

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-702 (Third Review)]

### Ferrovandium and Nitrided Vanadium From Russia; Determination To Conduct a Full Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovandium and nitrided vanadium from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 5, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Messer (202) 205-3193, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 5, 2011, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (76 FR 54490, September 1, 2011) were adequate. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the

Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 15, 2011.

**James R. Holbein,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2011-32594 Filed 12-20-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-820]

### Certain Products Containing Interactive Program Guide and Parental Controls Technology; Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on November 15, 2011, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Rovi Corporation of Santa Clara, California; Rovi Guides, Inc. (f/k/a Gemstar-TV Guide International Inc.) of Santa Clara, California; United Video Properties, Inc. of Santa Clara, California; Gemstar Development Corporation of Santa Clara, California; and Index Systems, Inc. of Tortola, the British Virgin Islands. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain products containing interactive program guide and parental controls technology by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,493,643 ("the '643 patent"); U.S. Patent No. RE41,993 ("the '993 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,701,523 ("the '523 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 7,047,547 ("the '547 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the

Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2011).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 15, 2011, ordered that —

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products containing interactive program guide and parental controls technology that infringe one or more of claims 1, 3, 4, 7-10, and 13-16 of the '643 patent; claims 18-21, 23-25, 30, 31, 38, 39, 41, 43, 44, 49, 56, 57, 59, 61, 62, and 67 of the '993 patent; claims 1-5, 7, 8, and 10-12 of the '523 patent; and claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10-14, 16-18, 20, 22, 24, 26-30, 32-34, 36, 38, 40, 42-46, 48-50, 52, 54, 56, 58-62, and 64 of the '547 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:  
Rovi Corporation, 2830 De La Cruz Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95050.  
Rovi Guides, Inc. (f/k/a Gemstar-TV Guide International Inc.), 2830 De La Cruz Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95050.

United Video Properties, Inc., 2830 De La Cruz Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95050.

Gemstar Development Corporation, 2830 De La Cruz Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95050.

Index Systems, Inc., Craigmuir Chambers, P.O. Box 71, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Vizio, Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618.  
Haier Group Corp., 1 Haier Road, Hi-Tech Zone, Qingdao, Shandong 266101, China.

Haier America Trading, LLC, 1356 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: December 16, 2011.

By order of the Commission.

**James R. Holbein,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2011–32592 Filed 12–20–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–819]

### Certain Semiconductor Chips With Dram Circuitry, and Modules and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on November 15, 2011, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Elpida Memory, Inc. of Tokyo, Japan and Elpida Memory (USA) Inc. of Sunnyvale, California. A supplement to the complaint was filed on December 5, 2011. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor chips with DRAM circuitry, and modules and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,150,689 (“the ‘689 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,635,918 (“the ‘918 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,555,861 (“the ‘861 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,659,571 (“the ‘571 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,713,828 (“the ‘828 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,495,453 (“the ‘453 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,906,809 (“the ‘809 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained

by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of the Secretary, Docketing Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2011).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 15, 2011, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor chips with DRAM circuitry, and modules and products containing same that infringe one or more of claims 1–6, 8–11, and 15–18 of the ‘689 patent; claims 1–16 and 18–21 of the ‘918 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, and 9–14 of the ‘861 patent; claims 1, 3, and 4 of the ‘571 patent; claims 1, 5, and 6 of the ‘828 patent; claims 1, 15, and 27 of the ‘453 patent; and claims 1 and 2 of the ‘809 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:  
Elpida Memory, Inc., Sumitomo Seimei Yaesu Bldg. 3F, 2–1 Yaesu 2-chome, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104–0028, Japan.  
Elpida Memory (USA) Inc., 1175 Sonora Court, Sunnyvale, CA 94086.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:  
Nanya Technology Corporation, No. 669, FuhShing 3RD, KueiShan, TaoYuan, Taiwan.  
Nanya Technology Corporation, U.S.A., 5104 Old Ironsides Drive, Suite 113, Santa Clara, CA 95054.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.