can find the proposed rule in this issue of the **Federal Register**. For products already in the marketplace, EPA intends to take appropriate action to ensure registrants either reformulate or cancel those products. If necessary, EPA will issue test orders (product-specific Data Call-Ins). EPA also is reminding registrants that current regulations require them to amend any pesticide product registrations before selling a pesticide product with a composition different from that listed on the approved Confidential Statement of Formula.

EPA believes its proposed timeline for no longer approving use of these chemicals as inert ingredients gives registrants sufficient time to take appropriate action. Under the EDSP test orders, the manufacturers and importers that “opted out” of testing had to cease all sales and distribution to the pesticide market within 6 months of EPA issuing the test order. EPA issued the last test orders for these chemicals on January 28, 2010, so all sales and distribution of methyl ethyl ketone, butyl benzyl phthalate, dibutyl phthalate, diethyl phthalate, and dimethyl phthalate for use in pesticide formulations were to have ceased as of July 28, 2010. EPA has also been performing outreach to trade groups to inform them about the potential loss of these chemicals as inert ingredients. This **Federal Register** document provides further notice.

To help companies avoid formulating new product with methyl ethyl ketone, butyl benzyl phthalate, dibutyl phthalate, diethyl phthalate, and dimethyl phthalate, EPA plans to

remove them from its lists of approved inert ingredients. These lists, now consolidated in a web-searchable database called “InertFinder” (<http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/inertfinder>), are informational only. Adding or removing a chemical from these lists is not a regulatory action. InertFinder points users to the Code of Federal Regulations as the legal record for uses that require a tolerance or tolerance exemption for residues on raw agricultural commodities or processed food. For inert ingredient uses that do not require a tolerance or exemption (such as nonfood- only uses), InertFinder helps formulators find chemicals that EPA has previously approved for use as inert ingredients in pesticide products.

#### *B. What is the agency's authority for taking this action?*

The statutory authority for the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program is described in detail in a companion document in this issue of the **Federal Register** which proposes to revoke the tolerance exemptions for methyl ethyl ketone and diethyl phthalate, and in a **Federal Register** notice titled, “Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program: Policies and Procedures for Initial Screening,” (74 FR 17560), <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2009-04-15/pdf/E9-8706.pdf>.

#### **List of Subjects**

Environmental protection, Endocrine disruptors, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: February 17, 2012.

**Lois Rossi,**

*Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2012-6164 Filed 3-13-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**[EPA-HQ-ORD-2012-0175; FRL-9647-1]**

### **Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board Membership**

**AGENCY:** U. S. Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice Soliciting Nominations for Membership.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA invites nominations from a diverse range of qualified candidates to be considered for appointment to the Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB). The ELAB is a multi-stakeholder federal advisory committee that provides independent advice and recommendations to the EPA Administrator, Science Advisor, and

Forum on Environmental Measurements about cross-cutting issues related to enhancing measurement programs in the EPA, and facilitating the operation and expansion of national environmental accreditation.

This notice solicits nominations to fill six new vacancies. To maintain diverse representation, nominees will be selected from the following stakeholder work force sectors:

- Academia.
- Business and industry.
- Environmental laboratory commercial, municipal, small, other.
- Environmental laboratory suppliers of services.
- State and local government agencies.
- Tribal governments and indigenous groups.
- Trade associations.

Within these sectors, the EPA is seeking nominees with knowledge in methods development; measurements; monitoring and regulatory programs; quality systems; and environmental accreditation. In an effort to obtain nominations of diverse candidates, the EPA encourages nominations of women and men of all racial and ethnic groups. All nominations will be fully considered.

Any interested person or organization may nominate qualified persons to be considered for appointment to this advisory committee. Individuals may self-nominate. Nominees should possess the following qualifications:

- Demonstrated experience with environmental measurement programs and environmental accreditation;
- Willingness to commit time to the committee, and demonstrated ability to work constructively and effectively on committees;
- Excellent interpersonal, oral, and written communication and consensus-building skills; and
- Ability to serve a two-year appointment and volunteer approximately five to seven hours per month to support the activities of the ELAB.

*How to Submit Nominations:* Nominations can be submitted in electronic format (preferred) to Lara P. Autry, Designated Federal Officer, US EPA, MC E243-05, 109 T. W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, or emailed to [autry.lara@epa.gov](mailto:autry.lara@epa.gov) and should be received by April 13, 2012 for October 2012 appointment. To be considered, all nomination packages should include:

- Current contact information for the nominee, including the nominee's name, organization (and position within that organization), current business

address, email address, and daytime telephone number.

- A brief statement describing the nominee's interest in serving on the ELAB.
  - A resume describing the professional and educational qualifications of the nominee, including a list of relevant activities, and any current or previous service on advisory committees.
  - Letter(s) of recommendation from a third party supporting the nomination.
- For further questions regarding this notice, please contact Lara P. Autry on (919) 541-5544 or [autry.lara@epa.gov](mailto:autry.lara@epa.gov).

Dated: March 7, 2012.

**Lek Kadeli,**

*Acting Assistant Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2012-6178 Filed 3-13-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Information Collections Being Submitted for Review and Approval to the Office of Management and Budget

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before April 13, 2012. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contacts below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, OMB, via fax 202-395-5167, or via email [Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov); and to Cathy Williams, FCC, via email [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov) <<mailto:PRA@fcc.gov>> and to [Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov](mailto:Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov). Include in the comments the OMB control number as shown in the "Supplementary Information" section below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418-2918. To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to the Web page <<http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>>, (2) look for the section of the Web page called "Currently Under Review," (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the "Select Agency" box below the "Currently Under Review" heading, (4) select "Federal Communications Commission" from the list of agencies presented in the "Select Agency" box, (5) click the "Submit" button to the right of the "Select Agency" box, (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the OMB control number of this ICR and then click on the ICR Reference Number. A copy of the FCC submission to OMB will be displayed.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*OMB Control Number:* 3060-0027.

*Title:* Application for Construction Permit for Commercial Broadcast Station, FCC Form 301.

*Form Number:* FCC Form 301.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business and other for-profit entities; Not for profit entities; State, local or Tribal governments.

*Number of Respondents and*

*Responses:* 4,604 respondents and 8,040 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 1-6.25 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 20,497 hours.

*Total Annual Costs:* \$90,659,382.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in Sections 154(i), 303 and 308 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:* There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

*Privacy Impact Assessment(s):* No impact(s).

*Needs and Uses:* On January 28, 2010, the Commission adopted a First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("First R&O") in MB Docket No. 09-52, FCC 10-24. To enhance the ability of federally recognized Native American Tribes to provide vital radio services to their citizens on Tribal lands, in the First R&O the Commission established a Tribal Priority for use in its radio licensing procedures. On March 3, 2011, the Commission adopted a Second Report and Order ("Second R&O"), First Order on Reconsideration, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making in MB Docket No. 09-52, FCC 11-28. On December 28, 2011, the Commission adopted a Third Report and Order in MB Docket No. 09-52, FCC 11-190 ("Third R&O"). In the Third R&O the Commission further refined the use of the Tribal Priority in the commercial FM context, specifically adopting a "threshold qualifications" approach to commercial FM application processing.

In the commercial FM context, the Tribal Priority is applied at the allotment stage of the licensing process. A Tribe or Tribal entity initiates the process by petitioning that a new Tribal Allotment be added to the FM Table of Allotments using the Tribal Priority. A petitioner seeking to add a Tribal Allotment to the FM Table of Allotments, like all other FM allotment proponents, must file FCC Form 301 when submitting its Petition for Rule Making. Under the new "threshold qualification" procedures adopted in the Third R&O, once a Tribal Allotment has been successfully added to the FM Table of Allotments using the Tribal Priority through an FM allocations rulemaking, the Commission will announce by Public Notice a Threshold Qualifications Window ("TQ Window"). During the TQ Window, any Tribe or Tribal entity that could qualify to add that particular Tribal Allotment may file an FCC Form 301 application for that Tribal Allotment. Such an