Accession Number ML113530367. Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available online in the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. In addition, the application is available at http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/licensing/renewal/applications.html. Persons who do not have access to the internet or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC’s PDR reference staff at 1 (800) 397–4209 or at (301) 415–4737, or by email to pdr@nrc.gov.

A copy of the license renewal application for Callaway is also available to local residents near the site at the Callaway Public Library, 710 Court St., Fulton, MO 65203.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of December, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Melanie A. Galloway,
Acting Director, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[F] Docket Nos. 57–71, 57–72

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2011–0291]

Receipt of Request for Action

Notice is hereby given that by petition dated April 13, 2011, Paul Gunter and Kevin Kamps of Beyond Nuclear requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take action to immediately suspend the operating licenses of General Electric boiling water reactors with Mark I containments. More than 8,000 copetitioners submitted emails stating that they shared the concerns raised by Beyond Nuclear. Beyond Nuclear and the copetitioners will be referred to collectively as the “petitioners.” In their petition, the petitioners request:

- enforcement action to ensure that the public health and safety is not unduly being jeopardized by the unsafe operations at twenty one (21) General Electric [GE] Boiling Water Reactors [BWRs] Mark I units that rely upon a fundamentally flawed combination of free standing steel primary containments for their pressure suppression containment system, the installation of the “hardened vent system,” or not, and an additional three (3) Mark I units for a total of twenty four (24) units which rely upon used radioactive fuel storage pools [also known as “spent fuel pools”] elevated to the top of the reactor building outside and above the rated containment structure without safety-related back-up electric power [Class 1 E] systems to cool high-density storage of thermally hot and highly radioactive nuclear waste in the event of loss of grid power.

This request is being reviewed pursuant to the NRC’s regulation at Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 2.206. The request has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As provided by 10 CFR 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this petition within a reasonable time. An NRC Petition Review Board (PRB) held public meetings with the petitioners on June 8, 2011, and October 7, 2011, during which the petitioners supplemented and clarified the requested actions and bases for their petition. The results of those discussions were considered in the PRB’s determination regarding the petitioners’ request for immediate action and in establishing the schedule for the review of the petition.

By letter dated December 13, 2011, the Director of the NRC’s Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation denied the petitioners’ request to immediately suspend the operating licenses of General Electric boiling water reactors with Mark I containments. Although the Director denied the petitioners’ request for immediate action, the Director nonetheless accepted their petition for review in part. The Director’s findings regarding each of the requested actions and bases for the petition can be found in the NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) at Accession No. ML11339A078, “Table Summarizing Each Issue for 2.206 Criteria,” included in his December 13, 2011 letter. ADAMS may be accessed through the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html.

Copies of the petition and the transcripts from the June 8, 2011 and October 7, 2011 public meetings can be found in ADAMS at Accession Nos. ML11104A058 (petition), ML11167A114 (June 8, 2011 meeting) and ML11292A162 (October 7, 2011 meeting). Copies of these documents are also available for inspection at the Commission’s Public Document Room (PDR). The PDR is located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

Any additional publicly available documents created or received at the NRC will be accessible electronically through ADAMS. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who have difficulty accessing documents in ADAMS should contact the NRC’s PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–(800) 397–4209 or (301) 415–4737, or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of December 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Bruce A. Boger,
Deputy Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2011–33649 Filed 12–30–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2012–2 and CP2012–6; Order No. 1071]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Priority Mail Contract 36 to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: Comments are due: January 6, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, stephen.sharfman@prc.gov or (202) 789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Table of Contents
I. Introduction
II. Notice of Filing
III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq., the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 36 to the competitive product list. The Priority mail contracts enable the Postal Service to provide Priority Mail service to an individual customer at customized rates. The Postal Service asserts that

1 Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 36 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Contract and Supporting Data, December 20, 2011 (Request).

2 Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rates and

Continued
Priority Mail Contract 36 is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2012–2.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Id. Attachment B. The instant contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2012–6.

Request. To support its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

• Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 09–6, authorizing certain Priority Mail contracts, and a certification of the Governors’ vote;

• Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;

• Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list that would add Priority Mail Contract 36 under Domestic Negotiated Service Agreements;

• Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;

• Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and

• Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Dennis R. Nicoski, Manager, Field Sales Strategy and Contracts, asserts that the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to covering institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service’s total institutional costs. Id. Attachment D at 1. Mr. Nicoski contends that there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. Id.

Related contract. The Postal Service included a redacted version of the related contract with the Request. Id. Attachment B. The contract is scheduled to become effective on the day the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approval. Id. at 3. The contract will expire 3 years from the effective date unless, among other things, either party terminates the agreement upon 30 days’ written notice to the other party. Id. at 4. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). Id. Attachment D.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the related contract, under seal. Id. Attachment F. It maintains that the redacted portions of the contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information, should remain confidential. Id. at 2–3. This information includes the price structure, underlying costs and assumptions, pricing formulas, information relevant to the customer’s mailing profile, and cost coverage projections. Id. The Postal Service asks the Commission to protect customer-identifying information from public disclosure indefinitely. Id. at 7.

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2012–2 and CP2012–6 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 36 product and the related contract, respectively.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR 3015.5, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than January 6, 2012. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints Natalie Rea Ward to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2012–2 and CP2012–6 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie Rea Ward is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than January 6, 2012.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission Advisory Committee on Small and Emerging Companies will hold a public telephone meeting on Friday, January 6, 2012, beginning at 1 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. The meeting will be audio webcast on the Commission’s Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

On December 15, 2011, the Commission published notice of the Committee meeting (Release No. 33–9285), indicating that the meeting is open to the public and inviting the public to submit written comments to the Committee. This Sunshine Act notice is being issued because a majority of the Commission may attend the meeting.

The agenda for the meeting includes consideration of a recommendation to the Commission on relaxing current restrictions on general solicitation and advertising in exempt offerings of securities.

For further information, please contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

Dated: December 29, 2011.

Elizabeth M. Murphy,
Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold a Closed Meeting on Thursday, January 5, 2012 at 2 p.m. Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the Closed Meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matter also may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(3), (5), (7), (9)(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (7), (9)(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meeting.