COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Ohio Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), that a fact finding meeting of the Ohio Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 9 a.m. and adjourn at 5 p.m. on April 4, 2012, at Wilmington College, McCoy Room, College Street, Wilmington, OH 45177. The purpose of the meeting is to conduct a fact finding on civil rights issues regarding barriers to entrepreneurship in Ohio. Participants of the meeting will include community representatives, government officials, business leaders, and other interested parties.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office by May 4, 2012. The address is 55 W. Monroe St., Suite 410, Chicago, IL 60603. Persons wishing to email their comments, or to present their comments verbally at the meeting, or who desire additional information should contact Carolyn Allen, Administrative Assistant, (312) 353–8311, or by email: callen@uscrr.gov.

Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Commission’s site, or who desire additional information may be inspected and reproduced at the site, or who desire additional information may be inspected and reproduced at the site.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Peter Minarik,
Acting Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review for certain lined paper products from India (CLPP). This review covers 35 manufacturers and exporters of the subject merchandise, including Navneet Publications (India) Limited (Navneet) and Riddhi Enterprises (Riddhi), for the period September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2010. As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the Preliminary Results. On February 3, 2012, the Department extended the final results of this review from February 6, 2012, to March 5, 2012.

For our final results, we continue to find that Navneet and Riddhi have made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). In addition, based on these final results for Navneet and Riddhi, we have determined that the 33 remaining non-selected companies will receive the weighted-average non-selected respondent rate as calculated in these final results.

DATES: Effective Date: March 13, 2012.

* * *

See Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 62343 (October 7, 2011) (Preliminary Results).


* * *


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore (Navneet) and George McMahon (Riddhi), AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3692, (202) 482–1167, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments From Interested Parties

We invited parties to comment on our Preliminary Results. Riddhi submitted a case brief on November 4, 2011, and the petitioner and Navneet submitted case briefs on November 7, 2011, respectively. On November 14, 2011, Navneet filed a rebuttal brief. On November 15, 2011, the petitioner filed a rebuttal brief. The petitioner filed a letter on December 14, 2011, requesting that the Department reject Navneet’s rebuttal brief claiming it included untimely filed new factual information. The Department found that Navneet did in fact, include certain untimely filed factual information in its rebuttal brief and rejected this brief on December 16, 2011. Pursuant to the Department’s letter of December 16, 2011, Navneet refiled its rebuttal brief on December 23, 2011, to exclude the untimely filed factual information.

Scope of the Order

The scope of this order includes certain lined paper products, typically school supplies (for purposes of this scope definition, the actual use of or labeling these products as school supplies or non-school supplies is not a defining characteristic) composed of or including paper that incorporates straight horizontal and/or vertical lines on ten or more paper sheets (there shall be no minimum page requirement for loose leaf filler paper) including but not limited to such products as single- and
multi-subject notebooks, composition books, wireless notebooks, loose leaf or glued filler paper, graph paper, and laboratory notebooks, and with the smaller dimension of the paper measuring 6 inches to 15 inches (inclusive) and the larger dimension of the paper measuring 8 1/2 inches to 15 inches (inclusive). Page dimensions are measured size (not advertised, stated, or “tear-out” size), and are measured as they appear in the product (i.e., stitched and folded pages in a notebook are measured by the size of the page as it appears in the notebook page, not the size of the unfolded paper). However, for measurement purposes, pages with tapered or rounded edges shall be measured at their longest and widest points. Subject lined paper products may be loose, packaged or bound using any binding method (other than case bound through the inclusion of binders board, a spine strip, and cover wrap). Subject merchandise may or may not contain any combination of a front cover, a rear cover, and/or backing of any composition, regardless of the inclusion of images or graphics on the cover, backing, or paper. Subject merchandise is within the scope of this order whether or not the lined paper and/or cover are hole punched, drilled, perforated, and/or reinforced. Subject merchandise may contain accessory or informational items including but not limited to pockets, tabs, dividers, closure devices, index cards, stencils, protractors, writing implements, reference materials such as mathematical tables, or printed items such as sticker sheets or miniature calendars, if such items are physically incorporated, included with, or attached to the product, cover and/or backing thereto.

Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are:

- Unlined copy machine paper;
- Writing pads with a backing (including but not limited to products commonly known as “tablets,” “note pads,” “legal pads,” and “quadrille pads”), provided that they do not have a front cover (whether permanent or removable). This exclusion does not apply to such writing pads if they consist of hole-punched or drilled filler paper;
- Three-ring or multi-ring binders, or notebook organizers incorporating such a ring binder provided that they do not include subject paper;
- Index cards;
- Printed books and other books that are case bound through the inclusion of binders board, a spine strip, and cover wrap;
- Newspapers;
- Pictures and photographs;
- Desk and wall calendars and organizers (including but not limited to such products generally known as “office planners,” “time books,” and “appointment books”);
- Telephone logs;
- Address books;
- Columnar pads & tablets, with or without covers, primarily suited for the recording of written numerical business data;
- Lined business or office forms, including but not limited to: pre-printed business forms, lined invoice pads and paper, mailing and address labels, manifests, and shipping log books;
- Lined continuous computer paper;
- Boxed or packaged writing stationary (including but not limited to products commonly known as “fine business paper,” “parchment paper,” and “letterhead”), whether or not containing a lined header or decorative lines;
- Stenographic pads (“steno pads”). Gregg ruled (“Gregg ruling” consists of a single- or double-margin vertical ruling line down the center of the page. For a six-inch by nine-inch stenographic pad, the ruling would be located approximately three inches from the left of the book), measuring 6 inches by 9 inches;
- Also excluded from the scope of this order are the following trademarked products:
  - Fly™ lined paper products: A notebook, a notebook organizer, loose or glued note paper, with papers that are printed with infrared reflective inks and readable only by a Fly™ pen-top computer. The product must bear the valid trademark Fly™ (products found to be bearing an invalidly licensed or used trademark are not excluded from the scope).
  - Zwipes™: A notebook or notebook organizer made with a blended polyolefin writing surface as the cover and pocket surfaces of the notebook, suitable for writing using a specially-developed permanent marker and erase system (known as a Zwipes™ pen). This system allows the marker portion to mark the writing surface with a permanent ink. The eraser portion of the marker dispenses a solvent capable of solubilizing the permanent ink allowing the ink to be removed. The product must bear the valid trademark Zwipes™ (products found to be bearing an invalidly licensed or used trademark are not excluded from the scope).
  - FiveStar™: A notebook or notebook organizer bound by a continuous spiral, or helical, wire and with plastic front and rear covers made of a blended polyolefin plastic material joined by 300 denier polyester, coated on the backside with PVC (poly vinyl chloride) coating, and extending the entire length of the spiral or helical wire. The polyolefin plastic covers are of specific thickness; front cover is 0.019 inches (within normal manufacturing tolerances) and rear cover is 0.028 inches (within normal manufacturing tolerances). Integral with the stitching that attaches the polyester spine covering, is captured both ends of a 1” wide elastic fabric band. This band is located 2%” from the top of the front plastic cover and provides pen or pencil storage. Both ends of the spiral wire are cut and then bent backwards to overlap with the previous coil but specifically outside the coil diameter but inside the polyester covering. During construction, the polyester covering is sewn to the front and rear covers face to face (outside to outside) so that when the book is closed, the stitching is concealed from the outside. Both free ends (the ends not sewn to the cover and back) are stitched with a turned edge construction. The flexible polyester material forms a covering over the spiral wire to protect it and provide a comfortable grip on the product. The product must bear the valid trademarks FiveStar® Advance™ (products found to be bearing an invalidly licensed or used trademark are not excluded from the scope).
  - FiveStar Flex™: A notebook, a notebook organizer, or binder with plastic polyolefin front and rear covers joined by 300 denier polyester spine cover extending the entire length of the spine and bound by a 3-ring plastic fixture. The polyolefin plastic covers are of a specific thickness; front cover is 0.019 inches (within normal manufacturing tolerances) and rear cover is 0.028 inches (within normal manufacturing tolerances). During construction, the polyester covering is sewn to the front cover face to face (outside to outside) so that when the book is closed, the stitching is concealed from the outside. During construction, the polyester cover is sewn to the back cover with the outside of the polyester spine cover to the inside back cover. Both free ends (the ends not sewn to the cover and back) are stitched with a turned edge construction. Each ring within the fixture is comprised of a flexible strap portion that snaps into a stationary post which forms a closed binding ring. The ring fixture is riveted with six metal rivets and sewn to the back plastic cover and is specifically positioned on the outside back cover. The product must bear the valid trademark FiveStar Flex™ (products...
found to be bearing an invalidly licensed or used trademark are not excluded from the scope. Merchandise subject to this order is typically imported under headings 4810.22.5044, 4811.90.0950, 4811.90.9090, 4820.10.2010, 4820.10.2020, 4820.10.2030, 4820.10.2040, 4820.10.2050, 4820.10.2060, and 4820.10.4000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS headings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Period of Review
The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2010.

Analysis of Comments Received
All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results in the Fourth Antidumping Duty Order Administrative Review of Certain Lined Paper Products from India (2009–2010),” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, (“Issues and Decision Memorandum”), dated concurrently with this notice and which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised, and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit, main Commerce Building, Room 7046. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results
Based on comments received from the interested parties, we have made company-specific changes to Navneet’s margin calculation. See Issues and Decision Memorandum and the Analysis Memorandum to File through James Terpstra, Program Manager, from Stephanie Moore for Navneet Regarding “Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Lined Paper Products from India,” dated concurrent with this notice, for further details.

Final Results of Review:
We determine that the following weighted-average margins exist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Navneet Publications (India) Ltd</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riddhi Enterprises</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review-Specific Average Rate
Applicable to the 33 Non-Selected Companies Subject to This Review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abhinav Paper Products Pvt. Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Scholar, Inc. and/or I-Scholar</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampoules &amp; Vials Mfg. Co. Ltd .. AR Printing &amp; Packaging (India) Pvt</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bafna Exports</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cello International Pvt. Ltd. (M/S Cello Paper Products)</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Stationary Pvt. Ltd .. Creative Divya</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.D International</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exel India (Pvt.) Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exmart International Pvt. Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatchand Mahendrakumar</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFI International</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight India Logistics Pvt. Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Greetings Pvt. Ltd .. Kejrawler Paper Ltd., and Kejwell Exports</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodha Offset Limited</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magic International</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marigold Exim Pvt. Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marisa International</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orient Press Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paperwise Inc</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Stationery Pvt. Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premier Exports</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajvansh International</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAB International</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sar Transport Systems</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seet Kamal International</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Impex</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonal Printers Pvt Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swati Growth Funds Ltd</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V &amp; M</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yash Laminates</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This rate is a weighted-average percentage margin (calculated based on the publicly ranged S. quantities of the two reviewed companies with an affirmative dumping margin) for the period September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2010. See Memorandum to the File, titled, “Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Margin for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination,” from George McMahon and Stephanie Moore, Case Analysts, through James Terpstra, Program Manager, dated concurrent with this notice.

Assessment Rates
Pursuant to these final results, the Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(b)(1), we calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the antidumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the assessment rate is above de minimis, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent). The Department intends to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954, (May 6, 2003) (Assessment Policy Notice). This clarification applies to POR entries of subject merchandise produced by companies examined in this review (i.e., companies for which a dumping margin was calculated) where the companies did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the 3.91 percent all-others rate for India if there is no company-specific rate for an intermediary company(ies) involved in the transaction. See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People’s Republic of China; Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia and the People’s Republic of China; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India and Indonesia, 71 FR 69949 (September 28, 2006) (Lined Paper Orders). See also Assessment Policy Notice, 68 FR at 23954.

Cash Deposit Requirements
The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of CLPP from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a) of the Act: (1) For companies covered by this
review, the cash deposit rate will be the rates listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies other than those covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 3.91 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 5, 2012.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

APPENDIX I

List of Comments in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum:

A. General Issue
   Comment 1: Treatment of Negative Dumping Margins (Zeroing)
   Comment 2: Whether to use Navneet’s Purchase Order Date for its U.S. Sales
   Comment 3: Whether to Recalculate Navneet’s Imputed Credit Expenses
   Comment 4: Whether to Adjust Navneet’s Cost of Manufacturing
   Comment 5: Treatment of Navneet’s Conveying Expenses as a Direct Selling Expense
   Comment 6: Whether to make an Excise Tax Adjustment for Navneet
   Comment 7: Whether to Modify Navneet’s Cost Calculation Data
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Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen or Drew Jackson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–2769 or (202) 482–4406, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On November 16, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty investigation of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules, from the People’s Republic of China. The period of investigation is April 1, 2011, through September 30, 2011. The notice of initiation stated that, unless postponed, the Department would issue its preliminary determination for this investigation no later than 140 days after the date of the initiation in accordance with section 773(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1). The preliminary determination of the antidumping duty investigation is currently due no later than March 27, 2012.

On March 2, 2012, SolarWorld Industries America Inc. (“Petitioner”), made a timely request pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2) and (e) for postponement of the preliminary determination in this investigation. Petitioner requested a 30-day postponement of the preliminary determination in order to provide the Department with sufficient time to review the questionnaire responses and issue appropriate requests for clarification and additional information. Sections 733(c)(1)(B)(i) and (ii) of the Act permit the Department to extend the time limits for the preliminary determination if it concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating and determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated by reason of the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated or adjustments to be considered, the novelty of issues presented, or the number of firms whose activities must be investigated, and additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination. The Department may postpone making the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act until not later than the 190th day after the date on which the administering authority initiates an investigation under section 732(c) of the Act, or an investigation is initiated under section 732(a) of the Act.

The Department has concluded that the parties concerned are cooperating with the antidumping duty investigation and the nature of this case is extraordinarily complicated. Since the initiation of this investigation, the mandatory respondents filed timely, extensive questionnaire and supplemental questionnaire responses. Further, the instant investigation involves a technologically sophisticated product that is manufactured through a complex, multi-stage production process using numerous factors of production (“FOP”). Accordingly, the methodology employed to report FOP data is inherently complex. Moreover, additional time is necessary in order to


3 See generally section 733(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act.