Newark Customs and Border Protection port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on December 7, 2011.

FTZ 49 was approved by the Board on April 6, 1979 (Board Order 146, 44 FR 22502, 4/16/79) and expanded on May 26, 1983 (Board Order 211, 48 FR 24958, 6/3/83), on October 23, 1987 (Board Order 365, 52 FR 41599, 10/29/87), on April 19, 1990 (Board Order 470, 55 FR 17478, 4/25/90), on December 15, 1999 (Board Order 1067, 64 FR 72462–72643, 12/28/99), on April 14, 2006 (71 FR 23895, 4/25/06), on February 28, 2007 (Board Order 1504, 72 FR 10642–10643, 3/9/07), and on July 16, 2009 (Board Order 1634, 74 FR 37688–37689, 7/29/09).

The current zone project includes the following sites in the Newark/Elizabeth area: Site 1 (total—2,121 acres)—Port Newark/Elizabeth Port Authority Marine Terminal (336 acres), a parcel (23 acres) located at 888 Doremus Avenue, Newark, a parcel (6 acres) located at 580 Division Street, Elizabeth, and a parcel (17 acres) located at 251–259 Kapowski Road, Elizabeth; Site 2 (64 acres)—Global Terminal and Container Services facility (23 acres) Jersey City/Bayonne; Site 3 (124 acres)—Port Authority Industrial Park, adjacent to the Port Newark/Elizabeth Port Authority Marine Terminal; Site 4 (198 acres)—Port Authority Auto Marine Terminal (145 acres) and adjacent 53-acre Greenville Industrial Park on Upper New York Bay’s Port Jersey Channel in Bayonne and Jersey City; Site 5 (40 acres)—Newark International Airport jet fuel storage and distribution system in the Cities of Newark and Elizabeth (Union and Essex Counties); Site 6 (407 acres)—within an industrial park located at 100 Central Avenue, Kearny; Site 7 (114 acres, sunset 3/31/14)—within the I–Port 12 industrial park, located at exit 12 of the NJ Turnpike, Carteret; Site 8 (176 acres, sunset 3/31/14)—within the I–Port 440 industrial park, located east of State St. and north of the Outer Bridge Crossing, Perth Amboy; Site 9 (317 acres, sunset 3/31/14)—Port Reading Business Park located on Port Reading Avenue, Woodbridge; Site 10 (73 acres, sunset 3/31/14)—Port Elizabeth Business Park located at 10 North Avenue East, Elizabeth; Site 11 (379 acres, sunset 7/31/14)—Heller Industries located at 205 Miller Road, Edison; and, Site 12 (23 acres, sunset 7/31/14)—located at 400, 440, 490 Heller Park Court and 1 Industrial Road, South Brunswick.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the zone to include the following site: Proposed Site 13 (546 acres)—Raritan Center Business Park, Woodbridge Avenue & Raritan Center Parkway, Townships of Edison and Woodbridge, Middlesex County. No specific manufacturing authority is being requested at this time. Such requests would be made on a case-by-case basis. In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Kathleen Boyce of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is February 13, 2012. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to February 27, 2012. A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via http://www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Kathleen Boyce at Kathleen.Boyce@trade.gov or (202) 482–1346.

Dated: December 7, 2011.
Andrew McGilvray, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–32090 Filed 12–13–11; 8:45 am]
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SUMMARY: On August 10, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico.1 This administrative review covers mandatory respondents Mueller Comercial de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V. (Mueller), Southland Pipe Nipples Company, Inc. (Southland), Lamina y Placa Comercial, S.A. de C.V. (Lamina), and Tuberia Nacional, S.A. de C.V. (TUNA).2 We determine that the respondents did not have reviewable sales, shipments, or entries during the POR.

DATES: Effective Date: December 14, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Flessner or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6312 and (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 10, 2011, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico for the period November 1, 2009, to October 31, 2010. See Preliminary Results.

In response to the Department’s invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, Petitioner Wheatland Tube Company filed a case brief on September 9, 2011. Respondents Lamina and TUNA jointly filed a rebuttal brief on September 13, 2011.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are circular welded non-alloy steel pipes and tubes, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4 millimeters (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled). These pipes and tubes are generally known as standard pipes and tubes and are intended for the low pressure conveyance of water, steam, natural gas, and other liquids and gases in plumbing and heating systems, air conditioning


2 The Department determined that Lamina is the successor-in-interest to TUNA. See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico, 75 FR 82374 (December 30, 2010).
units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses, and generally meet ASTM A–53 specifications. Standard pipe may also be used for light load-bearing applications, such as for fence tubing, and as structural pipe tubing used for framing and support members for reconstruction or load-bearing purposes in the construction, shipbuilding, trucking, farm equipment, and related industries. Unfinished conduit pipe is also included in these orders. All carbon steel pipes and tubes within the physical description outlined above are included within the scope of this order, except line pipe, oil country tubular goods, boiler tubing, mechanical tubing, pipe and tube hollows for redraws, finished scaffolding, and finished conduit. Standard pipe that is dual or triple certified/stenciled that enters the U.S. as line pipe of a kind used for oil or gas pipelines is also not included in this order.

The merchandise covered by the order and subject to this review are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are used for oil or gas pipelines is also not included in this order.

**Final Results of Review**

Because we have found that the respondents did not have reviewable sales, shipments, or entries during the POR, there is no change in the antidumping duties for any of the respondents.

**Assessment**

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(b). We will issue appraisement instructions directly to CBP to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries by applying the assessment rate to the entered value of the merchandise. Pursuant to 19 CFR 356.8(a), the Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 41 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

Since the implementation of the 1997 regulations, our practice concerning no shipment respondents had been to rescind the administrative review if the respondent certifies that it had no shipments and we have confirmed through our examination of CBP data, as well as a no-shipment query to the ports, that there were no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, 62 FR 27296, 27393 (May 19, 1997); see also Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Review, 70 FR 53161, 53162 (September 5, 2005), unchanged in Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 71 FR 95 (January 3, 2006). In such circumstances, we normally instructed CBP to liquidate any entries of merchandise produced by the respondents.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rates in effect from the most recently-completed POR; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 32.62 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. See Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico, 57 FR 42953 (September 17, 1992). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.406(b)(2) to file the Certificate of Conformity regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation.
of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 2, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—List of Issues in Decision Memorandum
Comment 1: Allegedly Incorrect Classification of Entry Documents
Comment 2: Verification

In preparation for verification, the Department issued supplemental questionnaires to RZBC and Yixing Union on August 8, 2011. Yixing Union submitted its supplemental questionnaire response, with an updated factor of production (“FOP”) database, on August 23, 2011. RZBC submitted its supplemental questionnaire response, with updated U.S. sales and FOP databases, on August 24, 2011. From August 29, 2011, to September 2, 2011, and from September 5, 2011, to September 9, 2011, the Department conducted on-site verifications of RZBC and Yixing Union, respectively.
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Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the First Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


Scope of the Order
The scope of the order includes all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend. The scope of the order also includes all forms of crude calcium citrate, including dicalcium citrate monohydrate, and tricalcium citrate tetrahydrate, which are intermediate products in the production of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate. The scope of the order does not include calcium citrate that satisfies the standards set forth in the United States Pharmacopeia and has been mixed with a functional excipient, such as dextrose or starch, where the excipient constitutes at least 2 percent, by weight, of the product. The scope of the order includes the hydrous and anhydrous forms of citric acid, the dihydrate and anhydrous forms of sodium citrate, otherwise known as citric acid sodium salt, and the monohydrate and