The FNS–248, Status of Sample Selection and Completion:

The FNS–248, Status of Sample Selection and Completion, tracked a state’s progress in sample selection and case completion on a monthly basis. A Final rule entitled “Food Stamp Program: Discretionary Quality Control Provisions of Title IV of Public Law 107–171,” was published in the Federal Register on June 11, 2010 (75 FR 33422) and eliminated the use of this form. Therefore, the annual reporting and recordkeeping burden associated with the form is no longer necessary and will be eliminated from this collection.


Audrey Rowe,
Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.
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BILLING CODE 3410–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Forest Service
Lake Tahoe Basin Federal Advisory Committee (LTFAC)

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Lake Tahoe Federal Advisory Committee will hold a meeting on March 21, 2012 at the Sierra Nevada College, 999 Tahoe Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada 89451–9500. This Committee, established by the Secretary of Agriculture on December 15, 1998 (64 FR 2876), is chartered to provide advice to the Secretary on implementing the terms of the Federal Interagency Partnership on the Lake Tahoe Region and other matters raised by the Secretary.

DATES: The meeting will be held March 21, 2012, beginning at 1:30 p.m. and ending at 4:30 p.m.

ADDRESS: Sierra Nevada College, 999 Tahoe Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada 89451–9500.


Background

On August 26, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey, covering the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 76 FR 53404 (August 26, 2011). The preliminary results of review are currently due April 1, 2012.

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires that the Department make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results to up to 365 days.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable as the Department needs additional time to analyze complex issues regarding affiliation and knowledge of U.S. destination. Given the complexity of these issues, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by
120 days. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than July 30, 2012. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 17, 2012.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China: Partial Final Results and Partial Final Rescission of the 2009–2010 Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 20, 2011, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the partial preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) covering the period of review (POR) of November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. The Department is issuing these partial final results for the PRC-wide entity only.

Based on the analysis of the record and the comments received, the Department finds that seven companies subject to this review, including mandatory respondents, Shandong Longtai Fruits and Vegetables Co., Ltd. (Longtai) and Weifang Hongqiao International Logistic Co., Ltd. (Hongqiao), did not demonstrate their eligibility for separate rate status and, thus, will be considered part of the PRC-wide entity for purposes of these final results. These companies are listed in Appendix I. The Department is also rescinding the review with respect to 14 exporters who had “no shipments” during the POR. A list of these companies is found in Appendix II.

DATES: Effective Date: February 27, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 20, 2011, the Department published in the Federal Register the partial preliminary results of the 2009–2010 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the PRC. See Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China: Partial Preliminary Results, Rescission of, and Intent To Rescind, in Part, the 2009–2010 Administrative Review, 76 FR 65172 (October 20, 2011) (First Partial Preliminary Results). On December 7, 2011, the Department issued its second partial preliminary results. Since the First Partial Preliminary Results, the following events have occurred.

On November 21, 2011, the Department extended the deadline for submission of case briefs to December 1, 2011 and rebuttal briefs to December 6, 2011. On November 30, 2011, the Fresh Garlic Producers Association (FGPA) and its indirect members (collectively, Petitioners) submitted a document called “Petitioners Comments on Certain No Shipment Claims and Department’s Partial Preliminary Results” (No Shipment Comments). On December 9, 2011, the Department rejected Petitioners’ No Shipment Comments as untimely new factual information. See the Department’s December 9, 2011 letter to Petitioners. On December 1, 2011, Petitioners, and Hongqiao, Sunny Import & Export Co. Ltd., and Shenzhen Greening Trading Co., Ltd. (collectively, Respondents) submitted case briefs. On December 6, 2011, Petitioners submitted their rebuttal brief.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay. The scope of the order does not include the following: (a) Garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and otherwise prepared for use as seed. The subject merchandise is used principally as a food product and for seasoning. The subject garlic is currently classifiable under subheadings 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0000, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, and 2005.90.9700 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive. In order to be excluded from the order, garlic entered under the HTSUS subheadings listed above that is (1) mechanically harvested and primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use or (2) specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and otherwise prepared for use as seed must be accompanied by declarations to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to that effect.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues addressed in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this review are discussed in the Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, regarding “Issues and Decision Memorandum for Fresh Garlic from the People’s Republic of China: Partial Final Results and Partial Final Rescission of the 2009–2010 Administrative Review,” dated concurrently with this notice (Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded in the Decision Memorandum follows as Appendix III to this notice. The Decision Memorandum is a public document, which is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit (CRU) of the main Commerce Building, Room 7046. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum is also accessible on the Internet at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/fro. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic