M. Environment
We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. A preliminary environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" section of this preamble. This rule involves creating a separate process for reconsideration of LORs and falls under section 2.B.2, figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(a) of the Instruction, which includes regulations which are editorial or procedural, such as those updating addresses or establishing application procedures. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 127
Fire prevention, Harbors, Hazardous substances, Incorporation by reference, Natural gas, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, and Security measures.
For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 127 as follows:

PART 127—WATERFRONT FACILITIES HANDLING LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS AND LIQUEFIED HAZARDOUS GAS

1. The authority citation for part 127 continues to read as follows:

2. Revise §127.009 to read as follows:
§127.009 Letter of Recommendation.
(a) After the COTP receives the Letter of Intent under §127.007(a) or (b), the COTP issues a Letter of Recommendation (LOR) as to the suitability of the waterway for LNG or LHG marine traffic to the Federal, State, or local government agencies having jurisdiction for siting, construction, and operation, and, at the same time, sends a copy to the owner or operator, based on the—
(1) Information submitted under §127.007;
(2) Density and character of marine traffic in the waterway;
(3) Locks, bridges, or other man-made obstructions in the waterway;
(4) Following factors adjacent to the facility such as—
(i) Depths of the water;
(ii) Tidal range;
(iii) Protection from high seas;
(iv) Natural hazards, including reefs, rocks, and sandbars;
(v) Underwater pipelines and cables;
(vi) Distance of berthed vessel from the channel and the width of the channel; and
(5) Any other issues affecting the safety and security of the waterway and considered relevant by the Captain of the Port.
(b) An LOR issued under this section is a recommendation from the COTP to the agency having jurisdiction as described in paragraph (a), and does not constitute agency action for the purposes of §127.015 or the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 551 et seq.).
(c) The owner or operator, or a State or local government in the vicinity of the facility, may request reconsideration as set forth in §127.010.
(d) Persons other than the owner or operator, or State or local government in the vicinity of the facility, may comment on the LOR by submitting comments and relevant information to the agency having jurisdiction, as described in paragraph (a), for that agency's consideration in its permitting process.
(e) Paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section apply to LORs issued after (EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL RULE). For LORs issued prior to that date, persons requesting reconsideration must follow the process set forth in §127.010.

3. Add §127.010 to read as follows:
§127.010 Reconsideration of the Letter of Recommendation.
(a) A person requesting reconsideration pursuant to §127.009(c) must submit a written request to the Captain of the Port (COTP) who issued the Letter of Recommendation (LOR), and send a copy of the request to the agency to which the LOR was issued. The request must explain why the COTP should reconsider his or her recommendation.
(b) In response to a request described in paragraph (a) of this section, the COTP will do one of the following—
(1) Send a written confirmation of the LOR to the agency to which the LOR was issued, with copies to the person making the request and the owner or operator;
(c) A person whose request for reconsideration results in a confirmation as described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, and who is not satisfied with that outcome, may request, in writing, the opinion of the District Commander of the district in which the LOR was issued.
(1) The request must explain why the person believes the COTP should reconsider his or her recommendation.
(2) A person making a request under paragraph (c) of this section must send a copy of the request to the agency to which the LOR was issued.
(3) In response to the request described in paragraph (c) of this section, the District Commander will do one of the following—
(i) Send a written confirmation of the LOR to the agency to which the LOR was issued, with copies to the person making the request, the owner or operator, and the COTP;
or(ii) Instruct the COTP to reconsider the LOR, and send written notification of that instruction to the agency to which the original LOR was issued, with copies to the person making the request and the owner or operator.
(d) The District Commander's written confirmation described in paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section ends the reconsideration process with respect to that specific request for reconsideration. If the COTP issues an LOR pursuant to paragraph (b)(2) or (c)(3)(ii) of this section, persons described in §127.009(c) may request reconsideration of that revised LOR using the process beginning in paragraph (a) of this section.

Dated: December 9, 2011.

J.G. Lantz,
Director of Commercial Regulations and Standards, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 2011–32257 Filed 12–15–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52
[FR–R04–OAR–2011–0867–201157(b); FRL–9507–2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans: Kentucky; Visibility Impairment Prevention for Federal Class I Areas; Removal of Federally Promulgated Provisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

[For Federal Register contents see FR Doc. 2011–32257 Filed 12–15–11; 8:45 am]
SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to rescind the federally promulgated provisions regarding visibility in the Kentucky State Implementation Plan (SIP). EPA approved Kentucky’s visibility rules addressing new source review for sources in nonattainment areas on July 11, 2006. EPA’s approval of these rules neglected to remove the previous federally promulgated provisions from the Federal Implementation Plan. EPA is proposing to correct this omission in this rulemaking. This action is being taken pursuant to the Clean Air Act. In the Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving Kentucky’s SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before January 17, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R04–OAR–2011–0867 by one of the following methods:

2. Email: benjamin.lynorae@epa.gov.
3. Fax: (404) 562–9019.

5. Hand Delivery or Courier: Lyncorae Benjamin, Chief, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding Federal holidays. Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madolyn S. Dominy, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Ms. Dominy may be reached by phone at (404) 562–9644 or by electronic mail address at dominy.madolyn@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this Federal Register. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

Dated: December 8, 2011.
A. Stanley Meiburg,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2011–32170 Filed 12–15–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Kentucky; Regional Haze State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing a limited approval and a limited disapproval of two revisions to the Kentucky State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky through the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, Division of Air Quality (KDAQ), on June 25, 2008, and May 28, 2010, that address regional haze for the first implementation period. These revisions address the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) and EPA’s rules that require states to prevent any future and remedy any existing anthropogenic impairment of visibility in mandatory Class I areas (national parks and wilderness areas) caused by emissions of air pollutants from numerous sources located over a wide geographic area (also referred to as the “regional haze program”). States are required to assure reasonable progress toward the national goal of achieving natural visibility conditions in Class I areas. EPA is proposing a limited approval of these SIP revisions to implement the regional haze requirements for Kentucky on the basis that the revisions, as a whole, strengthen the Kentucky SIP. Also in this action, EPA is proposing a limited disapproval of these same SIP revisions because of the deficiencies in the Commonwealth’s regional haze SIP submittal arising from the remand by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (DC Circuit) to EPA of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 17, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R04–OAR–2009–0783, by one of the following methods:

2. Email: benjamin.lynorae@epa.gov.
3. Fax: (404) 562–9019.

5. Hand Delivery or Courier: Lyncorae Benjamin, Chief, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. “EPA–R04–OAR–2009–0783.” EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit through www.regulations.gov or email, information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through