FTZ 226 was approved by the Board on December 22, 1997 (Board Order 946, 63 FR 778–779, 01/07/1998) and expanded on May 14, 2003 (Board Order 1276, 68 FR 27985, 05/22/2003). The current zone project includes the following sites: Site 1 (791 acres)—Castle Airport/Morimoto Industrial Park, 2507 Heritage Drive, Atwater (Merced County); Site 2 (242 acres)—within the MidState 99 Distribution Center, Visalia (Tulare County) (includes 65 acres located at 2525 North Plaza Drive approved on a temporary basis until 12/31/2013); Site 3 (191 acres)—Mid Cal Business Park, Highway 33, Gustine (Merced County); Site 4 (101 acres)—within the Applegate Business Park, Highway 33 and Air Park Road, Atwater (Merced County); Site 6 (87 acres)—City of Madera Airport Industrial Park/State Center Commerce Park, Falcon Drive, Madera (Madera County); Site 7 (10 acres)—City of Madera Industrial Park, 2500 West Industrial Avenue, Madera (Madera County); Site 8 (27.56 acres)—Airways East Business Park, East Shields Avenue and North Business Park Avenue, Fresno (Fresno County); Site 9 (225 acres)—Central Valley Business Park, East North Avenue, Fresno (Fresno County); Site 10 (492 acres)—Fresno Airport Industrial Park, East Airways Boulevard and East Anderson and East Clinton Avenues, Fresno, and adjacent City of Clovis Industrial Park located at West Dakota Avenue & West Pontiac Way, Clovis (Fresno County); Site 11 (35 acres)—Reedley Industrial Park II, 1301 South Avenue, Reedley (Fresno County); Site 12 (128 acres)—City of Selma Industrial Park, East Nebraska Avenue, Selma (Fresno County); and, Site 13 (15 acres)—810 East Continental Avenue, Tulare, (Tulare County).

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be portions of Fresno, Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Stanislaus and Tulare Counties, California, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is both within and adjacent to the Fresno U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to include Sites 1, 2 and 9 through 11 as “magnet” sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 1 be so exempted. The applicant is also requesting authority to include existing Site 8 as a usage-driven site. Additionally, the applicant is requesting authority to reduce acreage at Site 1 and remove Sites 3, 4, 6, 7, 12 and 13 from the zone project due to changed circumstances. The applicant is not proposing any additional new sites.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Christopher Kemp of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is February 27, 2012. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to March 13, 2012.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT Christopher Kemp at Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov or (202) 482–8082.

Dated: December 23, 2011.

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Acting Executive Secretary.

BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–552–801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Seventh Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: December 29, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Javier Barrientos or Alexis Polovina, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone, (202) 482–2243, or (202) 482–3927, respectively.

Background

On September 9, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the Federal Register its Preliminary Results of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam.1 Subsequent to the publication of the Preliminary Results, the Department extended the deadlines for submission of surrogated values, rebuttal comments and case briefs.2 On December 11, 2011, the Department issued a request for information to the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization.3 The period of review is August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2010. The final results are currently due no later than January 7, 2012.

Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), requires that the Department issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the final results to a maximum of 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. Due to the issuance of the request for information, voluminous surrogate value data on the record, and additional time provided to parties to review and submit rebuttal comments and case briefs, the Department finds that it is not practicable to review the post-preliminary questionnaires, surrogate value data and analyze the case brief comments within the scheduled time limit. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is partially extending the time for the completion of the final

---

3 See Letter from Matthew Renkey, Acting Program Manager, Import Administration, Office 9, to the FAO: RE: Questions for the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization (“FAO”) Regarding Price Data for Pangasius Fish, dated (December 11, 2011).
results of this review by 60 days to March 7, 2012.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 21, 2011.

Gary Taverman,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011–33490 Filed 12–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–980]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gene Calvert, Jun Jack Zhao, or Emily Halle, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3586, (202) 482–1396 or (202) 482–0176, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 8, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the countervailing duty investigation of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules, from the People’s Republic of China.\(^1\)

Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than January 12, 2012.

Postponement of Due Date for the Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act permits the Department to postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which it initiated the investigation if, among other reasons, the petitioner makes a timely request for an extension. In the instant investigation, the petitioner, SolarWorld Industries America, Inc., made a timely request on December 16, 2011, requesting a postponement of the preliminary countervailing duty determination to 95 days from the initiation date.\(^2\)

The Department notes that 95 days from the initiation date is February 11, 2012. February 11, 2012 falls on a Saturday, and it is the Department’s long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed.\(^3\)

Therefore, pursuant to the discretion afforded to the Department under section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, and because the Department does not find any compelling reason to deny the request, we are extending the due date for the preliminary determination to no later than February 13, 2012, the first business day after the 95th day from initiation.\(^2\)

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: December 21, 2011.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–33495 Filed 12–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 97–11A03]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of application (97–11A03) to amend the Export Trade Certificate of Review issued to Association for the Administration of Rice Quotas, Inc. (AARQ).

SUMMARY: The Office of Competition and Economic Analysis (“OCEA”) of the International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review (“Certificate”). This notice summarizes

\(^2\) See 19 CFR 351.205(e) and the petitioner’s December 16, 2011 letter requesting postponement of the preliminary determination.


for the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the amended Certificate should be issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Monica Barnes, Office of Competition and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at etc@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from State and Federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the Federal Register identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a nonconfidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked as privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be nonconfidential.

An original and five (5) copies, plus two (2) copies of the nonconfidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 7021–X, Washington, DC 20230.

Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). However, nonconfidential versions of the comments will be made available to the applicant if necessary for determining whether or not to issue the Certificate. Comments should refer to this application as “Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 97–11A03.”

AARQ’s original Certificate was issued on January 21, 1998 (63 FR 4220, January 28, 1998). A summary of the current application for an amendment follows.