reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.


Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—Issues and Decision Memorandum

General Issues

Comment 1: Zeroing
Comment 2: Surrogate Financial Ratios
Comment 3: Wire Rod Surrogate Value
Comment 4: Cash Deposit and Liquidation Instructions

Company-Specific Issues

Stanley
Comment 5: Application of Partial FA or Partial AFA
Comment 6: Stanley’s Surrogate Values
A. Copper Plated Steel Welding Wire
B. Sodium Sulfate
C. Glass Balls
D. Plastic Film
E. Plastic Strapping
Comment 7: Foreign Inland Freight
Hongli
Comment 8: Application of Partial FA or Partial AFA
Comment 9: Steel Plate Surrogate Value
Comment 10: Shrink Wrap Surrogate Value
Comment 11: Pallet Surrogate Value
Jinchi
Comment 12: Application of Partial FA or Partial AFA
Comment 13: Saw Dust
Comment 14: Sigma Cap Distances

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce ("the Department") conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order ("APO") to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation Federal Register notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO.
applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (i.e., treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (i.e., investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined or continued to treat that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not-collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after March 2012, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance has prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its “Opportunity To Request Administrative Review” notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

Opportunity To Request a Review: Not later than the last day of March 2012,1 interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in March for the following periods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil: Orange Juice, A–351–940 .................................................................</td>
<td>India: Sulfanilic Acid, C–533–807 .................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France: Brass Sheet &amp; Strip, A–427–602 ............................................................</td>
<td>The People’s Republic of China:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany: Brass Sheet &amp; Strip, A–428–602 ............................................................</td>
<td>Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe, C–570–931 .......................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India: Sulfanilic Acid, A–533–806 .................................................................</td>
<td>Drill Pipe, C–570–966 ...................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia: Silicon Metal, A–821–817 .......................................................................</td>
<td>.................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain: Stainless Steel Bar, A–469–805 ...............................................................</td>
<td>.................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan: Light-Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube, A–583–803</td>
<td>.................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinyl Alcohol, A–583–841 ..............................................................................</td>
<td>.................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe &amp; Tube, A–549–502 .........................</td>
<td>.................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.................................................................</td>
<td>.................................................................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Period of review

| Brazil: Orange Juice, A–351–940 ................................................................. | 3/11–2/29/12 |
| India: Sulfanilic Acid, A–533–806 ................................................................. | 3/11–2/29/12 |
| Taiwan: Light-Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube, A–583–803 | 3/11–2/29/12 |
| Polyvinyl Alcohol, A–583–841 .............................................................................. | 9/13–11–2/29/12 |
| ................................................................. | ................................................................. |
| Brazil: Orange Juice, A–351–940 ................................................................. | 3/11–2/29/12 |
| India: Sulfanilic Acid, A–533–806 ................................................................. | 3/11–2/29/12 |
| Taiwan: Light-Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube, A–583–803 | 3/11–2/29/12 |
| Polyvinyl Alcohol, A–583–841 .............................................................................. | 3/11–2/29/12 |
| ................................................................. | ................................................................. |

1 Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.
Suspension Agreements

None.

In accordance with 19 CFR
351.213(b), an interested party as
defined by section 771(9) of the Act may
request in writing that the Secretary
conduct an administrative review. For
both antidumping and countervailing
duty reviews, the interested party must
specify the individual producers or
exporters covered by an antidumping
finding or an antidumping or
countervailing duty order or suspension
agreement for which it is requesting a
review. In addition, a domestic
interested party or an interested party
described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act
must state why it desires the Secretary
to review those particular producers or
exporters. If the interested party
intends for the Secretary to review sales
of merchandise by an exporter (or a
producer if that producer also exports
merchandise from other suppliers) which
were produced in more than one
country of origin and each country of
origin is subject to a separate order, then
the interested party must state
specifically, on an order-by-order basis,
which exporter(s) the request is
intended to cover.

Please note that, for any party the
Department was unable to locate in
prior segments, the Department will not
accept a request for an administrative
review of that party absent new
information as to the party’s location.
Moreover, if the interested party who
files a request for review is unable to
locate the producer or exporter for
which it requested the review, the
interested party must provide an
explanation of the attempts it made to
locate the producer or exporter at the
same time it files its request for review,
in order for the Secretary to determine
if the interested party’s attempts were
reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR
351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in Antidumping and
Countervailing Duty Proceedings:
Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68
FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department
has clarified its practice with respect to
the collection of final antidumping
duties on imports of merchandise where
intermediate firms are involved. The
public should be aware of this
clarification in determining whether to
request an administrative review of
merchandise subject to antidumping
findings and orders. See also the Import
Administration web site at http://
ia.ita.doc.gov.

All requests must be filed
electronically in Import
Administration’s Antidumping and
Countervailing Duty Centralized
Electronic Service System (“IA
ACCESS”) on the IA ACCESS Web site
at http://iaaccess.trade.gov. See
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Proceedings: Electronic Filing
Procedures; Administrative Protective
Order Procedures, 76 FR 39263 (July 6,
2011). Further, in accordance with 19
CFR 351.303(f)(3)(i), a copy of each
request must be served on the petitioner
and each exporter or producer specified
in the request.

The Department will publish in the
Federal Register a notice of “Initiation
of Administrative Review of
Antidumping or Countervailing Duty
Order, Finding, or Suspended
Investigation” for requests received by
the last day of March 2012. If the
Department does not receive, by the last
day of March 2012, a request for review
of entries covered by an order, finding,
or suspended investigation listed in this
notice and for the period identified
above, the Department will instruct CBP
to assess antidumping or countervailing
duties on those entries at a rate equal to
the cash deposit of (or bond for)
estimated antidumping or
countervailing duties required on those
entries at the time of entry, or
withdrawal from warehouse, for
consumption and to continue to collect
the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of
any order, there will be no assessment
of antidumping or countervailing duties
on entries of subject merchandise
entered, or withdrawn from warehouse,
for consumption during the relevant
provisional-measures “gap” period, of
the order, if such a gap period is
applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute
but is published as a service to the
international trading community.


Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping
and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012–5015 Filed 2–29–12; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty
Order, Finding, or Suspended
Investigation; Advance Notification of
Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

Background

Every five years, pursuant to section
751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as
amended (“the Act”), the Department of
Commerce (“the Department”) and the
International Trade Commission
automatically initiate and conduct a
review to determine whether revocation of
a countervailing or antidumping duty
order or termination of an investigation
suspended under section 704 or 734 of
the Act would be likely to lead to
continuation or recurrence of dumping
or a countervailable subsidy (as the case
may be) and of material injury.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for April
2012

The following Sunset Reviews are
scheduled for initiation in April 2012
and will appear in that month’s Notice of
Initiation of Five-Year Sunset
Reviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty</th>
<th>Department Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2 In the notice of opportunity to request administrative reviews that published on February 1, 2012 (77 FR 4990) the Department incorrectly listed Drill Pipe from the PRC in the month of February. This is the correct month of review for this case.

3 If the review request involves a non-market economy and the parties subject to the review request do not qualify for separate rates, all other exporters of subject merchandise from the non-market economy country who do not have a separate rate will be covered by the review as part of the single entity of which the named firms are a part.