ACTION: Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to final regulations (TD 9568), which were published in the Federal Register on Thursday, December 22, 2011 (76 FR 80082), relating to section 482 and methods to determine taxable income in connection with a cost sharing arrangement.

DATES: This correction is effective on February 14, 2012 and is applicable beginning December 22, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph L. Tobin at (202) 435–5265 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The final regulations that is the subject of these corrections are under section 482 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, final regulations (TD 9568), contains errors which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the final regulations (TD 9568) that was the subject of FR Doc. 2012–895 is corrected to read as follows:

PART 1—INCOME TAXES

Paragraph 1. The authority citation for part 1 continues to read in part as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805 * * *

PART 1—[Corrected]

Par. 2. Section 1.482–7 is amended by:

1. Revising the title of the table of paragraph (g)(4)(viii), Example 2 (ii).
2. Revising the fourth sentence of paragraph (g)(4)(viii), Example 3 (iii).

The revisions read as follows:

§ 1.482–7 Methods to determine taxable income in connection with a cost sharing arrangement.

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(4) * * *

(viii) Examples. * * *

Example 2. * * *

(ii) * * *

“INCOME METHOD APPLICATION NUMBER:” * * * * *

Example 3. * * *

(ii) * * *

FS determines that the discount rate that would be applied to determine the present value of income and costs attributable to its participation in the licensing alternative would be 12.5% as compared to the 15% discount rate that would be applicable in determining the present value of the net income attributable to its participation in the CSA reflecting the increased risk borne by FS in bearing a share of the R & D costs in the cost sharing alternative. * * *

Guy R. Traynor, Federal Register Liaison, Legal Processing Division, Publication & Regulation Branch, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. 2012–3351 Filed 2–13–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[TD 9568]

RIN 1545–BI47

Section 482: Methods To Determine Taxable Income in Connection With a Cost Sharing Arrangement; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

ACTION: Correction to notice of correcting amendments.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to a correcting amendment (TD 9568), which was published in the Federal Register on Wednesday, January 25, 2012 (77 FR 3606) relating to section 482 and methods to determine taxable income in connection with a cost sharing arrangement.

DATES: This correction is effective on February 14, 2012, and is applicable beginning December 22, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph L. Tobin at (202) 435–5265 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The final regulations that are the subject of these corrections are under section 482 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, the correcting amendments to final regulations (TD 9568), contains errors which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the correcting amendments to final regulations (TD 9568), which were the subject of FR Doc. 2012–895, is corrected as follows:

1. On page 3606, second column, instructional paragraph 3., item 4. the language "4. Revising paragraph (k)(2)(ii)(3) is corrected to read “5. Revising paragraph (k)(2)(ii)(A)(3)."

2. On page 3606, second column, under the instructional paragraph 3., the language “4. Revising the fourth sentence of paragraph (g)(4)(viii), Example 3.” is added.

§ 1.482–7 [Corrected].

3. On page 3606, third column, § 1.482–7(g)(2)(v)(C), Example (i), add three asterisks to the end of the paragraph and remove the five asterisks from below the paragraph.

4. On page 3606, third column, § 1.482–7(g)(2) after the five asterisks following paragraph (ii) the language “(3) * * *”, is corrected to read ““(4) * * *”.

5. On page 3606, third column, § 1.482–7 (g)(4)(viii), the language “(viii) * * *” is corrected to read ““(viii) Examples. * * *”.

6. On page 3606, third column, § 1.482–7(k)(2) below the five asterisks following paragraph (viii), Example 3 add “(A) * * *” below “(ii) * * *” and above “(3)” and underscore “(3)”.

Guy R. Traynor, Federal Register Liaison, Legal Processing Division, Publication and Regulations Branch, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. 2012–3353 Filed 2–13–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 943


Texas Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule; approval of amendment.

SUMMARY: We, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), are approving three amendments to the Texas regulatory program under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA or the Act). Texas at its own initiative submitted three separate amendments to its program: SATS Nos. TX–061–FOR, TX–062–FOR, and TX–063–FOR. Texas proposed revisions in TX–061–FOR by
adding language that no longer requires an operation with only reclamation activities ongoing to renew their mining permit, to clarify the requirement to maintain public liability insurance for sites where the permit is not renewed because the only activities ongoing are reclamation, and to clarify midterm review times for sites where the permit is not renewed because the only activities are reclamation. Texas proposed revisions in TX–062–FOR by adding a new definition for “Previously mined land,” adding new language on the effects of previous mining violations from operations on previously mined lands in relation to permit application denials, and adding new language explaining performance standards for revegetation liability timeframes for coal mining and reclamation operations. Texas proposed revisions in TX–063–FOR by adding a new definition for “‘Director;’” deleting old language, and adding new language clarifying the review periods for new permits, renewals, and significant revisions. Texas revised its program to improve operational efficiency.

DATES: Effective Date: February 14, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alfred L. Clayborne, Director, Tulsa Field Office. Telephone: (918) 581–6430. Email: aclayborne@osmre.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Texas Program

Section 503(a) of the Act permits a State to assume primacy for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations on non-Federal and non-Indian lands within its borders by demonstrating that its program is not less stringent than SMCRA and we are approving it. We find that Texas' new definition will make Texas' statutes no less stringent than SMCRA definition. Therefore, the definition contained in SMCRA remains the same for the purposes of comparable Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.17.

II. Submission of the Amendment

By letter dated May 18, 2011, (Administrative Record No. TX–667) Texas sent us an amendment to its Program under SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.) at its own initiative. This amendment added language to no longer require an operation with only reclamation activities ongoing to renew their mining permit, to clarify the requirement to maintain public liability insurance for sites where the program is not renewed because the only activities ongoing are reclamation, and to clarify midterm review times for sites where the permit is not renewed because the only ongoing activities are reclamation.

By letter dated May 26, 2011, (Administrative Record No. TX–668) Texas sent us an amendment to its Program under SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.) at its own initiative. This amendment added a new definition for “Previously mined land,” added new language on the effects of previous mining violations from operations on previously mined lands in relation to permit application denials, and added new language explaining performance standards for revegetation liability timeframes for coal mining and reclamation operations.

By letter dated June 3, 2011, (Administrative Record No. TX–669) Texas sent us an amendment to its Program under SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.) at its own initiative. This amendment added a new definition for “‘Director;’” deleted old language, and added new language clarifying the review periods for new permits, renewals, and significant revisions.

Texas revised its program with these three amendments to improve operational efficiency.

We announced receipt of the proposed amendments in the August 16, 2011, Federal Register (76 FR 50708). In the same document, we opened the public comment period and provided an opportunity for a public hearing or meeting on the adequacy of the amendments. We did not hold a public hearing or meeting because no one requested one. The public comment period ended on September 15, 2011. We did not receive any public comments.

III. OSM’s Findings

We are approving the amendments as described below. The following are the findings we made concerning the amendments under SMCRA and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.15 and 732.17. The full text of the changes made can be found in the administrative record or online at Regulations.gov.

A. TX–061–FOR

1. 16 Texas Administrative Code Section 12.100. Responsibilities

Texas added new language allowing a permittee to not renew their mining permit if the activities on the site are solely for reclamation purposes.

We find that Texas’ new language is substantively the same as the language of the counterpart Federal regulations at 30 CFR 773.4(a) and will not make Texas’ regulations less effective than the Federal counterpart. Therefore, we are approving it.


Texas added a new paragraph (a)(3) to clarify that midterm permit reviews will continue to be conducted when an existing permit is not renewed because the only ongoing activities within the permit area are for reclamation.

We find that this new paragraph is comparable to its Federal counterpart at 30 CFR 774.10(a)(2) and (3) and its addition does not make Texas’ regulations less effective than the Federal regulation. Therefore, we are approving it.

3. 16 Texas Administrative Code Section 12.311. Terms and Conditions for Liability Insurance

Texas revised this section with minor language changes to paragraph (b).

We find that Texas’ changes make this paragraph substantively the same as the counterpart Federal regulation 30 CFR 800.60(b). Therefore, we are approving them.

B. TX–062–FOR

1. Texas Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act Section 134.004. Definitions

Texas added a new definition for “previously mined land” in lieu of the definition of “lands eligible for remining” contained in SMCRA at § 701(34).

We find that Texas’ new definition coincides with definitions found in the Federal regulations dealing with remining and is a suitable counterpart to the definition contained in SMCRA because it addresses all aspects of the SMCRA definition. Therefore, the addition of this new definition will make Texas’ statutes no less stringent than SMCRA and we are approving it.
2. Texas Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act Section 134.069. Effect of Past or Present Violation

Texas added a new paragraph (c) to incorporate equivalent statutory language found at SMCRA § 510(e) with regard to the criteria for denial of a permit application due to permit violations during mining on previously mined land. Although Texas’ language is not identical to the Federal language, it is similar. SMCRA § 510(e) is specific that the unanticipated event or condition is “at” a surface coal mine while Texas’ § 134.069 uses the phrase “in connection with.”

We find that this difference in wording is allowable as long as Texas implements it with the same intent of SMCRA § 510(e) and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 773.13. Based on this, we find that the addition of the new paragraph will make Texas’ statutes no less stringent than the requirements of SMCRA. Therefore, we are approving it.

3. Texas Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act Section 134.092. Performance Standards

Texas added new language to (a)(20) to incorporate equivalent statutory language found at SMCRA § 515(b)(20) with regard to the term of the extended responsibility period for mining of previously mined lands.

This new language creates a separate paragraph, (a)(20)(B), for areas that meet this new definition of “previously mined lands” which we have already found to be no less stringent than SMCRA. Texas’ new provision requiring an operator to assume responsibility for 2 years on previously mined land is substantively the same as the Federal requirements at 515(b)(20)(B). However, this section does not address the period of responsibility for areas that receive an annual average precipitation amount of 26 inches or less. This responsibility requirement is addressed in section 134.104 and is discussed below.

We find that this new language makes Texas’ statutes no less stringent than the requirements of SMCRA. Therefore, we are approving it.

4. Texas Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act Section 134.104. Responsibility for Revegetation: Area of Low Precipitation

Texas added new language to this section to incorporate equivalent statutory language found at SMCRA § 515(b)(20) with regard to the term of the extended responsibility period for mining of previously mined lands. The new language clarifies the liability periods for areas that receive an annual average precipitation amount of 26 inches or less as five years on previously mined lands and 10 years on lands not previously mined.

We find that this new language makes Texas’ statutes no less stringent than the requirements of SMCRA. Therefore, we are approving it.

5. Texas Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act Section 134.105. Responsibility for Revegetation: Long-Term Intensive Agricultural Postmining Use

Texas deleted language in this section referring to the “five year or 10 year” period of responsibility. This deletion was made so the section coincides with other changes made to the statutes that were discussed above. This change allows the modified sentence to refer to whichever “applicable period” applies. We find that this deletion makes Texas’ statutes no less stringent than the requirements of SMCRA. Therefore, we are approving it.

C. TX–063–FOR

1. Texas Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act Section 134.004. Definitions

Texas added a definition for “Director,” defining it as the director of the Surface Mining and Reclamation Division of the Railroad Commission of Texas or the director’s representative.

We find that there is no Federal counterpart for the new definition and it does not make Texas’ statutes less stringent than the requirements of SMCRA. However, Texas’ current regulations at § 12.3(54) currently define “director” as “the Director of the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM).” Once we approve this change to Texas’ statute, Texas will amend its approved program regulations. We are approving this change to Texas’ statutes.

2. Texas Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act Section 134.080. Approval of Permit Revision

Texas modified the section’s title and deleted paragraph (b), which required the Commission to approve or disapprove a permit revision within 90 days. Texas added a new section 134.085 that describes, in detail, the Commission’s requirements for processing new permits, renewals, and revisions, including processing and notification timeframes. SMCRA § 511(a)(2) requires that revisions be approved or disapproved “within a period of time established by the State or Federal Program.”

We find that these changes make Texas’ statutes no less stringent than the requirements of SMCRA. Therefore, we are approving them.

3. Texas Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act Section 134.085. Review Periods for New Permits, Renewals, and Revisions

Texas added this new section to codify application processing timeframes that have previously been in effect and to comply with SMCRA § 511(a)(2) which requires States to establish such timeframes. Texas established a seven day application review period to determine application completeness followed by a 120 day review period for new permits, renewals, or significant revisions and a 90 day review period for applications considered to be non-significant departures.

We find that the addition of this new section makes Texas’ statutes no less stringent than the requirements of SMCRA. Therefore, we are approving it.

IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments

Public Comments

We asked for public comments on the amendments, but did not receive any.

Federal Agency Comments

On June 27, 2011, under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(ii) and section 503(b) of SMCRA, we requested comments on the amendments from various Federal agencies with an actual or potential interest in the Texas program (Administrative Record Nos. TX–667.02, TX–668.02, and TX–669.02). We did not receive any comments.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Concurrence and Comments

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(ii), we are required to get a written concurrence from EPA for those provisions of the program amendments that relate to air or water quality standards issued under the authority of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) or the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.). None of the revisions that Texas proposed to make in these amendments pertained to air or water quality standards. Therefore, we did not ask EPA to concur on the amendments. However, on June 27, 2011, under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(ii), we requested comments on the amendments from the EPA (Administrative Record Nos. TX–667.02, TX–668.02, and TX–669.02). The EPA did not respond to our request.
State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(4), we are required to request comments from the SHPO and ACHP on amendments that may have an effect on historic properties. On June 27, 2011, we requested comments on Texas’ amendments (Administrative Record Nos. TX–667.02, TX–668.02, and TX–669.02), but neither responded to our request.

V. OSM’s Decision

Based on the above findings, we approve the amendments Texas sent us on May 18, 2011, May 26, 2011, and June 3, 2011.

To implement this decision, we are amending the Federal regulations at 30 CFR part 943, which codify decisions concerning the Texas program. We find that good cause exists under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) to make this final rule effective immediately. Section 503(a) of SMCRA requires that the State’s program demonstrate that the State has the capability of carrying out the provisions of the Act and meeting its purposes. Making this rule effective immediately will expedite that process. SMCRA requires consistency of State and Federal standards.

VI. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12630—Takings

This rule does not have takings implications. This determination is based upon the analysis performed for the counterpart Federal regulation.

Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866.

Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 and has determined that this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments because each program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10) decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

Executive Order 13132—Federalism

This rule does not have Federalism implications. SMCRA delineates the roles of the Federal and State governments with regard to the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations. One of the purposes of SMCRA is to “establish a nationwide program to protect society and the environment from the adverse effects of surface coal mining operations.” Section 503(a)(1) of SMCRA requires that State laws regulating surface coal mining and reclamation operations be “in accordance with” the requirements of SMCRA, and Section 503(a)(7) requires that State programs contain rules and regulations “consistent with” regulations issued by the Secretary pursuant to SMCRA.

Executive Order 13175—Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments

In accordance with Executive Order 13175, we have evaluated the potential effects of this rule on Federally-recognized Indian tribes and have determined that the rule does not have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. This determination is based upon the fact that the Texas program does not regulate coal exploration and surface coal mining and reclamation operations on Indian lands. Therefore, the Texas program has no effect on Federally-recognized Indian tribes.

Executive Order 13211—Regulations That Significantly Affect the Supply, Distribution, or Use of Energy

On May 18, 2001, the President issued Executive Order 13211 which requires agencies to prepare a Statement of Energy Effects for a rule that is (1) considered significant under Executive Order 12866, and (2) likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. Because this rule is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866 and is not expected to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy, a Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement because section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal, which is the subject of this rule, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule: (a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million; (b) Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and (c) Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. This determination is based upon the fact that the State submittal, which is the subject of this rule, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation was not considered a major rule.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector.
of $100 million or more in any given year. This determination is based upon the fact that the State submittal, which is the subject of this rule, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation did not impose an unfunded mandate.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 943
Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

I. Statutory and Regulatory Background

The Bank Secrecy Act (“BSA”) 1 authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury (the “Secretary”) to issue regulations requiring financial institutions to keep records and file reports that the Secretary determines “have a high degree of usefulness in criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations or proceedings, or in the conduct of intelligence or counterintelligence activities, including analysis, to protect against international terrorism.” 2 In addition, the Secretary is authorized to impose anti-money laundering (“AML”) program requirements on financial institutions.3 The authority of the Secretary to administer the BSA has been delegated to the Director of FinCEN.4

Financial institutions are required to establish AML programs that include, at a minimum: (1) The development of internal policies, procedures, and controls; (2) the designation of a compliance officer; (3) an ongoing employee training program; and (4) an independent audit function to test programs. When prescribing minimum standards for AML programs, FinCEN must “consider the extent to which the requirements imposed under [the AML program requirement] are commensurate with the size, location, and activities of the financial institutions to which such regulations apply.” 5 The BSA also requires financial institutions to file suspicious activity reports (“SARs”).6

The BSA defines the term “financial institution” to include, in part, a loan or finance company.7 The term “loan or finance company” is not defined in any FinCEN regulation, and there is no legislative history on the term. The term, however, can reasonably be construed to extend to any business entity that makes loans to or finances purchases on behalf of consumers and businesses. Some loan and finance companies extend personal loans and loans secured by real estate mortgages and deeds of trust, including home equity loans. Non-bank residential mortgage lenders and originators (“RMLOs”—generally known as “mortgage companies” and “mortgage brokers” in the residential mortgage business sector) are a significant subset of the “loan or finance company” category, in terms of the number of businesses and the aggregate volume

2. Section 943.15 is amended in the table by adding a new entry in chronological order by “Date of final publication” to read as follows:

§ 943.15 Approval of Texas regulatory program amendments.

* * * * *

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Financial Crimes Enforcement Network
31 CFR Parts 1010 and 1029
RIN 1506–AB02
Anti-Money Laundering Program and Suspicious Activity Report Filing Requirements for Residential Mortgage Lenders and Originators

AGENCY: Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (“FinCEN”), Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: FinCEN, a bureau of the Department of the Treasury (“Treasury”), is issuing this Final Rule defining non-bank residential mortgage lenders and originators as loan or finance companies for the purpose of requiring them to establish anti-money laundering programs and report suspicious activities under the Bank Secrecy Act.

DATES: Effective Date: This rule is effective April 16, 2012.

Compliance Date: The compliance date for 31 CFR 1029.210 is August 13, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: FinCEN, Regulatory Policy and Programs Division at (800) 949–2732 and select Option 1.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

* * * * *

3 31 U.S.C. 5318(b).
4 See Treasury Order 180–01 (Sept. 26, 2002).
6 31 U.S.C. 5318(g). Section 5318(g) gives the Secretary authority to require financial institutions to file SARs. This section was added to the BSA by section 1517 of the Ammnuzio-Wyline Anti-Money Laundering Act, Title XV of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992, Public Law 102–550; it was expanded by section 403 of the Money Laundering Suppression Act of 1994, Title IV of the Ringle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994, Public Law 103–325, to require designation of a single government recipient for reports of suspicious transactions.