the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This decrease is an adjustment to the existing estimates based on data gathered through consultations with EPA Regional and State offices and the regulated community, not due to program changes.

John Moses,
Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–1014 Filed 1–19–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FR–FRL–9001–2]
Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability


Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 01/09/2012 Through 01/13/2012 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Notice

Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA’s comment letters on EIS are available at: http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html.

EIS No. 20120004, Final Supplement, BOEM, 00, Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sales; 2012 Central Planning Area Lease Sales: 216 and 222, Potential Changes to the Baseline Conditions, Offshore Marine Environment and Coastal Counties/Parishes of MS, LA, AL, Review Period Ends: 02/21/2012, Contact: Gary Goeker (504) 736–3233.

EIS No. 20120005, Draft EIS, NRCS, HI, South Kona Watershed Irrigation System, To Provide Supplemental Irrigation Water to Farms in the Honolalino/Kapu’a Area, Funding, County of Hawaii, HI, Comment Period Ends: 03/05/2012, Contact: Sharon Sadowy (808) 541–2600, ext. 125.

EIS No. 20120006, Draft EIS, USACE, AK, Alaska Stand Alone Gas Pipeline, Construction and Operation of a 737 mile Pipeline to Transport Supply of Natural Gas and Natural Gas Liquids from Alaska’s North Slope to Fairbanks, Anchorage and the Cook Inlet Area by 2019, USACE Section 10 and 404 Permits, NPDES Permit, AK, Comment Period Ends: 03/05/2012, Contact: Mary Romero (907) 261–7710.

EIS No. 20120007, Final EIS, USFWS, TX, Habitat Conservation Plan for Oncor Electric Delivery Facilities, Application for Incidental Take Permit for 11 Federally Listed Species in 100 Texas Counties, Review Period Ends: 02/21/2012, Contact: Adam Zerrenner (512) 490–0057.

EIS No. 20120008, Draft EIS, FHWA, 00, 14th Street Bridge Corridor Project, To Reduce Congestion, Enhance Safety and Improve Traffic Operation, Funding, Arlington, VA to Washington, DC, Comment Period Ends: 03/05/2012, Contact: Jack Van Dop (703) 404–6282.

EIS No. 20120009, Draft EIS, BPA, OR, Albany–Eugene 115 kilovolt No. 1 Transmission Line Rebuild Project, Extending from Albany Substation to the Alderwood Tap, Linn and Lane Counties, OR, Comment Period Ends: 03/05/2012.

EIS No. 20120010, Draft EIS, BLM, NV, Searchlight Wind Energy Project, Application for Right-of-Way Grant on Public Land to Develop, Construct, Operate, Maintain and Decommission of a 200 megawatt Wind Energy Facility, USACE Section 404 Permit, Clark County, NV, Comment Period Ends: 04/18/2012, Contact: Gregory Helseth (702) 515–5173.


Amended Notices

EIS No. 20110436, Draft EIS, NOAA, AK, Effects of Oil and Gas Activities in the Arctic Ocean, Beaufort and Chukchi Seas, AK, Comment Period Ends: 02/28/2012, Contact: James H. Lecky (301) 427–8400.

Revision to FR Notice Published 12/30/ 2011: Extending Comment Period from 2/13/2012 to 2/28/2012.

Dated: January 17, 2012.

Cliff Rader,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2012–1114 Filed 1–19–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FR–9620–5]
Regulation of Fuel and Fuel Additives: Modification to Octamix Waiver

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On February 1, 1988, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conditionally granted a waiver requested by the Texas Methanol Corporation (Texas Methanol) for a gasoline-alcohol fuel, pursuant to section 211(f) of the Clean Air Act. A minor correction was made on May 12, 1988. A modification to the original conditions was made on October 21, 1988. Baker Hughes submitted a request to modify the waiver. The new request seeks approval on an alternative corrosion inhibitor, TOLADTM MFA–10A, to be used within Texas Methanol’s gasoline-alcohol fuel, also known as OCTAMIX. EPA considers this to be a request for modification of the waiver under 211(f) of the Clean Air Act (Act).

DATES: Comments or a request for a public hearing must be received on or before February 21, 2012. EPA does not plan to hold a public hearing on this notice, unless one is requested. If requested by February 6, 2012, a public hearing will be held. If such a hearing is held, comments must be received within 90 days after the date of such hearing.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA–HQ–OAR–2011–0894, by one of the following methods:

• www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

• Email: a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov.

• Fax: (202) 566–9744.


Hand Delivery: EPA Headquarters Library, Room 3334, EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket’s normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID Number EPA–HQ–OAR–

1 53 FR 3636, February 8, 1988.


I. Background

Section 211(f)(1) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "the Act") makes it unlawful for any manufacturer of any fuel or fuel additive to first introduce into commerce, or to increase the concentration in use of, any fuel or fuel additive for use by any person in motor vehicles manufactured after model year 1974, which is not substantially similar to any fuel or fuel additive utilized in the certification of any model year 1975, or subsequent model year, vehicle or engine under section 206 of the Act. The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "the Agency") last issued an interpretive rule on the phrase "substantially similar" at 73 FR 22281 (April 25, 2008). Generally speaking, this interpretive rule describes the types of unleaded gasoline that are likely to be considered "substantially similar" to the unleaded gasoline utilized in EPA’s certification program by placing limits on a gasoline’s chemical composition as well as its physical properties, including the amount of alcohols and ethers (oxygensates) that may be added to gasoline. Fuels that are found to be "substantially similar" to EPA’s certification fuels may be registered and introduced into commerce. The current "substantially similar" interpretive rule for unleaded gasoline allows oxygen content up to 2.7 weight for certain alcohols and ethers.

Section 211(f)(4) of the Act provides that upon application of any fuel or fuel additive manufacturer, the Administrator may waive the prohibitions of section 211(f)(1) if the Administrator determines that the applicant has established that the fuel or fuel additive, or a specified concentration thereof, will not cause or contribute to a failure of any emission control device or system (over the useful life of the motor vehicle, motor vehicle engine, nonroad engine or nonroad vehicle in which such device or system is used) to achieve compliance by the vehicle or engine with the emission standards to which it has been certified pursuant to sections 206 and 213(a) of the Act. The statute requires that the Administrator shall take final action to grant or deny an application after public notice and comment, within 270 days of receipt of the application.

The Texas Methanol Corporation received a waiver under CAA section 211(f)(4) for a gasoline-alcohol fuel blend, known as OCTAMIX, provided that the resultant fuel is composed of a maximum of 3.7 percent by weight fuel oxygen, a maximum of 5 percent by volume methanol, a minimum of 2.5 percent by volume co-solvents and 42.7 milligrams per liter (mg/l) of Petrolite TOLAD MFA–10 corrosion inhibitor.8 In the OCTAMIX waiver, the Agency invited other corrosion inhibitor manufacturers to submit test data to establish, on a case-by-case basis, whether their fuel additive formulations are acceptable as alternatives to TOLAD™ MFA–10.7 The physical properties of TOLAD™ MFA–10A are shown in EPA–HQ–OAR–2011–0894–0002.

II. Today’s Announcement

On October 14, 2011, Baker Hughes requested EPA allow the use of its alternative corrosion inhibitor, TOLAD™ MFA–10A, in the OCTAMIX gasoline-alcohol fuel blend which otherwise would not be allowed under the waiver.8 TOLAD™ MFA–10A is a fuel additive formulation consisting of a corrosion inhibitor.

One of the major areas of concern to EPA in reviewing any waiver request is the problem of materials compatibility. Materials compatibility data could show a potential failure of fuel systems, emissions related parts and emission control parts from use of the fuel or fuel additive. Any failure could result in greater emissions that would cause or contribute to the engines or vehicles exceeding their emissions standards. Initially, Texas Methanol requested the use of TOLAD™ MFA–10 or an appropriate concentration of any other corrosion inhibitor such that the fuel will pass the National Association of Corrosion Engineer’s TM–01–72 (NACE RUST TEST). However, EPA concluded that compliance with the NACE Rust Test alone was not adequate in determining suitability of a corrosion inhibitor for use under the OCTAMIX

---

4 OCTAMIX decision, 53 FR 3636 (February 8, 1988).
5 The co-solvents are any one or a mixture of ethanol, propanols, butanols, hexanols, heptanols and octanols with the following constraints; the ethanol, propanols and butanols or mixtures thereof must compose a minimum of 60 percent by weight of the co-solvent mixture: a maximum limit of 40 percent by weight of the co-solvents mixture is placed on the pentanols, hexanols, heptanols and octanols; and the heptanols and octanols are limited to 5 percent by weight of the co-solvent mixture.
6 Additional conditions were the final fuel must meet ASTM volatility specifications contained in ASTM D439–85a, as well as phase separation conditions specified in ASTM D–2 Proposal P–176 and Texas Methanol alcohol purity specifications.
7 53 FR at 3637.
The Agency decided, therefore, to look at corrosion inhibitors on a case-by-case basis to establish whether each formulation would be acceptable as an alternative to the formulation of the original corrosion inhibitor used in the OCTAMIX waiver. Therefore, pursuant to section 211(f)(4), EPA will examine the data submitted by Baker Hughes, along with all comments received from interested parties, to determine whether use of the corrosion inhibitor, TOLAD™ MFA–10A, in place of the original corrosion inhibitor TOLAD MFA–10, would cause or contribute to vehicles or engines failing to meet their emissions standards when using OCTAMIX. If use of TXCoed does not cause or contribute to such failures, EPA will modify the OCTAMIX waiver to allow the use of TOLAD™ MFA–10A as an alternative corrosion inhibitor to TOLAD MFA–10.


Gina McCarthy, Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 2012–1073 Filed 1–19–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


Constitution Road Drum Superfund Site; Atlanta, Dekalb County, GA; Notice of Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of settlement.

SUMMARY: Under Section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the United States Environmental Protection Agency has entered into a settlement for past response costs concerning the Constitution Road Drum Superfund Site located in Atlanta, Dekalb County, Georgia.

DATES: The Agency will consider public comments on the settlement until February 21, 2012. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the settlement are available from Ms. Paula V. Painter. Submit your comments by Site name Constitution Road Drum Superfund Site by one of the following methods:

• www.epa.gov/region4/waste/sf/enforce.htm.
• Email. Painter.Paula@epa.gov

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paula V. Painter at (404) 562–8887.


Anita L. Davis, Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–1115 Filed 1–19–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Under Delegated Authority

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995. Comments are requested concerning (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.


Anita L. Davis, Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–1115 Filed 1–19–12; 8:45 am]
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EXTENSION OF A COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

Title: Decisions on Rates for the Basic Service Tier and Associated Equipment.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0565.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 32 respondents; 32 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 2–30 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in Sections 4(i) and 623 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Total Annual Burden: 816 hours.

Total Annual Costs: $4,800.

Privacy Impact Assessment(s): No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR 76.944(b) provides that any participant at the franchising authority level in a remaking proceeding may file an appeal of the franchising authority’s decision with the Commission within 30 days of release of the text of the franchising authority’s decision as computed under § 1.4(b) of this chapter. Appeals shall be served on the franchising authority or other authority that issued the rate decision. Where the state is the appropriate decisionmaking authority, the state shall forward a copy of the appeal to the appropriate local official(s). Oppositions may be filed within 15 days after the appeal is filed, and must be served on the parties appealing the rate decision. Replies may be filed 7 days after the last day for oppositions and shall be served on the parties to the proceeding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Section 76.944, Commission Review of Franchising Authority Decisions on Rates for the Basic Service Tier and Associated Equipment.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 32 respondents; 32 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 2–30 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in Sections 4(i) and 623 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Total Annual Burden: 816 hours.

Total Annual Costs: $4,800.

Privacy Impact Assessment(s): No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR 76.944(b) provides that any participant at the franchising authority level in a remaking proceeding may file an appeal of the franchising authority’s decision with the Commission within 30 days of release of the text of the franchising authority’s decision as computed under § 1.4(b) of this chapter. Appeals shall be served on the franchising authority or other authority that issued the rate decision. Where the state is the appropriate decisionmaking authority, the state shall forward a copy of the appeal to the appropriate local official(s). Oppositions may be filed within 15 days after the appeal is filed, and must be served on the parties appealing the rate decision. Replies may be filed 7 days after the last day for oppositions and shall be served on the parties to the proceeding.