

companies covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the rates listed above; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the most recent final results in which that producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the producer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the most recent final results in which that producer participated; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 2.40 percent, the all-others rate established in the less than fair value investigation.<sup>14</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 5, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix—Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Whether Nan Ya's Preliminary

AFA Rate Is Unlawfully Punitive

Comment 2: Whether Nan Ya's Preliminary

AFA Rate Is Corroborated

Comment 3: Whether the Department Failed

To Follow Past Court and Department

Precedent With Respect to Nan Ya's

Preliminary AFA Rate

Comment 4: Whether Na Ya's Preliminary

AFA Rate Is Aberrational

[FR Doc. 2011-31695 Filed 12-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-824]

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 5, 2011, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film (PET Film) from India.<sup>1</sup> This review covers one producer/exporter of subject merchandise: Ester Industries Ltd. (Ester). Based on the results of our analysis of the comments received, we did not make any changes to the preliminary results. However, the Department did make changes to the preliminary results of the concurrent countervailing duty administrative review. Accordingly, we adjusted Ester's U.S. price in our margin calculations for Ester's export subsidy rate calculated for the final results of review, causing a change in the antidumping duty margin calculated for these final results. For the final dumping margins, see the "Final Results of Review" section below.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 9, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elfi Blum or Toni Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;

<sup>1</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 47546 (August 5, 2011) (*Preliminary Results*).

telephone: (202) 428-0197 or (202) 482-1398, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Since the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have taken place. Ester submitted a timely case brief on September 6, 2011. DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, Petitioners) filed a timely rebuttal brief on September 12, 2011.

##### Period of Review

The period of review is July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping duty order are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET film are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the antidumping duty order is dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

The issue of zeroing was raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this administrative review are addressed in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results" (Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the comments raised in the briefs and addressed in the Decision Memorandum is appended to this notice. The Decision Memorandum is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Decision Memorandum

<sup>14</sup> See *Investigation Final Determination*.

and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we did not make any adjustments to our margin calculations for Ester. We revised the adjustment to U.S. price in our margin calculations for Ester's export subsidy rate calculated for the final results of review in the concurrent countervailing duty administrative review, causing a change in the antidumping duty margin calculated for these final results.

### Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period of July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Ester Industries, Ltd .....	6.81

### Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will instruct CBP to liquidate entries of merchandise produced and/or exported by Ester. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review. For assessment purposes, where the respondent reported the entered value for its sales, we calculated importer-specific (or customer-specific) *ad valorem* assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. See 19 CFR 351.212(b). However, where the respondent did not report the entered value for its sales, we will calculate importer-specific (or customer-specific) per unit duty assessment rates. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any per unit duty assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., at or above 0.50 percent). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent). See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of PET Film from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) The cash deposit rate for the company under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.50 percent, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be the all others rate for this proceeding, 5.71 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in

accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 5, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**  
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-31693 Filed 12-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-910]

### Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of the 2010-2011 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon quality steel pipe ("CWP") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of review ("POR") of July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, with respect to twenty-nine companies. This rescission is based on the timely withdrawal of the requests for review by the only interested party that requested review of these companies.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 9, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin or Robert Bolling, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3936 or (202) 482-3434, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 1, 2011, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from the PRC. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 76 FR 38609, 38610 (July 1, 2011). In response, on August 1, 2011, the Ad Hoc Coalition For Fair Pipe Imports and its individual members, Allied Tube & Conduit, IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., Sharon Tube Company, Western Tube & Conduit Corporation, and Wheatland Tube Company (hereafter referred to as