under this paragraph means the holistic assessing of an individual's psychological, social, and functional capacities as it relates to their readjustment from combat theaters.

(e) Confidentiality. Benefits under this section are furnished solely by VA Vet Centers, which maintain confidential records independent from any other VA or Department of Defense medical records and which will not disclose such records without either the veteran or servicemember's voluntary, signed authorization, or a specific exception permitting their release. For more information, see 5 U.S.C. 552a, 38 U.S.C. 5701 and 7322, 45 CFR parts 160 and 164, and VA's System of Records 64VA15, "Readjustment Counseling Service Vet Center Program."


[FR Doc. 2012–6004 Filed 3–12–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8230–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Massachusetts; Determination of Attainment of the 1997 Ozone Standard for the Eastern Massachusetts Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing two separate and independent determinations regarding the Boston-Lawrence-Worcester (Eastern Massachusetts) moderate 1997 8-hour ozone nonattainment area. First, based on complete, quality-assured and certified air monitoring data for 2007–2009, EPA is proposing to determine that the Eastern Massachusetts nonattainment area attained the 1997 8-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone as of the area’s applicable attainment date, June 15, 2010. Second, EPA is proposing to determine that Eastern Massachusetts has attained the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, based upon complete, quality-assured and certified ambient air monitoring data that show the area monitored attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS for the 2008–2010 and 2009–2011 monitoring periods. If this latter proposed determination is made final, under the provisions of EPA’s ozone implementation rule, the requirements for this area to submit an attainment demonstration, a reasonable further progress plan, contingency measures, and other planning State Implementation Plans related to attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS shall be suspended for so long as the area continues to attain the 1997 ozone NAAQS. EPA is proposing these determinations under the Clean Air Act.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before April 12, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA–R01–OAR–2012–0076 by one of the following methods:

1. www.regulations.gov Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. Email: arnold.anne@epa.gov

3. Fax: (617) 918–0047.


Anne Arnold, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (mail code: OEFO5–2), Boston, MA 02109–3912.

5. Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: Anne Arnold, Manager, Air Quality Planning Unit, Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Boston, MA 02109–3912. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding legal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R01–OAR–2012–0076. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit through www.regulations.gov, or email, information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Boston, MA. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Burkhart, Air Quality Planning Unit, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Boston, MA 02109–3912, telephone number (617) 918–1664, fax number (617) 918–0664, email Burkhart.Richard@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Organization of this document. The following outline is provided to aid in locating information in this preamble.

I. What actions is EPA taking?

II. What is the effect of these actions?

III. What is the background for these actions?

IV. What is EPA’s analysis of the relevant air quality data?

V. Proposed Actions

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. What actions is EPA taking?

EPA is proposing two separate and independent determinations. First,
pursuant to section 181(b)(2)(A) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), and based upon complete, quality assured and certified air monitoring data for 2007–2009, EPA is proposing to determine that the Boston-Lawrence-Worcester (Eastern Massachusetts) moderate 8-hour ozone nonattainment area (hereafter “the Eastern Massachusetts area”) attained the 1997 8-hour NAAQS for ozone by its applicable attainment date, June 15, 2010. The Eastern Massachusetts nonattainment area consists of Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Essex, Middlesex, Nantucket, Norfolk, Plymouth, Suffolk and Worcester Counties. Second, EPA is proposing to determine that the area has attained the 1997 8-hour standard based upon complete, quality-assured and certified ambient air monitoring data showing attainment of the 1997 ozone NAAQS for the 2008–2010 and 2009–2011 monitoring periods.

II. What is the effect of these actions?

First, under section 181(b)(2)(A) of the CAA and the provisions of EPA’s ozone implementation rule (see 40 CFR Section 51.902(a)), EPA is proposing to determine that the Eastern Massachusetts area attained the 1997 ozone NAAQS by its applicable attainment date of June 15, 2010. The effect of a final determination of attainment by the area’s attainment date would be to discharge EPA’s obligation under section 181(b)(2)(A), and to establish that, in accordance with that section, the area would not be reclassified for failure to attain by its applicable attainment date. Second, EPA is proposing to determine that the area continues to attain the 1997 8-hour ozone standard based on the most recent three years of complete, quality-assured monitoring data.

If this latter proposed determination is made final, under the provisions of EPA’s ozone implementation rule (see 40 CFR Section 51.918), the requirements for the Eastern Massachusetts moderate ozone nonattainment area to submit an attainment demonstration, a reasonable further progress plan, section 172(c)(9) contingency measures, and any other planning State Implementation Plans (SIPs) related to attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS would be suspended for so long as the area continues to attain the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. This proposed action, if finalized, would not constitute a redesignation to attainment. Under the Clean Air Act (CAA) section 107(d)(3), because we would not yet have an approved maintenance plan for the area as required under section 175A of the CAA, nor a determination that the area has met the other requirements for redesignation. The classification and designation status of the area would remain moderate nonattainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS until such time as EPA determines that the area meets the CAA requirements for redesignation to attainment.

If this determination of attainment is finalized and EPA subsequently determines, after notice-and-comment rulemaking in the Federal Register, that the area has violated the 1997 8-hour ozone standard, the basis for the suspension of these requirements would no longer exist, and the area would thereafter have to address the pertinent CAA requirements.

III. What is the background for these actions?

On April 30, 2004 (69 FR 23857), EPA designated as nonattainment any area that was violating the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, based on the three most recent years (2001–2003) of air quality data. The Eastern Massachusetts area was designated as a moderate ozone nonattainment area. Recent air quality data indicate that the Eastern Massachusetts area is attaining the 1997 8-hour ozone standard.

IV. What is EPA’s analysis of the relevant air quality data?

The EPA has reviewed the ambient air monitoring data for ozone, consistent with the requirements contained in 40 CFR Part 50 and recorded in the Air Quality Data System (AQS) database, for Eastern Massachusetts, from 2007 through 2011.

Under EPA regulations at 40 CFR Part 50, the 1997 8-hour ozone standard is attained at a site when the 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations at an ozone monitor is less than or equal to 0.084 parts per million (ppm) (i.e., 0.084 ppm, based on the rounding convention in 40 CFR Part 50, Appendix I). This 3-year average is referred to as the design value. When the design value is less than or equal to 0.084 ppm at each monitoring site within the area, then the area is meeting the NAAQS. Also, the data completeness requirement is met when the 3-year average of the percent of days with valid ambient monitoring data is more than 90%, and no single year has less than 75% data completeness as determined in Appendix I of 40 CFR Part 50.

Table 1 shows the fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations for the 14 eastern Massachusetts area monitors for the years 2007–2009, and the ozone design values for these monitors based on 2007–2009. Tables 2 and 3 show similar data for the 2008–2010 and 2009–2011 monitoring periods.

### Table 1—2007–2009 Fourth-High 8-Hour Average Ozone Concentrations and 2007–2009 Design Values (Parts per Million) in the Eastern Massachusetts Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site location</th>
<th>4th High 2007</th>
<th>4th High 2008</th>
<th>4th High 2009</th>
<th>Design value (07–09)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2500250041</td>
<td>Boston-Long Island *</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500250042</td>
<td>Boston-Roxbury</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500170009</td>
<td>Chelmsford</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500051002</td>
<td>Fairhaven</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500095005</td>
<td>Haverhill</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500092006</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250213003</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500094004</td>
<td>Newbury *</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500094005</td>
<td>Newburyport</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500077001</td>
<td>Oak Bluffs-Martins Vineyard *</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500171102</td>
<td>Stow</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500010002</td>
<td>Truro</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2502070024</td>
<td>Uxbridge (site began in 2009)</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2502070015</td>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EPA’s review of these data indicates that the Eastern Massachusetts area attained the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS and met its applicable attainment deadline, based on 2007–2009 data. Our review also shows that the area continues to attain the standard, based on complete, quality-assured and certified data for the 2008–2010 and 2009–2011 monitoring periods.

EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in this notice or on other relevant matters pertaining to this rulemaking action. These comments will be considered before EPA takes final action. Interested parties may participate in the Federal rulemaking procedure by submitting written comments to the EPA New England Regional Office listed in the ADDRESSES section of this Federal Register.

### V. Proposed Actions

EPA is proposing two separate and independent determinations. First, under section 181(b)(2)(A) of the Clean Air Act and the provisions of EPA’s ozone implementation rule (see 40 CFR Section 51.902(a)), based upon complete, quality-assured and certified data for 2007–2009, EPA is proposing to determine that the Eastern Massachusetts 1997 8-hour ozone moderate nonattainment area attained the 1997 ozone NAAQS by its applicable attainment date of June 15, 2010. Second, EPA is proposing to determine that the Eastern Massachusetts area has attained the 1997 8-hour ozone standard, based on complete, quality-assured data for the 2008–2010, and 2009–2011 monitoring periods. As provided in 40 CFR Section 51.918, if EPA finalizes this determination, it would suspend the requirements for Massachusetts to submit planning SIPs related to attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS for this area, for so long as the area continues to attain the standard.¹

---

¹Massachusetts submitted an attainment demonstration and contingency measures for this area on Jan. 31, 2009. EPA has not taken action on the attainment demonstration, but has proposed approval of the reasonable further progress plan and contingency measures. *(See 75 FR 5722, Sept. 10, 2010)*

---


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site location</th>
<th>4th High 2008</th>
<th>4th High 2009</th>
<th>4th High 2010</th>
<th>Design value (08–10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250250041</td>
<td>Boston-Long Island*</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250250042</td>
<td>Boston-Roxbury</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250170009</td>
<td>Chelmsford</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250051002</td>
<td>Fairhaven</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250095005</td>
<td>Haverhill</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250092006</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250213003</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250054005</td>
<td>Newbury* (moved to Newburyport)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250070001</td>
<td>Oak Bluffs-Martha’s Vineyard*</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250171102</td>
<td>Stow</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250010002</td>
<td>Truro</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250270024</td>
<td>Uxbridge (site began in 2009)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250270015</td>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3—2009–2011 FOURTH-HIGH 8-HOUR AVERAGE OZONE CONCENTRATIONS AND 2009–2011 DESIGN VALUES (PARTS PER MILLION) IN THE EASTERN MASSACHUSETTS AREA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site location</th>
<th>4th High 2009</th>
<th>4th High 2010</th>
<th>4th High 2011</th>
<th>Design value (09–11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250250041</td>
<td>Boston-Long Island*</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250250042</td>
<td>Boston-Roxbury</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250170009</td>
<td>Chelmsford</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250051002</td>
<td>Fairhaven</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250095005</td>
<td>Haverhill</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250092006</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250213003</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250094004</td>
<td>Newbury* (moved to Newburyport)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250094005</td>
<td>Newburyport**</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250070001</td>
<td>Oak Bluffs-Martha’s Vineyard*</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250171102</td>
<td>Stow</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250010002</td>
<td>Truro</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250270024</td>
<td>Uxbridge (site began in 2009)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250270015</td>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

These actions propose to make determinations of attainment based on air quality, and would, if finalized, result in the suspension of certain Federal requirements, and/or would not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, these proposed actions:

- Are not “significant regulatory actions” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Do not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Are certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Do not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Do not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Are not economically significant regulatory actions based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Are not significant regulatory actions subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Are not subject to the requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- Do not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.


H. Curtis Spalding,
Regional Administrator, EPA New England.
[FR Doc. 2012–6030 Filed 3–12–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Rhode Island; Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve four State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RI DEM). These revisions demonstrate that the State of Rhode Island meets the requirements of reasonably available control technology (RACT) for oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) set forth by the Clean Air Act (CAA) with respect to the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. The intended effect of this action is to propose approval of Rhode Island’s RACT demonstration and the submitted regulations. Additionally, EPA is proposing to approve Rhode Island’s negative declarations for several categories of VOC sources. This action is being taken in accordance with the CAA.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before April 12, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EA–R01–OAR–2011–0118 by one of the following methods:

1. www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
2. Email: arnold.anne@epa.gov.

5. Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: Anne Arnold, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, New England Regional Office, Office of Ecosystem Protection, Air Quality Planning Unit, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, Mail Code OEP05–02, Boston, MA 02109–3912. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding legal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David Mackintosh, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, New England Regional Office, Office of Ecosystem Protection, Air Quality Planning Unit, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, Mail Code OEP05–02, Boston, MA 02109–3912, telephone 617–918–1584, facsimile 617–918–0584, email mackintosh.david@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State’s SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.


H. Curtis Spalding,
Regional Administrator, EPA New England.