concerning access to information. The availability of interlocutory review by the Commission of orders ruling on such NRC staff determinations (whether granting or denying access) is governed by 10 CFR 2.311.3

1. The Commission expects that the NRC staff and presiding officers (and any other reviewing officers) will consider and resolve requests for access to SUNSI, and motions for protective orders, in a timely fashion in order to minimize any unnecessary delays in identifying those petitioners who have standing and who have propounded contentions meeting the specificity and basis requirements in 10 CFR part 2. Attachment 1 to this Order summarizes the general target schedule for processing and resolving requests under these procedures.

It is so ordered.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of February 2012.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,
Secretary of the Commission.

ATTACHMENT 1—GENERAL TARGET SCHEDULE FOR PROCESSING AND RESOLVING REQUESTS FOR ACCESS TO SENSITIVE UNCLASSIFIED NON-SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION IN THIS PROCEEDING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Event/Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Publication of Federal Register notice of hearing and opportunity to petition for leave to intervene, including order with instructions for access requests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Deadline for submitting requests for access to Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information (SUNSI) with information: supporting the standing of a potential party identified by name and address; describing the need for the information in order for the potential party to participate meaningfully in an adjudicatory proceeding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Deadline for submitting petition for intervention containing: (i) Demonstration of standing; (ii) all contentions whose formulation does not require access to SUNSI (+25 Answers to petition for intervention; +7 requestor/petitioner reply).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff informs the requestor of the staff's determination whether the request for access provides a reasonable basis to believe standing can be established and shows need for SUNSI. NRC staff also informs any party to the proceeding whose interest independent of the proceeding would be harmed by the release of the information.) If NRC staff makes the finding of need for SUNSI and likelihood of standing, NRC staff begins document processing (preparation of redactions or review of redacted documents).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>If NRC staff finds no &quot;need&quot; or no likelihood of standing, the deadline for requestor/petitioner to file a motion seeking a ruling to reverse the NRC staff's denial of access; NRC staff files copy of access determination with the presiding officer (or Chief Administrative Judge or other designated officer, as appropriate). If NRC staff finds &quot;need&quot; for SUNSI, the deadline for any party to the proceeding whose interest independent of the proceeding would be harmed by the release of the information to file a motion seeking a ruling to reverse the NRC staff's grant of access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Deadline for filing executed Non-Disclosure Affidavits. Access provided to SUNSI consistent with decision issuing the protective order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>(Receipt +30) If NRC staff finds standing and need for SUNSI, deadline for NRC staff to complete information processing and file motion for Protective Order and draft Non-Disclosure Affidavit. Deadline for applicant/licensee to file Non-Disclosure Agreement for SUNSI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>If access granted: Issuance of presiding officer or other designated officer decision on motion for protective order for access to sensitive information (including schedule for providing access and submission of contentions) or decision reversing a final adverse determination by the NRC staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A + 3</td>
<td>Deadline for filing executed Non-Disclosure Affidavits. Access provided to SUNSI consistent with decision issuing the protective order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A + 28</td>
<td>Deadline for submission of contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI. However, if more than 25 days remain between the petitioner’s receipt of (or access to) the information and the deadline for filing all other contentions (as established in the notice of hearing or opportunity for hearing), the petitioner may file its SUNSI contentions by that later deadline, if the last day is a Saturday or Sunday.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A + 53</td>
<td>(Contention receipt +25) Answers to contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A + 60</td>
<td>(Answer receipt +7) Petitioner/Intervenor reply to answers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A + 60</td>
<td>Decision on contention admission.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 29943; File No. 812–13983]

DoubleLine Capital LP and DoubleLine Funds Trust; Notice of Application

February 2, 2012.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”).

ACTION: Notice of an application under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”) for an exemption from rule 12d1–2(a) under the Act.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants request an order to permit open-end management investment companies relying on rule 12d1–2 under the Act to invest in certain financial instruments.

APPLICANTS: DoubleLine Capital LP (“DoubleLine”) and DoubleLine Funds Trust (“Trust”).

FILING DATE: The application was filed on November 30, 2011.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a staff determination (because they must be served on a presiding officer or the Commission, as applicable), but not to the initial SUNSI request submitted to the NRC staff under these procedures.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Applicants’ Representations

1. The Trust is organized as a Delaware statutory trust and is registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company. DoubleLine, the Trust’s investment adviser, is organized as a Delaware limited partnership and is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the “Advisers Act”).

2. Applicants request the exemption to the extent necessary to permit any existing or future series of the Trust and any other registered open-end management investment company or series thereof that (i) is advised by DoubleLine or any person controlling, controlled by or under common control with DoubleLine (any such adviser or DoubleLine, an “Adviser”); 1 (ii) is in the same group of investment companies as defined in section 12(d)(1)(G) of the Act; (iii) invests in other registered open-end management investment companies (“Underlying Funds”) in reliance on section 12(d)(1)(G) of the Act; and (iv) is also eligible to invest in securities (as defined in section 2(a)(36) of the Act) in reliance on rule 12d1–2 under the Act (each a “Fund of Funds,” and together with the Underlying Funds, the “Funds”), to also invest, to the extent consistent with its investment objectives, policies, strategies and limitations, in financial instruments that may not be securities within the meaning of section 2(a)(36) of the Act (“Other Investments”). 2 Applicants also request that the order exempt any entity, including any entity controlled by or under common control with an Adviser, that now or in the future acts as principal underwriter, or broker or dealer if registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”), with respect to the transactions described in the application.

Applicants’ Legal Analysis

1. Section 12(d)(1)(A) of the Act provides that no registered investment company (“acquiring company”) may acquire securities of another investment company (“acquired company”) if such securities represent more than 3% of the acquired company’s outstanding voting stock or more than 5% of the acquiring company’s total assets, or if such securities, together with the securities of other investment companies, represent more than 10% of the acquiring company’s total assets. Section 12(d)(1)(B) of the Act provides that no registered open-end investment company may sell its securities to another investment company if the sale will cause the acquiring company to own more than 3% of the acquired company’s voting stock, or cause more than 10% of the acquired company’s voting stock to be owned by investment companies and companies controlled by them.

2. Section 12(d)(1)(G) of the Act provides, in part, that section 12(d)(1) will not apply to securities of an acquired company purchased by an acquiring company if: (i) The acquired company and acquiring company are part of the same group of investment companies; (ii) the acquiring company holds only securities of acquired companies that are part of the same group of investment companies, government securities, and short-term paper; (iii) the aggregate sales loads and distribution-related fees of the acquiring company and the acquired company are not excessive under rules adopted pursuant to section 22(b) or section 22(c) of the Act by a securities association registered under section 15A of the Exchange Act or by the Commission; and (iv) the acquired company has a policy that prohibits it from acquiring securities of registered open-end investment companies or registered unit investment trusts in reliance on section 12(d)(1)(F) or (G) of the Act.

3. Rule 12d1–2 under the Act permits a registered open-end investment company or a registered unit investment trust that relies on section 12(d)(1)(G) of the Act to acquire, in addition to securities issued by another registered investment company in the same group of investment companies, government securities, and short-term paper: (i) Securities issued by an investment company that is not in the same group of investment companies, when the acquisition is in reliance on section 12(d)(1)(A) or 12(d)(1)(F) of the Act; (ii) securities (other than securities issued by an investment company); and (iii) securities issued by a money market fund, when the investment is in reliance on rule 12d1–1 under the Act. For the purposes of rule 12d1–2, “securities” means any security as defined in section 2(a)(36) of the Act.

4. Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt any person, security, or transaction from any provision of the Act, or from any rule under the Act, if such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policies and provisions of the Act.

5. Applicants state that the Funds of Funds will comply with rule 12d1–2 under the Act, but for the fact that the Funds of Funds may invest a portion of their assets in Other Investments. Applicants request an order under section 6(c) of the Act for an exemption from rule 12d1–2(a) to allow the Funds of Funds to invest in Other Investments while investing in Underlying Funds. Applicants assert that permitting the Funds of Funds to invest in Other Investments as described in the application would not raise any of the concerns that the requirements of section 12(d)(1) were designed to address.

Applicants’ Condition

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition: Applicants will comply with all provisions of rule 12d1–2 under the Act, except for paragraph (a)(2) to the extent that it restricts any Fund of Funds from investing in Other Investments as described in the application.

1 Any other Adviser will also be registered under the Advisers Act.

2 Every existing entity that currently intends to rely on the requested order is named as an applicant. Any entity that relies on the order in the
For the Commission, by the Division of
Investment Management, under delegated
authority.
Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2012–2834 Filed 2–7–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–66306; File No. SR–BX–
2011–084]

Self-Regulatory Organizations;
NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc.; Order Granting
Approval of Proposed Rule Change To
Reduce the Duration of the Price
Improvement Period (“PIP”) From One
Second to One Hundred Milliseconds

February 2, 2012.

I. Introduction

On December 7, 2011, NASDAQ OMX
BX, Inc. (“Exchange”) filed with the
Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) pursuant to Section
19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act
of 1934 (“Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4
thereunder,2 a proposed rule change to
reduce the duration of the Price
Improvement Period (“PIP”) of the
Boston Options Exchange Group, LLC
(“BOX”), a facility of the Exchange,
from one second to one hundred
milliseconds. The proposed rule change
was published for comment in the
Federal Register on December 22,
2011.3 The Commission received no
comments on the proposal. This order
approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposal

The PIP is an auction system that is
used by BOX Options Participants to
execute their agency orders as principal,
with a potential for customer price
improvement. The BOX Options
Participant may submit any size
customer order, along with a matching
contra proprietary order at a price equal
to the national best bid or offer, into the
PIP. After submission of that customer
order, PIP will send out a broadcast
message to other BOX Options
Participants, who may enter orders
(“Improvement Orders”) competing
against the original contra side
proprietary order. At the conclusion of
the auction, the customer order would
be matched on a price and time priority
with orders on the opposite side, subject
to certain conditions. Currently, the PIP
lasts one second from the dissemination

(December 16, 2011), 76 FR 79734 (“Notice”).

of the PIP broadcast. The Exchange
proposes to reduce the duration of the
PIP from one second to one hundred
milliseconds.

III. Discussion and Commission
Findings

After careful review, the Commission
finds that the proposal is consistent
with the requirements of the Act and the
rules and regulations thereunder
applicable to a national securities exchange.4
In particular, the
Commission finds that the proposed
rule change is consistent with Section
6(b)(5) of the Act.5 which, among other
things, requires that the rules of a
national securities exchange be
designed to promote just and equitable
principles of trade, to foster cooperation
and coordination with persons engaged
in regulating transactions in securities,
to remove impediments to and perfect
the mechanism of a free and open
market and a national market system
and, in general, to protect investors
and the public interest.

The Commission believes that, given
advances in the electronic trading
environment, reducing the duration of
the PIP from one second to one hundred
milliseconds could facilitate the prompt
execution of orders while continuing to
provide market participants with an
opportunity to compete for bids and/or
offers without compromising the ability
for adequate exposure and participation
in PIP. To substantiate that BOX
Options Participants could receive,
process, and communicate a response
back to BOX within one hundred
milliseconds, the Exchange stated that it
distributed a survey to its members that
would be affected by this proposal or
that regularly participate in the PIP.
According to the Exchange, 14 of 16
participants responded, at least in part,
to the survey, and nine participants
responded that they can receive,
process, and communicate multiple PIP
responses back to BOX within
substantially less than 100
milliseconds.6

In addition, the Exchange stated that
BOX reviewed PIP execution data by its
participants during the three-month
period from May to July of 2011. The
Exchange stated that BOX’s review
indicated that approximately 85% of
Improvement Orders executed at the
conclusion of a PIP were submitted
within 100 milliseconds of the initial

pip order.7 Approximately 78% of
Improvement Orders executed at the
end of a PIP were submitted in less than
ten milliseconds, and 70% were
submitted in less than five
milliseconds.8 Thus, according to the
Exchange, participants whose PIP
responses averaged greater than one
hundred milliseconds made a conscious
decision to delay responses, but such
participants operate electronic systems
which enable them to sufficiently react
and respond to multiple PIP broadcasts
within one hundred milliseconds, if
they chose to do so.9

Based on the Exchange’s statements
regarding the survey results and the
review of its PIP data, the Commission
believes that market participants should
continue to have meaningful
opportunities to participate in the PIP if
the exposure period is reduced to one
hundred milliseconds, and accordingly,
finds that the proposed rule change is
consistent with the requirement of the
Act.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to
Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,10 that the
proposed rule change (SR–BX–2011–
084), be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of
Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated
authority.11

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2012–2800 Filed 2–7–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P
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2011–051]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; BATS
Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting
Approval of Proposed Rule Change To
Implement a Competitive Liquidity
Provider Program

February 2, 2012.

I. Introduction

On December 16, 2011, BATS
Exchange, Inc. (“BATS” or the
“Exchange”) filed with the
Securities and Exchange Commission
(“Commission”), pursuant to Section
19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act
of 1934 (“Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4

7 Id.
8 Id.
9 Id.