

range of alternatives to the proposed action, including alternative sites for an IIFP facility, alternative technologies, shipment of the U.S.-generated DUF₆ to overseas facilities, and the four U.S.-based enrichment companies to construct and operate their own deconversion facilities. These alternatives were eliminated from further analysis due to economic, environmental, or other reasons. The proposed action and the no-action alternative were analyzed in detail. The no-action alternative serves as a baseline for comparison of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action.

This DEIS is being issued for public comment. The public comment period on the Draft EIS begins with publication of this notice and continues until February 27, 2012. Written comments should be submitted as described in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. The NRC will consider comments received or postmarked after that date to the extent practical.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of January, 2012.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Andrew Persinko,

Deputy Director, Environmental Protection and Performance Assessment Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

[FR Doc. 2012-548 Filed 1-12-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses of Isotopes: Meeting Notice

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) will convene a teleconference meeting of the Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses of Isotopes (ACMUI) on February 7, 2012, to discuss the ACMUI Permanent Implant Brachytherapy Subcommittee Report. A copy of the agenda for the meeting will be available at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acmui/agenda>. Handouts for the meeting will be available at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acmui/meeting-slides/>. The agenda and handouts may also be obtained by contacting Ms. Ashley Cockerham using the information below.

DATES: The teleconference meeting will be held on Tuesday, February 7, 2012, 12 p.m. to 2 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (EST).

Public Participation: Any member of the public who wishes to participate in the teleconference discussions should contact Ms. Cockerham using the contact information below.

Contact Information: Ashley M. Cockerham, email: ashley.cockerham@nrc.gov, telephone: (240) 888-7129.

Conduct of the Meeting

Leon S. Malmud, M.D., will chair the meeting. Dr. Malmud will conduct the meeting in a manner that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. The following procedures apply to public participation in the meeting:

1. Persons who wish to provide a written statement should submit an electronic copy to Ms. Cockerham at the contact information listed above. All submittals must be received by February 2, three business days prior to the meeting, and must pertain to the topic on the agenda for the meeting.

2. Questions and comments from members of the public will be permitted during the meetings, at the discretion of the Chairman.

3. The transcript will be available on the ACMUI's web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acmui/tr/>) approximately 30 calendar days following the meeting, on March 8, 2012. A meeting summary will be available approximately 30 business days following the meeting, on March 21, 2012.

The meetings will be held in accordance with the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (primarily Section 161a); the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App); and the Commission's regulations in Title 10, U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Part 7.

Dated: January 10, 2012.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-551 Filed 1-12-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2012-6, CP2012-12 and CP2012-13; Order No. 1112]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Global Plus 1C agreements to the

competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with the filing.

DATES: *Comments are due:* January 17, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at (202) 789-6820 (case-related information) or DocketAdmins@prc.gov (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction. The Postal Service has filed a request to add Global Plus 1C Contracts, consisting of two Global Plus 1C agreements, to the competitive product list.¹ The instant agreements are set to begin on January 22, 2012, at the expiration of customers' current customized (Global Plus 1B) agreements.² *Id.* at 4-5.

Product history. Prices and classifications for Global Plus Contracts 1 were added to the competitive product list in 2008; several groupings within this product, including Global Plus 1A and Global Plus 1B, were later added. *Id.* at 1-2. The Postal Service describes the instant contracts as the immediate successors to, and counterparts of, the instruments the Commission found eligible for inclusion in the Global Plus 1B product in previous dockets.³ *Id.* at 4. It also says the contracts are on behalf of the same customers. *Id.*

Functional equivalence. The Postal Service addresses the functional equivalence of the two Global Plus 1C contracts, including a review of their similarities and differences. *Id.* at 5-6. It attributes any differences to the result of negotiations, and says they do not affect the Global Plus rate design or

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Global Plus 1C to the Competitive Products List and Notice of Filing Two Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 1C Contracts Negotiated Service Agreements and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, December 30, 2011 (Notice). The Notice was filed pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, and Order No. 112; the agreements were filed pursuant to Commission rule 3015.5.

² For an explanation of the duration of the instant contracts, *see id.* at 5.

³ *See* Docket Nos. CP2011-39 and CP2011-40.

market characteristics. *Id.* at 6. It also notes that Global Plus 1C is distinct from Global Plus 1B due to the addition of Global Express Guaranteed and Commercial ePacket. *Id.* at 7. This distinction is reflected in a minor classification change and in the financial models. *Id.*

Other supporting material. The Postal Service has filed the two Global Plus 1C contracts and certain other material under seal. Attachment 1 to the Notice is an application for non-public treatment of that material. Attachments 2 through 4 include redacted versions of two pertinent Governors' Decisions; revised Mail Classification Schedule language; certifications and a related statement required under Commission rules; and redacted versions of the contracts.

Postal Service representations. The Postal Service asserts that its filing establishes that the new Global Plus 1C contracts are in compliance with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633; fit within the proposed MCS language for Global Plus Contracts based on the controlling Governors' Decisions; and are functionally equivalent to each other.⁴ It therefore requests that Global Plus 1C be added to the competitive product list; that the contracts included in this filing be included within the Global Plus 1C product; and that these contracts be considered the baseline agreements for future functionally equivalency analyses for the Global Plus 1C product. *Id.* at 9.

Initial Commission action. The Commission establishes three related dockets, designated as Docket Nos. MC2012-6, CP2012-12, and CP2012-13, to consider matters raised in the Notice. The Commission strongly encourages those interested in the Postal Service's proposal to review the filing in its entirety. Public portions of the Postal Service's filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site, www.prc.gov. Commission rule 3007.40 (39 CFR 3007.40) addresses procedures for obtaining access to non-public information. Interested persons may submit comments on whether addition of the new product is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632 or 3633 and 39 CFR part 3015. Comments are due no later than January 17, 2012.

The Commission appoints James F. Callow to serve as Public Representative in the captioned filings.

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2012-6, CP2012-12, and CP2012-13 to consider matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than January 17, 2012.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is appointed to serve as the officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-539 Filed 1-12-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. A2012-105; Order No. 1110]

Post Office Closing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of the Hope, Minnesota post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioners, and others to take appropriate action.

DATES: February 1, 2012, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for Petitioner's Form 61; February 21, 2012, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for answering brief in support of the Postal Service. See the Procedural Schedule in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for other dates of interest.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at (202) 789-6820 (case-related information) or DocketAdmins@prc.gov (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 404(d), the Commission received two petitions for review of the Postal Service's determination to close the Hope post office in Hope, Minnesota. The first petition for review received December 28, 2011, was filed by Ed Nelson. The second petition for review received December 28, 2011, was filed by Marcia Dahle. The earliest postmark date is December 16, 2011. The Commission hereby institutes a proceeding under 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5) and establishes Docket No. A2012-105 to consider Petitioners' appeal. If Petitioners would like to further explain their position with supplemental information or facts, Petitioners may either file a Participant Statement on PRC Form 61 or file a brief with the Commission no later than February 1, 2012.

Notwithstanding the Postal Service's determination to close this post office, on December 15, 2011, the Postal Service advised the Commission that it "will delay the closing or consolidation of any Post Office until May 15, 2012."¹ The Postal Service further indicated that it "will proceed with the discontinuance process for any Post Office in which a Final Determination was already posted as of December 12, 2011, including all pending appeals." *Id.* It stated that the only "Post Offices" subject to closing prior to May 16, 2012, are those that were not in operation on, and for which a Final Determination was posted as of, December 12, 2011. It affirmed that it "will not close or consolidate any other Post Office prior to May 16, 2012." *Id.* at 2. Lastly, the Postal Service requested the Commission "to continue adjudicating appeals as provided in the 120-day decisional schedule for each proceeding." *Id.*

The Postal Service's Notice outlines the parameters of its newly announced discontinuance policy. Pursuant to the Postal Service's request, the Commission will fulfill its appellate responsibilities under 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5).

Categories of issues apparently raised. Petitioners contend that the Postal Service failed to consider the effect of the closing on the community (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i)).

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above, or that the

⁴ Governors' Decision No. 08-8 authorizes Global Plus 1 contracts. Governors' Decision No. 11-6 authorizes Postal Service management to prepare any necessary product description, including Mail Classification Schedule text, and to present such description to the Commission.

¹ United States Postal Service Notice of Status of the Moratorium on Post Office Discontinuance Actions, December 15, 2011, (Notice).