

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 77, No. 48

Monday, March 12, 2012

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1817]

Reorganization/Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 77 Under Alternative Site Framework Memphis, Tennessee Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/2009; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/2009; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/2010) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the City of Memphis, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 77, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 51–2011, filed 08/03/11) for authority to reorganize and expand under the ASF with a service area of Shelby County, Tennessee, within the Memphis U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry, and FTZ 77's existing Site 4 and proposed Site 10 would be categorized as magnet sites, while Sites 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 would be categorized as usage-driven sites;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 48121, 08/08/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize and expand FTZ 77 under the alternative

site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Site 4 if not activated by February 28, 2017, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Sites 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by February 28, 2015.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of February 2012.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 2012–5914 Filed 3–9–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–924]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 3, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the preliminary results in the 2009–2010 antidumping duty administrative review of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (“PET film”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ The period of review (“POR”) is November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. We have determined that sales have been made below normal value (“NV”) by certain companies subject to this review. We invited interested parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to our margin

¹ See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 68140 (November 3, 2011) (“Preliminary Results”).

calculations for Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd. (“Wanhua”) and Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd.

(“Dongfang”) (collectively, “Respondents”). The final dumping margins for this review are listed in the “Final Results Margins” section below.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 12, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Martin and Jonathan Hill, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3936, and (202) 482–3518, respectively.

Background

On November 3, 2011, the Department published its *Preliminary Results* in the antidumping duty administrative review of PET film from the People's Republic of China.² On November 28, 2011, DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners”), Wanhua, and Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd. (“Fuwei Films”) submitted publicly available surrogate value (“SV”) data. On December 8, 2011, Petitioners³, Wanhua, Fuwei Films and Dongfang submitted rebuttal comments regarding the November 28, 2011, submissions. We received case briefs from Petitioners, Wanhua, Fuwei Films and Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co., Ltd. (jointly “Wanhua et al”), Dongfang, and Bemis Company, Inc. (“Bemis”) on December 14, 2011, and rebuttal briefs on December 21, 2011. On January 12, 2012 the Department held a public hearing.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's

² See *Preliminary Results*.

³ The Department rejected the Petitioners' December 8, 2011, surrogate value rebuttal comments because it contained new surrogate value information. Petitioners removed the material and resubmitted the rebuttal comments on December 16, 2011.