Respondent are based on actual experiments or are otherwise legitimately derived and that the data, procedures, and methodology are accurately reported in the application, report, manuscript, or abstract; and

(4) To exclude himself from serving in any advisory capacity to PHS including, but not limited to, service on any PHS advisory committee, board, and/or peer review committee, or as a consultant for a period of three (3) years, beginning on February 6, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Director, Division of Investigative Oversight, Office of Research Integrity, 1101 Wootton Parkway, Suite 750, Rockville, MD 20852, (240) 453–8800.

John Dahlberg,
Director, Division of Investigative Oversight, Office of Research Integrity.
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Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer at (404) 639–7570 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov. Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 358–5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

Proposed Project


Background and Brief Description

Dating Matters: Strategies to Promote Healthy Teen Relationships™ is the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s new teen dating violence prevention initiative.

Recently, efforts to prevent teen dating violence (TDV) have grown, particularly in schools, among policymakers, and among sexual violence and domestic violence coalitions. Now many states and communities also are working to stop teen dating violence. However, these activities vary greatly in quality and effectiveness. To address the gaps, CDC has developed Dating Matters, a teen dating violence prevention program that includes programming for students, parents, educators, as well as policy development. Dating Matters is based on the current evidence about what works in prevention and focuses on high-risk, urban communities where participants include: Middle school students age 11 to 14 years; middle school parents; brand ambassadors; educators; school leadership; program implementers; community representatives; and local health department representatives in the following communities: Alameda County, California; Baltimore, Maryland; Broward County, Florida; and Chicago, Illinois.

The primary goal of the current proposal is to conduct an outcome and implementation evaluation of Dating Matters in the four metropolitan cities to determine its feasibility, cost, and effectiveness. In the evaluation a standard model of TDV prevention (Safe Dates administered in 8th grade) will be compared to a comprehensive model (programs administered in 6th, 7th, and 8th grade as well as parent, educator, policy, and communications interventions).

Burden estimates are based on the following information:

- Number of communities/sites: 4
- Number of schools across 4 communities/sites: 44 (12 in 3 communities, 8 in 1 community)
- Number of students in each middle school: 600 (200 per grade)
- Number of school staff in each school: 40
- Number of schools implementing the standard model of TDV prevention: 22 (across 4 sites/communities)
- Number of schools implementing the comprehensive model of TDV prevention: 22 (across 4 sites/communities)

Population. The study population includes students in 6th, 7th and 8th grades at 44 schools in the four participating sites. At most, schools are expected to have 6 classrooms per grade, with an average of 30 students per classroom yielding a population of 23,760 students (44 schools * 3 grades * 6 classrooms per grade * 30 students per classroom).

The sampling frame for parents, given that we would only include one parent per student, is also 23,760 for the three years of data collection covered by this
package. Based on our research and consultation with middle schools, most schools with 600 students have approximately 40 staff. If we assume 40 educators per school, the sampling frame for the educator sample is 1,760.

The following are explanations of estimated burden by respondent:

**Students:** The study will survey samples of classrooms from all three middle school grade levels in the 44 schools, annually over a 4 year data collection period (see Figure 2). (Please note that we recognize that our OMB approval will expire after 3 years and we will submit a new package at that time so that the life of the project is approved.) In each year of data collection, we will recruit 30 students per classroom * a sample of 4 classrooms per grade * 3 grades * 44 schools, resulting in a student sample of 15,840. We assume a 95% participation rate for an estimated sample of 1,584 school (n = 22) that will be completing fidelity instruments, the total number of respondents will be 154 per grade. Please note that on the burden table, the number of respondents is multiplied by the number of sessions in each parent curriculum program.

For example, the 6th grade program has 6 sessions and 264 (44 × 5) are listed.

The 7th grade program has three sessions and 132 (44 × 3) are listed.

The 8th grade parent curriculum is mailed to parents and, as such, does not involve implementers or session logs.

**Student Curricula Implementers:** based on the predicted number of seven student curricula implementers per grade per school (n = 22) that will be completing fidelity instruments, the total number of respondents will be 154 per grade. Please note that on the burden table, the number of respondents is multiplied by the number of sessions in each student curricula program.

For example, the 6th grade curriculum has 6 sessions, so a total of 924 total respondents are listed (154 × 6).

The 7th grade program has 7 sessions, so a total of 1078 total respondents are listed.

The 8th grade comprehensive program has 10 sessions and 1540 respondents are listed.

The 8th grade standard program has 10 sessions and 1540 total respondents are listed.

**Brand Ambassadors:** The Brand Ambassador Implementation Survey will be provided to each brand ambassador in each community. With a maximum of 20 brand ambassadors per community, the feedback form will be collected from a total of 80 brand ambassadors.

**Communications Implementers ("Brand Ambassador Coordinators"):** The Communications Campaign Tracking form will be provided to each brand ambassador coordinator in each community. With a maximum of one brand ambassador coordinator per community (n = 4), the feedback form will be collected from a total of 4 brand ambassador coordinators.

**Student Program Master Trainer TA Form:** With a maximum of 3 master trainers per community. There will be 12 master trainers. It is anticipated that they will receive up to 50 TA requests per year and complete the form 50 times.

There are no costs to the respondents other than their time. The total estimated annual burden hours are 44,978.
### ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of respondent</th>
<th>Form name</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Number of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Average burden per response (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Program Participant</td>
<td>Student Outcome Survey Baseline Attachment D.</td>
<td>15,048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Program Participant</td>
<td>Student Outcome Survey Mid-Term Attachment F.</td>
<td>14,652</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Program Participant</td>
<td>Student Outcome Survey Follow-up Attachment E.</td>
<td>14,256</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School data extractor</td>
<td>School Indicators Attachment G:</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>15/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Program Participant</td>
<td>Parent Outcome Baseline Survey Attachment H</td>
<td>2,424</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Program Participant</td>
<td>Parent Outcome Follow-up Survey Attachment EEEE.</td>
<td>2,181</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educator</td>
<td>Educator Outcome Survey Attachment I</td>
<td>1,584</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Brand ambassador</td>
<td>Brand Ambassador Implementation Survey Attachment J.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School leadership</td>
<td>School Leadership Capacity and Readiness Survey Attachment K.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Curricula Implementer</td>
<td>Parent Program Fidelity 6th Grade Session 1– 6 Attachment L–Q.</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Curricula Implementer</td>
<td>Parent Program Fidelity 7th Grade Session 1, 3, 5 Attachment R–T.</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Curricula Implementer</td>
<td>Student Program Fidelity 6th Grade Session 1– 6 Attachment U–Z.</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Curricula Implementer</td>
<td>Student Program Fidelity 7th Grade Session 1– 7 Attachment AA–GG.</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Curricula Implementer</td>
<td>Student Program Fidelity 8th Grade Session 1– 8 Session 10 (comprehensive) Attachment HH–QQ.</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications Coordinator</td>
<td>Communications Campaign Tracking Attachment RR.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Health Department Representa-</td>
<td>Local Health Department Capacity and Readiness Attachment SS.</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tive.</td>
<td>Student participant focus group guide (time spent in focus group) Attachment ZZ.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Curricula Implementer</td>
<td>Student curricula implementer focus group guide (time spent in focus group) Attachment AAA.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Curricula Implementer</td>
<td>Parent curricula implementer focus group guide (time spent in focus group) Attachment BBB.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Curricula Implementer</td>
<td>Safe Dates 8th Grade Session 1–Session 10 (standard) Attachment CCC–LLL.</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Master Trainer</td>
<td>Student program master trainer TA form Attachment DDDD.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10/60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kimberly S. Lane,
Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
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Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404–639–7570 and send comments to Kimberly S. Lane, CDC Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road MS–D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an email to olm@cdc.gov. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Ensuring compliance with the OSHA Bloodborne Pathogens Standard among Non-Hospital Healthcare Facilities—New—National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimate that healthcare workers sustain nearly 600,000 percutaneous injuries annually involving contaminated sharps. In