

Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Fortex Blucore Limited, Kowloon Bay, Hong Kong, HONG KONG–CHINA, and S&O Electronics (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd, Kedah Darul Aman, MALAYSIA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also Challenge Technology (Hong Kong) Limited, Kwun Ton, HONG KONG–CHINA; Eizo Nano Corporation, Ishikawa, JAPAN; Nintendo Co., Ltd., Kyoto, JAPAN; Novatron Co., Ltd., Gyeonggi-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; and Vtrek Electronics Co., Ltd., Guangzhou City, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DVD CCA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 11, 2001, DVD CCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2001 (66 FR 40727).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 26, 2011. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 24, 2011 (76 FR 65749).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–32700 Filed 12–20–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Sematech, Inc. d/b/a International Sematech

Notice is hereby given that, on November 18, 2011, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Sematech, Inc. d/b/a International

Sematech (“Sematech, Inc.”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Aixtron SE, Herzogenrath, GERMANY; Applied Seals North America, Inc., Newark, CA; Tokyo OHKA Kogyo Co., Ltd., Kanagawa-ken, JAPAN; Core Wafer Systems, Inc., Albuquerque, NM; Dainippon Screen Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Kyoto, JAPAN; Soitec, Bernin, FRANCE; Macronix International Co., Ltd., Hsinchu, TAIWAN; Global Foundaries Inc., Milpitas, CA; Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Austin, TX; Infineon Technologies AG, Munich, GERMANY; Qualcomm Incorporated, San Diego, CA; LSI Corporation, Milpitas, CA; Spansion Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Cypress Semiconductor Corporation, San Jose, CA; NXP Semiconductors N.V., Eindhoven, THE NETHERLANDS; ON Semiconductor Corporation, Phoenix, AZ; and STMicroelectronics N.V., Geneva, SWITZERLAND, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Canon Anelva Corporation, Kanagawa, JAPAN; Lasertec Corporation, Yokohama, JAPAN; Nanosys Inc., Palo Alto, CA; and Rudolph Technologies Inc., Flanders, NJ, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

International Sematech Manufacturing Initiative, Inc. (“ISMI”) has an additional membership category called council membership. ISMI offers and manages a number of councils which are forums for semiconductor industry managers to benchmark operations, share best practices, hear expert presentations, hold workshops on topics of interests, influence/supply chain, and network. These ISMI councils focus on wafer fab operations, procurement and logistics, quality and reliability, and final manufacturing.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Sematech, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 22, 1988, Sematech, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section

6(b) of the Act on May 19, 1988 (53 FR 17987).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 15, 2011. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 4, 2011 (76 FR 70758).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–32697 Filed 12–20–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

[OMB Number 1121–0170]

Agency Information Collection

Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: Crime Victim Compensation State Certification Form Request

ACTION: 60-Day notice of information collection under review.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Office for Victims of Crime (OVC) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for “sixty days” until February 21, 2012. The process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact DeLano Foster at (202) 616–3612, Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 7th Street NW., Washington, DC 20531.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the