

of \$100 million or more in any given year. This determination is based upon the fact that the State submittal, which is the subject of this rule, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation did not impose an unfunded mandate.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 943

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: November 9, 2011.
Ervin J. Barchenger,
Regional Director, Mid-Continent Region.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR part 943 is amended as set forth below:

PART 943—TEXAS

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 943 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 30 U.S.C. 1201 *et seq.*

■ 2. Section 943.15 is amended in the table by adding a new entry in chronological order by “Date of final publication” to read as follows:

§ 943.15 Approval of Texas regulatory program amendments.

* * * * *

Original amendment submission date	Date of final publication	Citation/Description
May 18, 2011, May 26, 2011, and June 3, 2011.	February 14, 2012	16 TAC 12.100(a); 12.225(a)(3); 12.311(b); TSCMRA 134.004 (7-a) and (15-a); 134.069(c); 134.080(a) and (b); 134.085; 134.092(20); 134.104(1) and (2); and 134.105(a).

[FR Doc. 2012-3418 Filed 2-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Financial Crimes Enforcement Network
31 CFR Parts 1010 and 1029
RIN 1506-AB02
Anti-Money Laundering Program and Suspicious Activity Report Filing Requirements for Residential Mortgage Lenders and Originators

AGENCY: Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (“FinCEN”), Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: FinCEN, a bureau of the Department of the Treasury (“Treasury”), is issuing this Final Rule defining non-bank residential mortgage lenders and originators as loan or finance companies for the purpose of requiring them to establish anti-money laundering programs and report suspicious activities under the Bank Secrecy Act.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This rule is effective April 16, 2012.

Compliance Date: The compliance date for 31 CFR 1029.210 is August 13, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: FinCEN, Regulatory Policy and Programs Division at (800) 949-2732 and select Option 1.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Statutory and Regulatory Background

The Bank Secrecy Act (“BSA”) ¹ authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury (the “Secretary”) to issue regulations requiring financial institutions to keep records and file reports that the Secretary determines “have a high degree of usefulness in criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations or proceedings, or in the conduct of intelligence or counterintelligence activities, including analysis, to protect against international terrorism.” ² In addition, the Secretary is authorized to impose anti-money laundering (“AML”) program requirements on financial institutions. ³ The authority of the Secretary to administer the BSA has been delegated to the Director of FinCEN. ⁴

Financial institutions are required to establish AML programs that include, at a minimum: (1) The development of internal policies, procedures, and controls; (2) the designation of a compliance officer; (3) an ongoing employee training program; and (4) an independent audit function to test programs. When prescribing minimum standards for AML programs, FinCEN must “consider the extent to which the requirements imposed under [the AML program requirement] are commensurate with the size, location, and activities of the financial institutions to which such regulations

apply.” ⁵ The BSA also requires financial institutions to file suspicious activity reports (“SARs”). ⁶

The BSA defines the term “financial institution” to include, in part, a loan or finance company. ⁷ The term “loan or finance company” is not defined in any FinCEN regulation, and there is no legislative history on the term. The term, however, can reasonably be construed to extend to any business entity that makes loans to or finances purchases on behalf of consumers and businesses. Some loan and finance companies extend personal loans and loans secured by real estate mortgages and deeds of trust, including home equity loans. Non-bank residential mortgage lenders and originators (“RMLOs”—generally known as “mortgage companies” and “mortgage brokers” in the residential mortgage business sector) are a significant subset of the “loan or finance company” category, in terms of the number of businesses and the aggregate volume

⁵ Public Law 107-56 352(c), 115 Stat. § 322, codified at 31 U.S.C. 5318 note. Public Law 107-56 is the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (“USA PATRIOT Act”).

⁶ 31 U.S.C. 5318(g). Section 5318(g) gives the Secretary authority to require financial institutions to file SARs. This section was added to the BSA by section 1517 of the Annunzio-Wylie Anti-Money Laundering Act, Title XV of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992, Public Law 102-550; it was expanded by section 403 of the Money Laundering Suppression Act of 1994, Title IV of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994, Public Law 103-325, to require designation of a single government recipient for reports of suspicious transactions.

⁷ 31 U.S.C. 5312(a)(2)(P).

¹ “Bank Secrecy Act” is the name that has come to be applied to the Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act (Titles I and II of Pub. L. 91-508), its amendments, and the other statutes referring to the subject matter of that Act. These statutes are codified at 12 U.S.C. 1829b, 12 U.S.C. 1951-1959, and 31 U.S.C. 5311-5314 and 5316-5332, and notes thereto.

² 31 U.S.C. 5311.

³ 31 U.S.C. 5318(h).

⁴ See Treasury Order 180-01 (Sept. 26, 2002).

and value of transactions they facilitate.⁸

In 2002, FinCEN issued a regulation that temporarily exempted loan and finance companies and other categories of BSA-defined financial institutions from the obligation to establish AML programs.⁹ The purpose of the exemption was to enable Treasury and FinCEN to study these categories of institutions and to consider the extent to which BSA requirements should be applied to them, taking into account their specific characteristics and money laundering vulnerabilities.¹⁰ As a result, RMLOs did not have to comply with AML or SAR regulations or other BSA reporting and recordkeeping requirements intended to help prevent money laundering and fraud, and support law enforcement efforts. Subsequently, FinCEN analyses and law enforcement investigations identified this exemption as a regulatory gap that can be exploited by criminals, particularly in the conduct of mortgage fraud.

On July 21, 2009, FinCEN issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“ANPRM”) ¹¹ soliciting general comments on whether FinCEN should issue AML and SAR program regulations for RMLOs. Most of the comments received in response to the ANPRM generally supported AML and SAR regulations for RMLOs. On December 9, 2010, FinCEN issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPRM”) ¹² to solicit comments on specific proposed regulations for RMLOs. The NPRM proposed AML and SAR regulations with standards and requirements that are substantially identical to those in AML and SAR regulations for banks and other financial institutions that offer retail consumer banking services and originate mortgage loans.

⁸ Loan and finance companies also supply short- and intermediate-term credit for such purposes as the purchase of equipment, accounts receivable portfolios and motor vehicles, and the financing of inventories. In addition, specialized wholesale loan and finance companies provide liquidity that allows retail loan and finance companies, as well as banks and others, to service end users.

⁹ 31 CFR 1010.205 (2011).

¹⁰ See 67 FR 21113 (Apr. 29, 2002), as amended at 67 FR 67549 (Nov. 6, 2002), corrected at 67 FR 68935 (Nov. 14, 2002), recodified at 75 FR 65806 (Oct. 26, 2010).

¹¹ 74 FR 35830 (July 21, 2009). “Anti-Money Laundering Program and Suspicious Activity Report Requirements for Non-Bank Residential Mortgage Lenders and Originators.” <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2009/pdf/E-9-17117.pdf>.

¹² 75 FR 76677 (Dec. 9, 2010). “Anti-Money Laundering Program and Suspicious Activity Report Filing Requirements for Residential Mortgage Lenders and Originators.” <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2010/pdf/2010-30765.pdf>.

Both the ANPRM and the NPRM suggested that the AML program and SAR filing regulations for RMLOs would be issued as the first step in an incremental approach to implementation of regulations for the broad loan or finance company category of financial institutions. Thus, the definition of “loan or finance company” would initially include only RMLOs, but would be structured to permit the addition of other types of loan and finance related businesses and professions in future amendments.

Since 2006, FinCEN has issued numerous studies analyzing SARs reporting suspected mortgage fraud and money laundering that involved both banks and RMLOs, the latter typically brokering or selling purchase money and refinance loans to lending institutions.¹³ The reports underscore the potential benefits of AML and SAR regulations for a variety of businesses in the primary and secondary residential mortgage markets, including RMLOs. As noted in the NPRM and emphasized in several related public comments, RMLOs are primary providers of mortgage finance—in most cases dealing directly with the consumer—and are in a unique position to assess and identify money laundering risks and fraud while directly assisting consumers with their financial needs and protecting the sector from the abuses of financial crime. Comments on the ANPRM and NPRM emphasized that the risks of fraud and other financial crimes, including money laundering, are substantial in the RMLO sector and are growing. Some comments stated that the financial crime risks in the sector are “no less significant” than those faced by banks providing mortgage loan services.¹⁴

¹³ See Mortgage Loan Fraud Update (SARs Jan. 1–Mar. 31, 2011), June 2011, http://www.fincen.gov/news_room/rp/files/MLF_Update_1st_Qtly_11_FINAL_508.pdf; Mortgage Loan Fraud Update (SARs Jan. 1–Dec. 31, 2010), Mar. 2011, http://www.fincen.gov/news_room/rp/files/MLF_Update_4th_Qtly_10_FINAL_508.pdf; Mortgage Loan Fraud Update (SARs July 1–Sept. 30, 2010), Jan. 2011, http://www.fincen.gov/news_room/rp/files/MLF_Update_3rd_Qtly_10_FINAL.pdf; Mortgage Loan Fraud Update (SARs Apr. 1–June 30, 2010), Dec. 2010, http://www.fincen.gov/news_room/rp/files/MLF_Update_2nd_Qtly_10_FINAL.pdf; Mortgage Loan Fraud Update: SAR Filings Jan. 1–Mar. 31, 2010, http://www.fincen.gov/news_room/rp/files/MLF_Update_1st_Qtly_10_FINAL.pdf. See also NPRM, notes 13, 20 and 21.

¹⁴ See NPRM, 75 FR at 76679. One government agency comment on the NPRM stated that the “regulatory gap in coverage has hampered efforts to be proactive in detecting and investigating mortgage fraud at non-banks (i.e., unsupervised lenders and originators [RMLOs under this Final Rule]) * * *.” The commenter further noted that in 2010, unsupervised lenders and originators comprised fully two-thirds (67 percent) of FHA’s approved originating lenders. The commenter also stated that “[o]ne vital weapon in the war on mortgage fraud

Most of the comments on the NPRM generally supported the issuance of AML program and SAR filing regulations for RMLOs. The Final Rule is based on the NPRM and adopts all of the regulatory provisions proposed with a few exceptions, noted below. The AML regulation promulgates the four minimum requirements noted earlier. The SAR regulation requires reporting of suspicious activity, including but not limited to fraudulent attempts to obtain a mortgage or launder money by use of the proceeds of other crimes to purchase residential real estate. The Final Rule does not require RMLOs to comply with any other BSA reporting or recordkeeping regulations, such as currency transaction reports (CTRs).¹⁵ The few large currency transactions expected to be conducted in the sector will continue to be subject to reporting on FinCEN Form 8300.¹⁶

FinCEN believes that much of the effort necessary to meet these regulatory obligations, including information gathering, will be accomplished through business operations already undertaken as part of normal transaction negotiation, completion of required Federal forms and disclosures, and due diligence and review of property and collateral. With this Final Rule, FinCEN believes RMLOs will assume a crucial role in government and industry efforts to protect consumers, mortgage finance businesses, and the U.S. financial system from mortgage fraud, money laundering, and other financial crimes.

II. Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The comment period on the NPRM ended on February 7, 2011. FinCEN received 15 comment letters from individuals, businesses, and representatives of various groups whose members had an interest in the proposed AML and SAR program requirements. The comments offered a range of views on the appropriate scope of any new regulations, and on various implementation- and compliance-related matters of concern to industry, regulators and law enforcement.

A. Incremental Implementation of Rules

The NPRM proposed specific AML program and SAR filing requirements for RMLOs as the first step in an incremental approach to implementation of regulations for loan and finance companies. In order to limit the scope of the Final Rule to RMLOs, the NPRM proposed a definition of the

has been FinCEN’s regulations that require banks to establish AML programs and to file SARs.”

¹⁵ 31 CFR 1010.310.

¹⁶ 31 CFR 1010.330.

term “loan or finance company” that includes business entities or sole proprietorships (not individuals) acting within the bounds of specified definitions for the terms *residential mortgage lender* and *residential mortgage originator*.

Seven comments on the NPRM addressed aspects of the incremental approach FinCEN has chosen, mostly supportive. Many commenters also urged that the Final Rule cover other types of businesses and professions in the primary and secondary residential real estate markets, as well as other types of consumer and commercial loan and finance companies, not just residential mortgage lenders and originators.

Two commenters argued that FinCEN should not delay implementation of BSA requirements for other loan or finance companies. One argued that an uneven playing field would be to the advantage of fraudsters and criminals, who will take advantage of financial industry sectors that have less stringent BSA requirements. The other commenter argued that such an incremental approach misses the opportunity to provide law enforcement with critical information about high-risk real estate transactions and needlessly continues the exemption of U.S. real estate and escrow agents. A number of comments suggested that FinCEN issue final rules for commercial lenders, as well as RMLOs, in connection with this rulemaking.

Comments of this nature were anticipated from industry as well as regulators and law enforcement, due to heightened concern about criminals potentially shifting the focus of their fraud and other illegal financial transactions and money laundering to uncovered businesses and professions. Arguably, the absence of rules for other types of loan or finance companies might be exploited by criminals insofar as they may shift the focus of their criminal enterprises from residential real estate to other consumer and commercial finance businesses. FinCEN reports note that SARs involving commercial real estate, in particular, have increased in recent periods.¹⁷

¹⁷ See, e.g., Mortgage Loan Fraud Update (SARs Apr. 1–June 30, 2010), Dec. 2010, page 18. http://www.fincen.gov/news_room/rp/files/MLF_Update_2nd_Qtly_10_FINAL.pdf. See also Commercial Real Estate Financing Fraud (SARs by Depository Institutions, Jan. 1, 2007 to Dec. 31, 2010) Mar. 2011; Advisory: Activities Potentially Related to Commercial Real Estate Fraud (Mar. 30, 2011); Remarks of James H. Freis, Jr., Director, FinCEN, delivered at the Mortgage Bankers Association National Fraud Issues Conference, Mar. 28, 2011, page 4 (the “Fraud Conference Speech”).

Some comments urged simultaneous—or very prompt—issuance of AML and SAR rules for businesses in a separate, but related, category of BSA-defined financial institution—“persons involved in real estate closings and settlements.”¹⁸ FinCEN regulations in this category could include persons as varied as real estate agents and real estate brokers, closing attorneys and agents, title search and title insurance companies, appraisers, escrow companies, and other firms involved in initial purchase money transactions as well as subsequent refinancing in the form of, for example, home equity loans, reverse mortgages, and real estate-secured consumer loans. Three commenters suggested that FinCEN should propose rules for real estate agents and other persons involved in real estate closings and settlements. One commenter advocated for the Final Rule to include two types of businesses that logically belong in the “persons involved * * *” category—real estate agents and escrow companies. The comment emphasized the critical role a few of these companies played in recent high-profile money laundering cases. One comment specifically opposed such a proposal, arguing that in nearly all real estate finance transactions in which real estate agents participate funds are transferred using the services of different businesses that already are required to comply with AML and SAR regulations.

In sum, several comments on the NPRM expressed support for expanding the scope of the Final Rule to cover businesses and professions involved in a broad range of consumer and commercial real estate and non-real estate related finance. Upon consideration of the comments, FinCEN is not inclined at this time to propose a definition of “loan or finance company” that would encompass other types of consumer or commercial finance companies, or real estate agents and other “persons involved in real estate closings and settlements.”

FinCEN intends to defer regulations for these other businesses and professions until further research and analysis can be conducted to enhance our understanding of the operations and money laundering vulnerabilities of these businesses. Accordingly, as the NPRM suggested, the definition of “loan or finance company” in the Final Rule has been structured to permit the addition of other types of loan and

http://www.fincen.gov/news_room/speech/pdf/20110328.pdf.

¹⁸ 31 U.S.C. 5312(a)(2)(U).

finance companies in future rulemakings.

B. Final Rule Limited to AML and SAR Regulations Only

The NPRM suggested that FinCEN would not propose any additional BSA regulations for the sector at this time, including CTR requirements.¹⁹ One commenter addressed this issue specifically, supporting FinCEN’s view that CTR filing requirements are unnecessary for loan or finance companies. FinCEN agrees, and therefore, the Final Rule does not adopt any CTR requirements or any other BSA regulations.²⁰

C. Consideration of Examination Authority

FinCEN sought comment on any particular aspects of the loan or finance company sector that should be considered when making a decision about whether, to whom, and how to delegate examination authority. Under 31 CFR 1010.810(a), “[O]verall authority for enforcement and compliance, including coordination and direction of procedures and activities of all other agencies exercising delegated authority under this chapter, is delegated [by the Secretary of the Treasury] to the Director, FinCEN.” In turn, Federal functional regulators have been delegated authority to examine certain financial institutions they oversee for compliance with FinCEN’s regulations. As noted in the NPRM, the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) has been delegated the authority, under this regulation,²¹ to examine for compliance with FinCEN’s regulations those financial institutions that are not examined by a Federal functional regulator.

Commenters suggested options for FinCEN to delegate complete or partial examination authority over RMLOs for compliance with the Final Rule. The options noted in the public comments included, in addition to the IRS, state regulatory agencies, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, and the Federal banking agencies (particularly with respect to RMLOs affiliated with banks or insured depository institutions and their holding companies). Upon consideration of all the comments, FinCEN will work with other relevant regulatory agencies in the development of consistent compliance examination

¹⁹ See note 15, *supra*.

²⁰ As financial institutions for purposes of 31 U.S.C. 5312(a)(2), loan or finance companies have been, and remain subject to, the special information procedures to deter money laundering and terrorist activity. See Subpart E of 31 CFR Part 1010.

²¹ 31 CFR 1010.810(b)(8).

procedures, and in the future will provide public notice of other agencies that will exercise delegated compliance examination authority with respect to certain classes of RMLOs and other loan or finance companies.

D. SAR Filing System and Form

Three commenters suggested that FinCEN establish a separate SAR filing system and form for the exclusive use of residential mortgage lenders and originators. Another commenter requested that FinCEN continue to accommodate manual paper SAR filings, as many covered entities do not have automated systems.

FinCEN considered requiring RMLOs to use Treasury SAR Form TD F 90–22.47, presently used by banks and other insured depository institutions. The information required for a SAR from an RMLO would be substantially the same as that required of banks and other depository institutions that make mortgage loans and use Form TD F 90–22.47. However, FinCEN is modernizing its SAR filing system and intends to establish a uniform electronic form for use by all financial institutions with a SAR filing obligation.²² Accordingly, the Final Rule has a delayed compliance date to allow time for industry to implement programs and systems and for FinCEN to implement the new SAR filing system. In addition, FinCEN intends to phase out the manual filing of paper SAR forms.²³ RMLOs will, therefore, be required to use FinCEN's electronic, web-based E-Filing system under development for the filing of the uniform SAR form. This electronic filing system will not require use of commercial automated systems, but will be usable by anyone with access to the Internet.²⁴

E. Exclusions and Exemptions Considered

The NPRM suggested exceptions or exclusions for: banks and insured depository institutions; persons registered with and functionally regulated or examined by the U. S. Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission; individuals employed by covered loan or finance companies and affiliated financial institutions; and individuals who finance the sale of their own property (*i.e.*, seller-financed sales). The NPRM expressed the long-held view that exceptions are appropriate for individuals and entities

already subject to AML and SAR regulations to avoid overlapping or duplicative requirements, and that seller-financed transactions do not present the same risks as most transactions conducted at arm's-length.

In response to FinCEN's request for comments on the matter of appropriate exclusions and exceptions, some commenters opposed any additional exemptions or exceptions beyond those suggested in the NPRM, while others urged FinCEN to consider one or more additional exceptions. One commenter stated that the registration and training requirements mandated by the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 ("SAFE Act")²⁵ are sufficient to address anti-money laundering and terrorist financing risks encountered by RMLOs. Another commenter argued that small businesses with fewer than five employees should be exempt.

FinCEN does not agree that the registration and training requirements under the SAFE Act are sufficient to address all of the concerns and accomplish all of the goals related to AML and SAR programs. However, FinCEN intends to continue its dialogue with the CSBS to coordinate the identification and examination of mortgage originators subject to the Final Rule. SARs filed pursuant to FinCEN's regulations go into a database that is accessible to regulatory agencies and law enforcement on the Federal, state and local levels. The information in FinCEN's database, and FinCEN's complementary analysis, is crucial to the successful investigation and prosecution of money laundering, fraud, and other financial crimes—a point emphasized in several comments on the NPRM.

FinCEN does not agree that RMLOs with less than a certain arbitrary number of employees or net worth should be excepted from the Final Rule. Such an exception would leave a large gap in coverage of RMLO businesses. Comments on the NPRM confirm that the absence of SAR rules for RMLOs has resulted in a substantial gap in mortgage fraud related SAR reporting. FinCEN believes that a "small business" exclusion or exception for businesses with fewer than five employees, or for businesses that satisfy some other arbitrary size, net worth or similar criteria, would perpetuate the present substantial gap in SAR reporting. The widespread knowledge that all banks

and other insured depository institutions have well-established AML and SAR programs likely has deterred some criminals and caused them to consider other options for integrating illicit funds into the financial system. The inclusion of arbitrary, size-related exceptions from the Final Rule may result in unintended consequences that undermine the effectiveness of a comprehensive, risk-based AML and SAR program regime. Such exceptions could, for example, encourage a shift of a substantial portion of mortgage transactions to small lenders and brokers, however "small" is defined.

A similar comment suggested a *de minimis* exception for businesses that lend or broker loans under a relatively low value, or low aggregate volume of transactions within a set time period. For the reasons stated above, we see no compelling reason to except any businesses or transactions based on an arbitrary, *de minimis* dollar amount or volume of transactions.

Commenters both supported and opposed the NPRM's proposed coverage of sole proprietorships. Consistent with the NPRM, the Final Rule explicitly covers sole proprietorships. For the same reasons that support the rejection of an exception for small businesses, the Final Rule does not recognize an exception based on a business's status as a sole proprietorship or other kind of business entity under Federal or state incorporation or tax laws. An exception for sole proprietorships likely would perpetuate, to some degree, the SAR filing gap and risk adverse impacts on the mortgage markets. Thus, the Final Rule does not incorporate any such exceptions for businesses based on their form of organization.

III. Section-by-Section Analysis

A. Definition of Loan or Finance Company

Section 1010.100(III) defines the key terms used in the Final Rule. The definitions reflect FinCEN's determination that the term "loan or finance company" should be limited, at this time, to RMLOs, and that AML program and SAR requirements should be applied first to these businesses, and later—as part of a phased approach—applied to other consumer and commercial loan and finance companies. With the exception of the addition of explicit exclusions for government-sponsored enterprises and certain government programs and a slight change to the definition of residential mortgage originator, discussed below, the Final Rule adopts the definitions as proposed.

²² 75 FR 63545 (Oct. 15, 2010).

²³ 76 FR 57799 (Sept. 16, 2011).

²⁴ *Id.*, note 4, referencing information on filing methods posted on FinCEN's Web site, <http://bsaeifiling.fincen.treas.gov/main.html>.

²⁵ See Title V of Division A of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110–289, 122 Stat. 2810 (2008), codified at 12 U.S.C. 5101, *et. seq.*

In the NPRM, “residential mortgage originator” was defined as a person who “takes a residential mortgage loan application and offers or negotiates terms of a residential mortgage loan for compensation or gain.” One commenter suggested that the proposed language “takes a residential mortgage loan application” was ambiguous as to who would be subject to the requirements. FinCEN intends the Final Rule to be broad in scope and cover most non-bank residential mortgage originators, with the few exceptions recognized in the Final Rule and described in this notice. FinCEN intends the Final Rule to cover any business that, on behalf of one or more lenders, accepts a completed mortgage loan application, even if the business does not in any manner engage in negotiating the terms of a loan. FinCEN also intends the Final Rules to cover businesses that offer or negotiate specific loan terms on behalf of either a lender or borrower, regardless of whether they also accept a mortgage loan application. Accordingly, the Final Rule modifies the proposed definition of “residential mortgage originator” slightly to include “persons” who *accept* a residential mortgage loan application *or* that offer or negotiate terms of a residential mortgage loan.” The change made from the NPRM of replacing the term “take” with “accept” is intended to differentiate the Final Rule from the SAFE Act. The change from “and” to “or” is intended to ensure that persons who either accept an application or offer or negotiate the terms of a loan are covered. In addition, FinCEN intends the Final Rule to apply to residential mortgage originators, regardless of whether they receive compensation or gain for acting in that capacity. Accordingly, the phrase “for compensation or gain” in the proposed definition is removed from the definition in the Final Rule. These changes create greater differences between the definitions in this Final Rule and those used in the SAFE Act and other federal mortgage-related statutes. This was done intentionally to differentiate this Final Rule from those statutes so that the interpretation of this Final Rule is not based on the interpretation of those statutes. FinCEN intends the definitions in the Final Rule and subsequent amendments thereto to be consistent with definitions in the SAFE Act and other federal mortgage-related statutes, only to the extent deemed appropriate to advance FinCEN’s mission, strategic goals, and policies. As discussed in the NPRM, the Final Rule does not contemplate coverage of an individual employed by

a loan or finance company or financial institution, and provides an exception for individuals financing the sale of their own real estate.²⁶ For example, individuals employed by a loan or finance company that would be not be subject to the rule include administrative assistants and office clerks who gather documents, review land records and complete forms on behalf of a lender or originator.

One commenter inquired whether the Final Rule (or any aspects thereof) would apply to the housing government sponsored enterprises (“GSEs”) and their employees involved in “loss mitigation” activities. FinCEN would like to clarify that no provision of the Final Rule applies to the housing GSEs or any of their employees, regardless of whether they are involved in loss mitigation or any other housing GSE activity or program. FinCEN has revised the proposed definition of “loan or finance company” to exclude “any government sponsored enterprise regulated by the Federal Housing Finance Agency.” Where fraud is suspected by a housing GSE, there is an established procedure, currently set forth in a Memorandum of Understanding between FinCEN and the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”) for the GSE to report to the FHFA, which then reports the suspicious activity to FinCEN.²⁷

The Final Rule generally is intended to cover initial purchase money loans and traditional refinancing transactions facilitated by RMLOs. Another commenter asked FinCEN to clarify whether the Final Rule would apply to transactions involving funds or programs under the Troubled Asset Relief Program and similar Federal programs,²⁸ or any similar state housing authority or housing assistance program. These programs are intended to prevent loan default and foreclosure. Most of these programs apply to existing loans in default or at risk of default. While these programs are administered by government agencies that have

²⁶ The Final Rule applies to businesses, including sole proprietorships, not individuals. Some individuals covered by the SAFE Act definition of “loan originator,” 12 U.S.C. 5102(3)(A)(ii), would not be covered by the Final Rule.

²⁷ In a recently issued NPRM, FinCEN proposed AML and SAR regulations for the housing GSEs that would, in part, replace the existing reporting arrangement with a more direct and efficient reporting procedure. See 76 FR 69204 (Nov. 8, 2011). <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2011-11-08/pdf/2011-28820.pdf>.

²⁸ Other Federal programs noted by the commenter include the Making Home Affordable Program, the Home Affordable Modification Program, the Hardest Hit Funds Program and the Federal Housing Administration Refinance Program.

developed standards, procedures, and qualifications to prevent fraud and abuse, the programs nonetheless are vulnerable to fraud and money laundering—a risk acknowledged by the commenter.

Since 2009 FinCEN has warned financial institutions and consumers about the fraud and money laundering risks associated with foreclosure prevention and loan modification programs,²⁹ and FinCEN agrees with the commenter’s assessment of the risks associated with the programs identified in the comment. Accordingly, FinCEN expects that RMLOs participating in such programs to comply with the Final Rule to the extent any transactions conducted by the RMLO could reasonably be considered to be extending a residential mortgage loan or offering or negotiating the terms of a residential mortgage loan, within the meaning of the definitions of “residential mortgage lender” and “residential mortgage originator” in the Final Rule. The Final Rules, however, do not apply to the Federal or state housing authorities and agencies administering such programs. The proposed definition of “loan or finance company” has been revised to exclude “any Federal or state agency or authority administering mortgage or housing assistance, fraud prevention or foreclosure prevention programs.”

The commenter also requested clarification whether the Final Rule would apply to foreclosure prevention actions and counseling services performed by legitimate, non-profit organizations—some of which may receive minimal compensation to assist in the preparation of a mortgage application, or provide short-term loans to facilitate foreclosure prevention actions. Consistent with our views regarding RMLOs that participate in Federal and state foreclosure prevention programs, FinCEN also expects non-profit housing organizations to comply with the Final Rule, to the extent any such organization may reasonably be deemed to be extending a residential mortgage loan (including a short-term mortgage loan), or offering or negotiating the terms of a residential mortgage loan. However, FinCEN would not expect legitimate, non-profit organizations that limit their activities

²⁹ See FIN-2010-A005—Advisory to Financial Institutions on Filing Suspicious Activity Reports Regarding Home Equity Conversion Mortgage Fraud Schemes (Apr. 27, 2011), http://www.fincen.gov/statutes_regs/guidance/html/fin-2010-a005.html; FIN-2009-A001—Guidance to Financial Institutions on Filing Suspicious Activity Reports regarding Loan Modification/Foreclosure Rescue Scams (Apr. 6, 2009), http://www.fincen.gov/statutes_regs/guidance/html/fin-2009-a001.html.

to assisting with the preparation of loan applications or referral of prospective borrowers to qualified lenders, for free or for a fee; that provide short-term, non-mortgage loans to qualified borrowers or homeowners; or that otherwise *facilitate* the extension of a residential mortgage loan (but do not make the loan or offer or negotiate the terms of the loan), to fall within the scope of the Final Rule.

One commenter requested that FinCEN exclude mortgage servicers from the definition of residential mortgage loan originator. FinCEN generally views loan servicers as businesses that support post-origination principal and interest collection and taxation, and not as a business or activity that “offers or negotiates” the terms of a mortgage loan. FinCEN agrees that the typical activities of mortgage servicing companies do not fall within the definition of residential mortgage originator in this Final Rule. We will not, however, make a blanket exclusion or exception for mortgage servicers. The definition is based on the activity in which an entity is engaged. Thus, as long as a mortgage servicer does not extend residential mortgage loans or offer or negotiate the terms of a residential mortgage loan application, it will not fall under of the definition of residential mortgage loan originator. The commenter also requested that FinCEN exclude servicers working with loan modification programs, such as the Home Affordable Modification Program, or “HAMP,” from the definition of residential mortgage loan originator. FinCEN agrees that loan modifications under such programs are not covered by this Final Rule to the extent that the modifications do not involve extending new residential mortgage loans or offering or negotiating the terms of a residential mortgage loan application.

B. Anti-Money Laundering Program

Section 1029.210 requires that each loan or finance company develop and implement an anti-money laundering program reasonably designed to prevent the loan or finance company from being used to facilitate money laundering or the financing of terrorist activities. Two commenters argued that RMLOs should not be required to maintain AML programs, but only be required to file SARs. One commenter, a mortgage company, argued that mortgage fraud was the primary issue and not money laundering, so an AML program is unnecessary. The other commenter, a trade association, argued that SAR filings are the primary means of conveying valuable information to law enforcement, as contemplated under the

BSA, and that requiring a full AML program imposes unnecessary complexity, paperwork, and regulatory burdens that outweigh the potential benefits to law enforcement. The commenter argued simply that maintaining an AML program would create an unnecessary regulatory burden, and the costs would far outweigh the benefits to law enforcement.

FinCEN believes that a complete AML program is essential to an adequate, efficient SAR filing program. FinCEN refers to the “four pillars” of an AML program for a reason, as each one is critical to holding up the overall structure of the program. Without one, the others will fail.³⁰ It would be difficult to expect useful SAR reporting without the pillars of an AML program firmly in place. Moreover, it is in the best interest of everyone involved in a mortgage finance transaction to try to prevent the fraud before it occurs. Prevention is a core purpose behind FinCEN’s regulatory requirements for AML programs.

FinCEN’s regulations are structured to ensure that financial institutions are knowledgeable of risks and vigilant against criminal abuse. With all BSA AML regulations, businesses are required to implement risk-based programs that take into account the unique risks associated with that particular business’ products and services, as well as the business’ size, market, and other issues. Thus, each AML program would necessarily be different than those of businesses with different product, geographic, and other risks. FinCEN reports and other research underscore that mortgage fraud is one of the most significant operational risks facing RMLOs in the ordinary course of business.

Under a risk-based approach to implementation of the Final Rule, FinCEN expects fraud prevention, as well as money laundering prevention, to be key goals underlying the various policies and procedures in an effective AML program for an RMLO. Therefore, the proposed AML regulation is adopted in this Final Rule without change.

C. Reports of Suspicious Transactions

Section 1029.320 contains the rules setting forth the obligation of loan or finance companies to report suspicious transactions that are conducted or attempted by, at, or through a loan or finance company and involve or aggregate at least \$5,000 in funds or other assets. It is important to recognize that transactions are reportable under

this Final Rule and 31 U.S.C. 5318(g) regardless of whether they involve currency. The \$5,000 minimum amount is consistent with existing SAR filing requirements for other financial institutions regulated by FinCEN.

Section 1029.320(a)(2) specifically describes the four categories of transactions that require reporting. A loan or finance company is required to report a transaction if it knows, suspects, or has reason to suspect that the transaction (or a pattern of transactions of which the transaction is a part): (i) Involves funds derived from illegal activity or is intended or conducted to hide or disguise funds or assets derived from illegal activity; (ii) is designed, whether through structuring or other means, to evade the requirements of the BSA; (iii) has no business or apparent lawful purpose, and the loan or finance company knows of no reasonable explanation for the transaction after examining the available facts; or (iv) involves the use of the loan or finance company to facilitate criminal activity.³¹

Several comments requested guidance with regard to when a SAR would be required to be filed. A determination as to whether a SAR is required must be based on all the facts and circumstances relating to the transaction and customer of the loan or finance company in question. Different fact patterns will require different judgments. Some examples of red flags are referenced in previous FinCEN reports on mortgage fraud and money laundering in the residential and commercial real estate sectors.³² However, the means of commerce and the techniques of money laundering and mortgage fraud are continually evolving, and there is no way to provide an exhaustive list of suspicious transactions. FinCEN will continue to pursue a regulatory approach that involves a combination of appropriate regulations, written guidance, support of industry training programs, and maintenance of a government-industry information exchange so that any new AML program and SAR reporting regulations can be implemented in as flexible and cost efficient way as possible, while protecting the sector and the financial

³¹ The fourth reporting category has been added to the suspicious activity reporting rules promulgated since the passage of the USA PATRIOT Act to make it clear that the requirement to report suspicious activity encompasses the reporting of transactions involving fraud and those in which legally derived funds are used for criminal activity, such as the financing of terrorism.

³² See note 17, *supra*. See also NPRM, notes 13 and 20.

³⁰ See the Fraud Conference Speech, fn. 17.

system as a whole from fraud, money laundering, and other financial crimes.

Section 1029.320(b) sets forth the filing procedures to be followed by loan or finance companies making reports of suspicious transactions. Within 30 days after a loan or finance company becomes aware of a suspicious transaction, the business must report the transaction by completing a SAR and filing it with FinCEN. Two commenters addressed FinCEN's SAR reporting system. The first commenter suggested that there should be one centralized place for reporting to allow streamlined interaction with regulators. That is, in fact, the case, as all SARs are filed with FinCEN and made available to the appropriate agencies. The second commenter argued that a specific system for residential mortgage lenders needs to be developed that is separate from the current system for other financial industries. While FinCEN's new uniform filing system, discussed in II.D. above, will require the use of one form by all businesses subject to FinCEN SAR regulations, the uniform form has been designed to be used by a range of filer types, with required data fields for each type of filer reflecting the kinds of activities reported by those specific filer types, including RMLOs.

Section 1029.320(d)(1) reinforces the statutory prohibition against the disclosure of a SAR (regardless of whether the report is required by the Final Rule or is filed voluntarily). Thus, the section requires that a SAR and information that would reveal the existence of that SAR be kept confidential and not be disclosed except as authorized within the rules of construction. The Final Rule includes rules of construction that identify actions an institution may take that are not precluded by the confidentiality provision. These actions include the disclosure of SAR information to FinCEN, or Federal, state, or local law enforcement agencies, or a Federal regulatory authority that examines the loan or finance company for compliance with the BSA, or a state regulatory authority administering a State law that requires the loan or finance company to comply with the BSA or otherwise authorizes the State authority to ensure that the loan or finance company complies with the BSA.³³ This confidentiality provision also does not prohibit the disclosure of the underlying facts, transactions, and documents upon

³³ See NPRM, 75 FR at 76683. The language in the rules of construction pertaining to State regulators has been revised in the Final Rule to reflect the terms adopted in FinCEN's SAR confidentiality rulemaking, finalized in December 2010. See 75 FR 75593, 75596–97 (December 3, 2010).

which a SAR is based (provided the existence of the SAR is not disclosed), or the sharing of SAR information within the loan or finance company's corporate organizational structure for purposes consistent with Title II of the BSA as determined by FinCEN in regulation or in guidance.³⁴

Section 1029.320(d)(2) incorporates the statutory prohibition against disclosure of a SAR or the fact that a SAR has been filed, other than in fulfillment of official duties consistent with the BSA, by government users of SAR data. The section also clarifies that official duties do not include the disclosure of SAR information in response to a request for non-public information³⁵ or for use in a private legal proceeding, including a request under 31 CFR 1.11.³⁶

Section 1029.320(e) provides protection from liability for making reports of suspicious transactions, and for failures to disclose the fact of such reporting, to the full extent provided by 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(3). Two commenters requested the same protection from liability for RMLOs as that which exists for other financial institutions. This Final Rule, in section 1029.320(e), provides exactly the same "safe harbor" for RMLOs as is provided for other financial institutions. The provisions in the NPRM are adopted without change.

Section 1029.320(f) notes that compliance with the obligation to report suspicious transactions will be examined by FinCEN or its delegates, and provides that failure to comply with the Final Rule may constitute a violation of the BSA and the BSA regulations. One comment requested that FinCEN clearly define the consequences of failing to file a SAR. Section 1029.320(f) is intended to cover violations of SAR filing requirements,

³⁴ On January 20, 2006, FinCEN issued guidance for the banking, securities, and futures industries authorizing the sharing of SAR information with parent companies, head offices, or controlling companies. http://www.fincen.gov/statutes_regs/guidance/pdf/sarsharingguidance01202006.pdf. To date, no such guidance has been issued for the loan or finance industry.

³⁵ For purposes of this rulemaking, "non-public information" refers to information that is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

³⁶ 31 CFR 1.11 is the Department of the Treasury's information disclosure regulation. Generally, these regulations are known as "Touhy regulations," after the Supreme Court's decision in *United States ex rel. Touhy v. Ragen*, 340 U.S. 462 (1951). In that case, the Supreme Court held that an agency employee could not be held in contempt for refusing to disclose agency records or information when following the instructions of his or her supervisor regarding the disclosure. An agency's Touhy regulations are the instructions agency employees must follow when those employees receive requests or demands to testify or otherwise disclose agency records or information.

and FinCEN is authorized to impose a range of civil and criminal penalties, the severity of which depends on the specific circumstances.³⁷

Section 1029.320(g) provides that the new SAR requirement applies to transactions occurring after an AML program is required, which is [six months from the Final Rule's publication date]. As noted above, the delayed compliance date for SAR filings is also intended to allow time for implementation of the new SAR filing system.

D. Special Information Procedures To Deter Money Laundering and Terrorist Activity

Section 1029.500 states generally that loan or finance companies are subject to the special information procedures to detect money laundering and terrorist activity requirements set forth and cross-referenced in sections 1029.520 (cross-referencing to 31 CFR 1010.520) and 1029.540 (cross-referencing to 31 CFR 1010.540). Sections 1010.520 and 101.540 implement sections 314(a) and 314(b) of the USA PATRIOT Act, respectively, and generally apply to any financial institution listed in 31 U.S.C. 5312(a)(2) and any such financial institution that is subject to an AML program requirement, respectively. Because loan or finance companies are specifically enumerated in section 5312(a)(2), and upon the effective date will be subject to the AML program requirement, they will be subject to the section 314 rules on that date. For the sake of clarity, the Final Rule adds subpart E to part 1029 to confirm that both of the section 314 rules will apply to loan or finance companies on that date.

IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

When an agency issues a rulemaking, the Regulatory Flexibility Act ("RFA") requires the agency to "prepare and make available for public comment a regulatory flexibility analysis" which will "describe the impact of the rule on small entities" (5 U.S.C. 603(a)). Section 605 of the RFA allows an agency to certify a rule, in lieu of preparing an analysis, if the rulemaking is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Estimate of the number of small entities to which the Final Rule will apply:

For the purpose of arriving at an estimated number of RMLOs, FinCEN relied on information gathered from

³⁷ See 31 U.S.C. 5321 and 5322, and 31 CFR 1010.820 and 1010.840.

various public sources, including major trade associations and Federal and state government regulators. Estimates based on this data suggest that as of 2010 there were approximately 31,000 qualifying entities in the United States, down from approximately 42,000 in 2009. FinCEN also referred to information gathered from the North American Industry Classification System codes, which lists loan or finance companies as codes 522292 (Real Estate Credit) and 522310 (Mortgage and Nonmortgage Loan Brokers).³⁸ The U.S. Census Bureau estimated there were about 36,275 entities in these classifications in 2002. However, these classifications include services that are broader than those provided by loan or finance companies, so the number of loan or finance companies to which this Final Rule is applicable is significantly less. Within this classification, those entities that have less than seven million dollars in annual gross revenue are considered small. FinCEN estimates that 95% of the affected industry is considered a small business, and that the Final Rule will affect most RMLO compliance programs in a limited manner.

Description of the reporting and recordkeeping requirements of the Final Rule:

The Final Rule requires loan or finance companies to maintain AML programs and file reports on suspicious transactions. By requiring this, FinCEN is addressing vulnerabilities in the U.S. financial system and is leveling the playing field between bank and non-bank lenders. FinCEN does not foresee a significant impact on the regulated industry from these requirements. Loan or finance companies, as a usual and customary part of their business for each transaction, conduct a significant amount of due diligence on both the property securing the loan and the borrower. This process of due diligence involves the types of inquiry and collecting the types of information that would be expected in any program to prevent money laundering and fraud and to detect and report suspicious transactions.³⁹

AML Program Requirement in General

The Final Rule does not impose significant burden on loan or finance companies. These companies may build on their existing risk management procedures and prudential business

practices to ensure compliance with this Final Rule. FinCEN and other agencies have issued substantial guidance on the development of AML programs and SAR reporting requirements.⁴⁰ Most loan or finance companies subject to the Final Rule likely will not need to obtain more sophisticated legal or accounting advice than that already required to run their businesses. Residential mortgage lenders and originators undertake due diligence of borrowers and collateral to assess the credit risk associated with a particular loan. The information gathered by these businesses generally is the same as, or very similar to, the information that is expected in any programs to prevent money laundering and detect and report suspicious transactions.

In the NPRM, FinCEN sought comment on the extent to which AML programs or SAR reporting requirements would require affected businesses to conduct a degree of due diligence, or collect an amount of information, beyond that presently conducted to assess credit worthiness and minimize losses due to fraud. Of the three responses on this issue, two (one from a mortgage company and one from a trade association representing mortgage related businesses) argued that AML program and SAR reporting requirements could be integrated into existing compliance and anti-fraud infrastructure without considerable difficulty. One commenter suggested that such integration could be done efficiently and effectively if accompanied by guidance, training, and feedback from FinCEN. Only one commenter questioned FinCEN's assumptions regarding integration of the proposed rules into existing procedures and systems of affected businesses. The commenter stated that FinCEN had not offered evidence that AML programs could be efficiently and cost-effectively integrated into businesses' existing anti-fraud programs, and that businesses

would need to establish new, separate programs to satisfy FinCEN's AML program requirements. Based on the comments that responded positively to FinCEN's assumptions and analysis regarding this issue, and FinCEN's experience over two decades with other businesses that have been required to adopt AML programs—including businesses which all have the same or more extensive requirements than are required by this Final Rule and have gone through this same process of building on existing compliance policies and procedures—FinCEN believes that loan and finance companies will be able to build on their existing compliance policies and procedures and prudential business practices to ensure compliance with this Final Rule with relatively minimal cost and effort. As FinCEN has done with the other industries subject to the requirements of the BSA, FinCEN will actively engage with loan and finance companies, provide guidance and feedback, and endeavor to make compliance with the regulations as cost effective and efficient as possible for all affected businesses.

A few commenters opposed the NPRM, arguing that the regulations would be too burdensome and costly, particularly for small businesses. One commenter stated that the burden falls on the owner of a small business to be the compliance officer and do training, which takes away from time developing business. The costs and burdens of developing risk management and AML compliance procedures, complying with a range of consumer protection regulations, and generally establishing safe and sound business practices, however, generally are borne by businesses of all sizes, and the exceptions available to small businesses with respect to some specific requirements may minimize—but not entirely eliminate—general compliance costs and burdens. FinCEN believes that the minimal, incremental increase in compliance costs and burdens that may potentially be borne by affected businesses in complying with the Final Rule will not disproportionately burden small businesses; thus, the Final Rule does not establish any blanket exception for any businesses, regardless of size or other criteria or characteristics.

One commenter suggested that loan and finance companies should have AML programs commensurate with their risk profile, as is the case with banks subject to AML and SAR regulations. FinCEN believes that the flexibility incorporated into the Final Rule permits each loan and finance company to tailor its AML program to

³⁸ See NPRM, note 23.
³⁹ See, e.g., Form 1003 Uniform Residential Mortgage Application, available at <https://www.efanniemae.com/sf/formsdocs/forms/pdf/sellingtrans/1003.pdf> or http://www.freddiemac.com/uniform/doc/form_65_urla_7_05.doc.
⁴⁰ See, e.g., Guidance—Preparing a Complete and Sufficient Suspicious Activity Report Narrative (including related PowerPoint Presentation—Keys to Writing a Complete and Sufficient SAR Narrative), Nov. 2003, http://www.fincen.gov/statutes_regs/guidance/html/narrativeguidance_webintro.html; Guidance—Suggestions for Addressing Common Errors Noted in Suspicious Activity Reporting, Oct. 10, 2007, http://www.fincen.gov/statutes_regs/guidance/html/SAR_Common_Errors_Web_Posting.html; Guidance—Suspicious Activity Report Supporting Documentation, June 13, 2007 (FIN-2007-G003), http://www.fincen.gov/statutes_regs/guidance/html/Supporting_Documentation_Guidance.html; The SAR Activity Review—Trends, Tips and Issues (Issue 16), Oct. 2009, Section 4, *Law Enforcement Suggestions When Preparing Suspicious Activity Reports*, p. 45, http://www.fincen.gov/statutes_regs/guidance/html/narrativeguidance_webintro.html. See also NPRM, note 45.

fit its own size, needs, and operational risks. In this regard, FinCEN believes that expenditures associated with establishing and implementing an AML program will be commensurate with the size and risk profile of a loan or finance company. Based on inherent risks, some businesses may deem it appropriate to implement more comprehensive policies, procedures, and internal controls than others. FinCEN does not intend for each RMLO to have identical policies and procedures for their AML programs. This flexibility to tailor programs to the risk profile of the loan or finance company is exactly what one trade association commenter noted. As with other financial institutions subject to the requirements of the BSA, if a loan or finance company is small or does not engage in high-risk transactions the burden to comply with the Final Rule likely will be negligible. One commenter disagreed with the estimated burden hours listed in the NPRM, for both AML program and SAR filing requirements, but did not provide any specific estimates or data for FinCEN to consider in the alternative. The estimated hours for the establishment of a new AML program and SAR filing requirements are based on FinCEN's experience with other industries newly required to comply with the same or more extensive BSA obligations, and these estimates are the same as those used in other such rulemakings for businesses that, as yet, have had no AML program or SAR filing requirement.

FinCEN understands that commenters are concerned about the potential impact that compliance regulations—BSA-related or otherwise—may have on small firms and solo practitioners. Nonetheless, the Final Rule requires the establishment of a complete AML program. An AML program is essential to an effective SAR reporting program. The AML regulations are risk-based, as are all FinCEN AML regulations. Accordingly, company management has broad discretion to design and implement programs that reflect and respond to the company's unique fraud and money laundering risks. Small businesses will not be expected to invest in elaborate or expensive systems to comply with the Final Rule, nor will they be required to hire consulting firms or outside professionals to assess risks. FinCEN estimates that the impact of the AML program requirement and the assessment of risks associated with it will not be significant for covered loan and finance companies.

Suspicious Activity Reporting

The Final Rule requires loan or finance companies to report on transactions of \$5,000 or more that they determine to be suspicious. Loan or finance companies have not previously been required to comply with such a regulation. However, as noted above, most loan or finance companies, in order to remain viable, have in place policies and procedures to prevent and detect fraud, insider abuse, and other crimes. Established anti-fraud measures should assist loan or finance companies in reporting suspicious transactions. Many loan or finance companies already voluntarily report suspicious transactions and fraud through entities such as the Loan Modification Scam Prevention Network.⁴¹ Additionally, loan or finance companies, as part of the application process for loans, already gather the information necessary to fill out SAR forms as a usual and customary part of their business. It is likely that the software packages most of these companies already use will, after this regulation, incorporate the ability to automatically fill out all but the narrative field in a SAR based on information already input for the loan application. Therefore, FinCEN estimates that the burden of the SAR filing requirements for loan or finance companies will be low.

Certification

The additional burden under the Final Rule is a requirement to maintain an AML program and a SAR filing requirement. As discussed above, FinCEN estimates that the impact from these requirements will not be significant. Accordingly, FinCEN certifies that the Final Rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

V. Paperwork Reduction Act Notices

The collection of information contained in this Final Rule is being submitted to OMB for review in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA").⁴² The information collections in this proposal

⁴¹ The Loan Modification Scam Prevention Network includes Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law (Lawyers' Committee) and NeighborWorks America, among others, with representatives from key governmental agencies, such as the Federal Trade Commission, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Department of Justice, the Department of the Treasury, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and state Attorneys General offices, as well as leading non-profit organizations from across the country. See <http://www.preventloanscams.org/>.

⁴² 44 U.S.C. 3507(d).

are contained in 31 CFR 1029.210 and 31 CFR 1010.320.

AML Program for Loan or Finance Companies

AML programs for loan or finance companies (31 CFR 1020.210). This information is required to be retained pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 5318(h) and 31 CFR 1029.210. The collection of information would be mandatory. The information is collected pursuant to 103.142 and is used by examiners to determine whether loan or finance companies comply with the BSA.

Description of Recordkeepers: Loan or finance companies as defined in 31 CFR 1010.100(III).

Estimated Number of Recordkeepers: 31,000.

Estimated Average Annual Burden Hours per Recordkeeper: The estimated average annual burden associated with the recordkeeping requirement in 31 CFR 1029.210 is three hours.

Estimated Total Annual Recordkeeping Burden: FinCEN estimates that the annual recordkeeping burden is 93,000 hours.

In order to manage our estimated burden hours related to implementation of new AML program regulations most efficiently, the burden hours associated with this Final Rule will be included (added to) the existing burden listed under OMB Control Number 1506-0035 currently titled AML Programs for insurance companies. The new title for this control number will become AML Programs for insurance companies and loan or finance companies. The new total burden will be 94,200 hours.

SAR Filing for Loan or Finance Companies

SARs for loan and finance companies (31 CFR 1029.320). This information is required to be provided pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 5318(g) and 31 CFR 1029.320. This information is used by law enforcement agencies in the enforcement of criminal and regulatory laws and to prevent loan and finance companies from engaging in illegal activities. The collection of information is mandatory. The Final Rule increases the number of recordkeepers by 31,000.

Description of Recordkeepers: Loan or finance companies as defined in 31 CFR 1010.100(kkk).

Estimated Number of Recordkeepers: 31,000.

Estimated Average Annual Burden Hours per Recordkeeper: The estimated average annual burden associated with the recordkeeping requirement in 31 CFR 1029.320 is 2 hours per report, and FinCEN estimates that, on average, one report per filer will be filed per year.

Estimated Total Annual Recordkeeping Burden: The Final Rule increases the estimated annual burden by 62,000 consisting of one hour for report completion and one hour for required recordkeeping. The reporting and recordkeeping burden for this requirement is reflected under OMB Control Number 1506–0065, the BSA Suspicious Activity Report, which is increased by 62,000 hours.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Records required to be retained under the BSA must be retained for five years.

VI. Executive Orders 13563 and 12866

It has been determined that this Final Rule is a significant regulatory action for purposes of Executive Orders 13563 and 12866.

VII. Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995 Statement

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (“Unfunded Mandates Act”), Public Law 104–4 (March 22, 1995), requires that an agency prepare a budgetary impact statement before promulgating a rule that may result in expenditure by the state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. If a budgetary impact statement is required, section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Act also requires an agency to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives before promulgating a rule. Taking into account the factors noted above and using conservative estimates of average labor costs in evaluating the cost of the burden imposed by the Final Rule, FinCEN has determined that it is not required to prepare a written statement under section 202.

List of Subjects in 31 CFR Parts 1010 and 1029

Administrative practice and procedure, Banks, Banking, Brokers, Currency, Foreign banking, Foreign currencies, Gambling, Investigations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities, Terrorism.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, Chapter X of title 31 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 1010—GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. The authority citation for part 1010 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1829b and 1951–1959; 31 U.S.C. 5311–5314 and 5316–5332; title III, sec. 314 Pub. L. 107–56, 115 Stat. 307.

■ 2. Amend § 1010.100 by adding paragraph (lll) to read as follows:

§ 1010.100 Meaning of terms.

* * * * *

(lll) *Loan or finance company.* A person engaged in activities that take place wholly or in substantial part within the United States in one or more of the capacities listed below, whether or not on a regular basis or as an organized business concern. This includes but is not limited to maintenance of any agent, agency, branch, or office within the United States. For the purposes of this paragraph (lll), the term “loan or finance company” shall include a sole proprietor acting as a loan or finance company, and shall not include: A bank, a person registered with and functionally regulated or examined by the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, any government sponsored enterprise regulated by the Federal Housing Finance Agency, any Federal or state agency or authority administering mortgage or housing assistance, fraud prevention or foreclosure prevention programs, or an individual employed by a loan or finance company or financial institution under this part. A loan or finance company is not a financial institution as defined in the regulations in this part at 1010.100(t).

(1) *Residential mortgage lender or originator.* A residential mortgage lender or originator includes:

(i) *Residential mortgage lender.* The person to whom the debt arising from a residential mortgage loan is initially payable on the face of the evidence of indebtedness or, if there is no such evidence of indebtedness, by agreement, or to whom the obligation is initially assigned at or immediately after settlement. The term “residential mortgage lender” shall not include an individual who finances the sale of the individual’s own dwelling or real property.

(ii) *Residential mortgage originator.* A person who accepts a residential mortgage loan application or offers or negotiates terms of a residential mortgage loan.

(iii) *Residential mortgage loan.* A loan that is secured by a mortgage, deed of trust, or other equivalent consensual security interest on:

(A) A residential structure that contains one to four units, including, if used as a residence, an individual

condominium unit, cooperative unit, mobile home or trailer; or

(B) Residential real estate upon which such a structure is constructed or intended to be constructed.

(2) [Reserved]

§ 1010.205 [Amended]

■ 3. Amend § 1010.205 in paragraph (b)(1) by removing paragraph (b)(1)(ii) and redesignating paragraphs (b)(1)(iii) through (x) as paragraphs (b)(1)(ii) through (ix), respectively.

■ 4. Add part 1029 to read as follows:

PART 1029—RULES FOR LOAN OR FINANCE COMPANIES

Subpart A—Definitions

Sec.

1029.100 Definitions.

Subpart B—Programs

1029.200 General

1029.210 Anti-money laundering programs for loan or finance companies.

Subpart C—Reports Required To Be Made By Loan or Finance Companies

1029.300 General.

1029.310 [Reserved]

1029.315 [Reserved]

1029.320 Reports by loan or finance companies of suspicious transactions.

1029.330 *Reports relating to currency in excess of \$10,000 received in a trade or business.*

Subpart D—Records Required To Be Maintained By Loan or Finance Companies

1029.400 General.

Subpart E—Special Information Sharing Procedures To Deter Money Laundering and Terrorist Activity

1029.500 General.

1029.520 Special information sharing procedures to deter money laundering and terrorist activity for loan or finance companies.

1029.530 [Reserved]

1029.540 Voluntary information sharing among financial institutions.

Subpart F—Special Standards of Diligence; Prohibitions, and Special Measures for Loan or Finance Companies

1029.600 [Reserved]

1029.610 [Reserved]

1029.620 [Reserved]

1029.630 [Reserved]

1029.640 [Reserved]

1029.670 [Reserved]

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1829b and 1951–1959; 31 U.S.C. 5311–5314 and 5316–5332; title III, sec. 314 Pub. L. 107–56, 115 Stat. 307.

Subpart A—Definitions

§ 1029.100 Definitions.

Refer to § 1010.100 of this Chapter for general definitions not noted herein.

Subpart B—Programs**§ 1029.200 General.**

Loan or finance companies are subject to the program requirements set forth and cross referenced in this subpart. Loan or finance companies should also refer to subpart B of part 1010 of this chapter for program requirements contained in that subpart which apply to loan or finance companies.

§ 1029.210 Anti-money laundering programs for loan or finance companies.

(a) *Anti-money laundering program requirements for loan or finance companies.* Each loan or finance company shall develop and implement a written anti-money laundering program that is reasonably designed to prevent the loan or finance company from being used to facilitate money laundering or the financing of terrorist activities. The program must be approved by senior management. A loan or finance company shall make a copy of its anti-money laundering program available to the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network or its designee upon request.

(b) *Minimum requirements.* At a minimum, the anti-money laundering program shall:

(1) Incorporate policies, procedures, and internal controls based upon the loan or finance company's assessment of the money laundering and terrorist financing risks associated with its products and services. Policies, procedures, and internal controls developed and implemented by a loan or finance company under this section shall include provisions for complying with the applicable requirements of subchapter II of chapter 53 of title 31, United States Code and this part, integrating the company's agents and brokers into its anti-money laundering program, and obtaining all relevant customer-related information necessary for an effective anti-money laundering program.

(2) Designate a compliance officer who will be responsible for ensuring that:

(i) The anti-money laundering program is implemented effectively, including monitoring compliance by the company's agents and brokers with their obligations under the program;

(ii) The anti-money laundering program is updated as necessary; and

(iii) Appropriate persons are educated and trained in accordance with paragraph (b)(3) of this section.

(3) Provide for on-going training of appropriate persons concerning their responsibilities under the program. A loan or finance company may satisfy

this requirement with respect to its employees, agents, and brokers by directly training such persons or verifying that such persons have received training by a competent third party with respect to the products and services offered by the loan or finance company.

(4) Provide for independent testing to monitor and maintain an adequate program, including testing to determine compliance of the company's agents and brokers with their obligations under the program. The scope and frequency of the testing shall be commensurate with the risks posed by the company's products and services. Such testing may be conducted by a third party or by any officer or employee of the loan or finance company, other than the person designated in paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(c) *Compliance.* Compliance with this section shall be examined by FinCEN or its delegates, under the terms of the Bank Secrecy Act. Failure to comply with the requirements of this section may constitute a violation of the Bank Secrecy Act and of this part.

(d) *Compliance date.* A loan or finance company must develop and implement an anti-money laundering program that complies with the requirements of this section by August 13, 2012.

Subpart C—Reports Required To Be Made by Loan or Finance Companies**§ 1029.300 General.**

Loan or finance companies are subject to the reporting requirements set forth and cross referenced in this subpart. Loan or finance companies should also refer to subpart C of part 1010 of this chapter for reporting requirements contained in that subpart which apply to loan or finance companies.

§ 1029.310 [Reserved]**§ 1029.315 [Reserved]****§ 1029.320 Reports by loan or finance companies of suspicious transactions.**

(a) *General.* (1) Every loan or finance company shall file with FinCEN, to the extent and in the manner required by this section, a report of any suspicious transaction relevant to a possible violation of law or regulation. A loan or finance company may also file with FinCEN a report of any suspicious transaction that it believes is relevant to the possible violation of any law or regulation, but whose reporting is not required by this section.

(2) A transaction requires reporting under this section if it is conducted or attempted by, at, or through a loan or

finance company, it involves or aggregates funds or other assets of at least \$5,000, and the loan or finance company knows, suspects, or has reason to suspect that the transaction (or a pattern of transactions of which the transaction is a part):

(i) Involves funds derived from illegal activity or is intended or conducted in order to hide or disguise funds or assets derived from illegal activity (including, without limitation, the ownership, nature, source, location, or control of such funds or assets) as part of a plan to violate or evade any Federal law or regulation or to avoid any transaction reporting requirement under Federal law or regulation;

(ii) Is designed, whether through structuring or other means, to evade any requirements of this part or any other regulations promulgated under the Bank Secrecy Act, Public Law 91-508, as amended, codified at 12 U.S.C. 1829b, 12 U.S.C. 1951-1959, and 31 U.S.C. 5311-5314, 5316-5332;

(iii) Has no business or apparent lawful purpose or is not the sort in which the particular customer would normally be expected to engage, and the loan or finance company knows of no reasonable explanation for the transaction after examining the available facts, including the background and possible purpose of the transaction; or

(iv) Involves use of the loan or finance company to facilitate criminal activity.

(3) More than one loan or finance company may have an obligation to report the same transaction under this section, and other financial institutions may have separate obligations to report suspicious activity with respect to the same transaction pursuant to other provisions of this part. In those instances, no more than one report is required to be filed by the loan or finance company(s) and other financial institution(s) involved in the transaction, provided that the report filed contains all relevant facts, including the name of each financial institution involved in the transaction, the report complies with all instructions applicable to joint filings, and each institution maintains a copy of the report filed, along with any supporting documentation.

(b) *Filing and notification procedures—(1) What to file.* A suspicious transaction shall be reported by completing a Suspicious Activity Report ("SAR"), and collecting and maintaining supporting documentation as required by paragraph (c) of this section.

(2) *Where to file.* The SAR shall be filed with FinCEN in accordance with the instructions to the SAR.

(3) *When to file.* A SAR shall be filed no later than 30 calendar days after the date of the initial detection by the reporting loan or finance company of facts that may constitute a basis for filing a SAR under this section. If no suspect is identified on the date of such initial detection, a loan or finance company may delay filing a SAR for an additional 30 calendar days to identify a suspect, but in no case shall reporting be delayed more than 60 calendar days after the date of such initial detection.

(4) *Mandatory notification to law enforcement.* In situations involving violations that require immediate attention, such as suspected terrorist financing or ongoing money laundering schemes, a loan or finance company shall immediately notify by telephone an appropriate law enforcement authority in addition to filing timely a SAR.

(5) *Voluntary notification to FinCEN.* Any loan or finance company wishing voluntarily to report suspicious transactions that may relate to terrorist activity may call the FinCEN's Financial Institutions Hotline at 1-866-556-3974 in addition to filing timely a SAR if required by this section.

(c) *Retention of records.* A loan or finance company shall maintain a copy of any SAR filed by the loan or finance company or on its behalf (including joint reports), and the original (or business record equivalent) of any supporting documentation concerning any SAR that it files (or is filed on its behalf), for a period of five years from the date of filing the SAR. Supporting documentation shall be identified as such and maintained by the loan or finance company, and shall be deemed to have been filed with the SAR. The loan or finance company shall make all supporting documentation available to FinCEN, or any Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, or any Federal regulatory authority that examines the loan or finance company for compliance with the Bank Secrecy Act, or any State regulatory authority administering a State law that requires the loan or finance company to comply with the Bank Secrecy Act or otherwise authorizes the State authority to ensure that the loan or finance company complies with the Bank Secrecy Act, upon request.

(d) *Confidentiality of SARs.* A SAR, and any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, are confidential and shall not be disclosed except as authorized in this paragraph (d). For purposes of this paragraph (d) only, a SAR shall include any suspicious activity report filed with FinCEN pursuant to any regulation in this part.

(1) *Prohibition on disclosures by loan or finance companies—(i) General rule.* No loan or finance company, and no director, officer, employee, or agent of any loan or finance company, shall disclose a SAR or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR. Any loan or finance company, and any director, officer, employee, or agent of any loan or finance company that is subpoenaed or otherwise requested to disclose a SAR or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, shall decline to produce the SAR or such information, citing this section and 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(2)(A)(i), and shall notify FinCEN of any such request and the response thereto.

(ii) *Rules of construction.* Provided that no person involved in any reported suspicious transaction is notified that the transaction has been reported, paragraph (d)(1) of this section shall not be construed as prohibiting:

(A) The disclosure by a loan or finance company, or any director, officer, employee, or agent of a loan or finance company of:

(1) A SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, to FinCEN or any Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, any Federal regulatory authority that examines the loan or finance company for compliance with the Bank Secrecy Act, or any State regulatory authority administering a State law that requires the loan or finance company to comply with the Bank Secrecy Act or otherwise authorizes the State authority to ensure that the loan or finance company complies with the Bank Secrecy Act; or

(2) The underlying facts, transactions, and documents upon which a SAR is based, including, but not limited to, disclosures to another financial institution, or any director, officer, employee, or agent of a financial institution, for the preparation of a joint SAR.

(B) The sharing by a loan or finance company, or any director, officer, employee, or agent of the loan or finance company, of a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, within the loan or finance company's corporate organizational structure for purposes consistent with Title II of the Bank Secrecy Act as determined by regulation or in guidance.

(2) *Prohibition on disclosures by government authorities.* A Federal, state, local, territorial, or tribal government authority, or any director, officer, employee, or agent of any of the foregoing, shall not disclose a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, except as necessary

to fulfill official duties consistent with Title II of the Bank Secrecy Act. For purposes of this section, official duties shall not include the disclosure of a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, in response to a request for disclosure of non-public information or a request for use in a private legal proceeding, including a request pursuant to 31 CFR 1.11.

(e) *Limitation on liability.* A loan or finance company, and any director, officer, employee, or agent of any loan or finance company, that makes a voluntary disclosure of any possible violation of law or regulation to a government agency or makes a disclosure pursuant to this section or any other authority, including a disclosure made jointly with another institution, shall be protected from liability for any such disclosure, or for failure to provide notice of such disclosure to any person identified in the disclosure, or both, to the full extent provided by 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(3).

(f) *Compliance.* Loan or finance companies shall be examined by FinCEN or its delegates under the terms of the Bank Secrecy Act, for compliance with this section. Failure to satisfy the requirements of this section may be a violation of the Bank Secrecy Act and of this part.

(g) *Compliance date.* This section applies to transactions initiated after an anti-money laundering program required by section 1029.210 of this part is required to be implemented.

§ 1029.330 Reports relating to currency in excess of \$10,000 received in a trade or business.

Refer to § 1010.330 of this chapter for rules regarding the filing of reports relating to currency in excess of \$10,000 received by loan or finance companies.

Subpart D—Records Required To Be Maintained By Loan or Finance Companies

§ 1029.400 General.

Loan or finance companies are subject to the recordkeeping requirements set forth and cross referenced in this subpart. Loan or finance companies should also refer to subpart D of part 1010 of this chapter for recordkeeping requirements contained in that subpart which apply to loan or finance companies.

Subpart E—Special Information Sharing Procedures To Deter Money Laundering and Terrorist Activity

§ 1029.500 General.

Loan or finance companies are subject to the special information sharing procedures to deter money laundering and terrorist activity requirements set forth and cross referenced in this subpart. Loan or finance companies should also refer to subpart E of part 1010 of this chapter for special information sharing procedures to deter money laundering and terrorist activity contained in that subpart which apply to loan or finance companies.

§ 1029.520 Special information sharing procedures to deter money laundering and terrorist activity for loan or finance companies.

- (a) Refer to § 1010.520 of this chapter.
(b) [Reserved]

§ 1029.530 [Reserved]

§ 1029.540 Voluntary information sharing among financial institutions.

- (a) Refer to § 1010.540 of this chapter.
(b) [Reserved]

Subpart F—Special Standards of Diligence; Prohibitions, and Special Measures for Loan or Finance Companies

§ 1029.600 [Reserved]

§ 1029.610 [Reserved]

§ 1029.620 [Reserved]

§ 1029.630 [Reserved]

§ 1029.640 [Reserved]

§ 1029.670 [Reserved]

Dated: February 6, 2012.

James H. Freis, Jr.,

Director, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network.

[FR Doc. 2012-3074 Filed 2-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4802-10-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 60

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0873; FRL-9630-7]

RIN 2060-AH23

Quality Assurance Requirements for Continuous Opacity Monitoring Systems at Stationary Sources

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is taking direct final action to establish quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedures for continuous opacity monitoring systems (COMS) used to demonstrate continuous compliance with opacity standards in federally enforceable regulations. This action is necessary because we do not currently have QA/QC procedures for COMS. This action would require COMS used to demonstrate continuous compliance to meet these procedures (referred to as Procedure 3).

DATES: This rule is effective on April 16, 2012 without further notice, unless the EPA receives adverse comment by March 15, 2012. If the EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0873 by one of the following methods:

- *www.regulations.gov:* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *Email:* a-and-r-docket@epa.gov.
- *Fax:* (202) 566-9744.
- *Mail:* Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0873, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460.
- *Hand Delivery:* The EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0873. The EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means the EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address will be automatically captured and included as

part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about the EPA's public docket, visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Procedure 3—Quality Assurance Requirements for Continuous Opacity Monitoring Systems at Stationary Sources Docket, EPA/DC, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The Docket Facility and Public Reading Room are open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Air Docket is (202) 566-1742, and the telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Lula H. Melton, U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Air Quality Assessment Division, Measurement Technology Group (Mail Code: E143-02), Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone number: (919) 541-2910; fax number: (919) 541-0516; *email address:* melton.lula@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. General Information
 - A. Why is the EPA using a direct final rule?
 - B. Does this action apply to me?
 - C. Where can I obtain a copy of this action?
 - D. Judicial Review
- II. This Action
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews
 - A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review and Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review
 - B. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - C. Regulatory Flexibility Act
 - D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act