

boundary at lat. 38°34'00" N.; thence along the Colorado/Kansas state boundary to lat. 37°11'00" N.; to lat. 37°11'00" N., long. 103°24'00" W.; to lat. 38°34'00" N., long. 103°24'00" W.; thence to the point of beginning.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on December 12, 2011.

John Warner,

Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2011-32501 Filed 12-19-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 172

[Docket No. FDA-2011-F-0765]

Nexira; Filing of Food Additive Petition

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of petition.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Nexira has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the expanded safe use of acacia gum (gum arabic) in food.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Celeste Johnston, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-265), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Pkwy., College Park, MD 20740-3835, (240) 402-1282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (section 409(b)(5) (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a food additive petition (FAP 1A4784) has been filed by Nexira, c/o Keller and Heckman LLP, 1001 G St. NW., Suite 500 West, Washington, DC 20001. The petition proposes to amend the food additive regulations in § 172.780 *Acacia (gum arabic)* (21 CFR 172.780), to provide for the expanded safe use of acacia gum (gum arabic) in food.

The Agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.32(k) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

Dated: December 5, 2011.

Dennis M. Keefe,

Director, Office of Food Additive Safety, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 2011-32542 Filed 12-19-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Office

37 CFR Part 201

[Docket No. RM 2011-7]

Exemption to Prohibition on Circumvention of Copyright Protection Systems for Access Control Technologies

AGENCY: Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The United States Copyright Office ("Office") seeks comments on proposals to exempt certain classes of works from the prohibition on circumvention of technological measures that control access to copyrighted works. The Office has initiated a rulemaking proceeding in accordance with provisions added by the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA") which provide that the Librarian of Congress ("Librarian"), upon the recommendation of the Register of Copyrights, may exempt certain classes of works from the prohibition against circumvention. The purpose of this proceeding is to determine whether there are particular classes of works as to which users are, or are likely to be, adversely affected in their ability to make noninfringing uses due to the prohibition on circumvention. This notice publishes the classes of works received by the Office, which were proposed by several parties in the comment period that ended on December 1, 2011.

DATES: Comments addressing the Proposed Classes of Works are due by 5 p.m. E.S.T., February 10, 2012. Reply comments addressing points made in the initial comments are due by 5 p.m. E.S.T. on March 2, 2012.

ADDRESSES: All Proposed Classes of Works are available on the Copyright Office Web site at: <http://www.copyright.gov/1201/2011/initial/> and at the U.S. Copyright Office, James Madison Memorial Building, Room LM-401, 101 Independence Avenue SE., Washington, DC. The Copyright Office strongly prefers that comments filed in response to the Proposed Classes of Works be submitted electronically. A

comment page containing a comment form will be posted on the Copyright Office Web site at <http://www.copyright.gov/1201/comment-forms>. The online form contains fields for required information including the name and organization of the commenter, as applicable, and the ability to upload comments as an attachment. To meet accessibility standards, all comments must be uploaded in a single file in either the Adobe Portable Document File (PDF) format that contains searchable, accessible text (not an image); Microsoft Word; WordPerfect; Rich Text Format (RTF); or ASCII text file format (not a scanned document). The maximum file size is 6 megabytes (MB). The name of the submitter and organization should appear on both the form and the face of the comments. All comments will be posted publicly on the Copyright Office web site exactly as they are received, along with names and organizations. If electronic submission of comments is not feasible, please contact the Copyright Office at 202-707-8380 for special instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ben Golant, Assistant General Counsel, Copyright GC/I&R, P.O. Box 70400, Washington, DC 20024-0400. Telephone (202) 707-8380; telefax: (202) 707-8366.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 29, 2011, the Office published a Notice of Inquiry in the **Federal Register** to initiate the fifth triennial rulemaking proceeding required by § 1201(a)(1)(C) of the Copyright Act. See 76 FR 60398 (Sept. 29, 2011). That notice requested comments from interested parties proposing classes of works that should be considered for exemption for the next three-year period. The Office received 20 separate filings, proposing 26 classes of works for exemption.¹ On December 5, 2011, the Copyright Office posted all of the filings received (the "Proposed Classes of Works") on its Web site. See <http://www.copyright.gov/1201/2011/initial/>. In order to provide additional notice to interested parties, the Copyright Office is hereby publishing the Proposed Classes of Works with identification of the person(s) and/or

¹ This is an approximation based on the manner in which the proposed classes were articulated. In some cases, the proposed class involved multiple categories of works within the class that could have been articulated as multiple classes. In other cases, there were multiple proposals that were variations on the same theme that could have been expressed as one class. In addition, a number of parties proposed similar classes. The Office has chosen to group together related classes in this Notice in order to help focus the many exemption requests.