SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Allow for the Clearing of Real Estate Index Futures Contracts

December 2, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,1 notice is hereby given that on November 21, 2011, The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which items have been prepared primarily by OCC. OCC filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act2 and Rule 19b–4(f)(4)3 thereunder so that the proposal was effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would accommodate certain cash-settled futures proposed to be traded by ELX Futures L.P. (“ELX”).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its capacity as a derivatives clearing organization (“DCO”), registered as such under the Commodity Exchange Act (the “CEA”), OCC performs the clearing function for ELX and other futures exchanges. OCC’s existing By-Laws and Rules already accommodate the clearing of cash-settled futures. However, a sentence is proposed to be added to Article XII, Section 2 of OCC’s By-Laws to more explicitly describe the rights and obligations of buyers and sellers of cash-settled futures, such as the Agricultural Futures and the Interest Rate Futures. An addition to OCC Rule 1301(e) is also proposed to allow OCC to recover the costs that it would incur in the event of a Clearing Member’s failure to satisfy a non-U.S. Dollar settlement obligation, such as the cost of purchasing the non-U.S. Dollar currency.

All of the Euro Interest Rate Futures will be settled in Euros. OCC already clears futures contracts that are settled in Euros, and management believes that the facilities and procedures established in connection with the settlement of the existing Euro-settled futures will generally be sufficient to permit the clearing and settlement of the Euro Interest Rate Futures.4 ELX intends to use, as a final settlement price for each Interest Rate Future, the published settlement price of the corresponding contract on Eurex.

ELX plans to use as a final settlement price for each Agricultural Future, the published settlement price of the corresponding contract on the Chicago Board of Trade.

OCC performs the clearing function for ELX pursuant to the Clearing Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Clearing Agreement, OCC has agreed to clear the specific types of contracts enumerated therein and may agree to clear additional types through the execution by both parties of a new “Schedule C” to the Clearing Agreement. A copy of three proposed new Schedule Cs providing for the clearance of Agricultural Futures, Euribor Futures and German Interest Rate Futures, respectively, which are attached to File SR–OCC–2011–16 as Exhibits 5A, 5B and 5C.

OCC believes that the proposed changes to its By-Laws are consistent with the purposes and requirements of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”), because they are designed to permit OCC to perform clearing services for products that are subject to the jurisdiction of the CFTC without adversely affecting OCC’s obligations with respect to the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions or the protection of investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change is not inconsistent with any rules of OCC, including any rules proposed to be amended.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change will have any impact or impose any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

OCC has not solicited or received written comments relating to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act5 and Rule 19b–4(f)(4)6 and became effective on filing. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml) or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–OCC–2011–16 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR–OCC–2011–16. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s

---

5 Supra note 2.
6 Supra note 3.
submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with
respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the
Commission, and all written communications relating to the
proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other
than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the
provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and
printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE.,
Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of
10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filings also will be available for inspection and
comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does
not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You
should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All
submissions should refer to File No. SR–OCC–2011–16 and should be
submitted on or before December 29, 2011.

For the Commission by the Division of
Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated
authority.7

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–31478 Filed 12–7–11; 8:45 am]
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December 2, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(“Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2
notice is hereby given that on November
22, 2011, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC
(“PHLX” or the “Exchange”) filed with the
Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the
proposed rule change as described in
Items I and II below, which Items have
been prepared by the Exchange. The
Exchange has designated the proposed
rule change as constituting a rule
change under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) under the
Act,3 which renders the proposal
effective upon filing with the
Commission. The Commission is
publishing this notice to solicit
comments on the proposed rule change
from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s
Statement of the Terms of Substance
of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the
Securities and Exchange Commission
(“Commission”) a proposed rule change
to modify its optional anti-
internalization functionality.

The text of the proposed rule change
is available at http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/
micro.aspx?id=PHLXRulefilings, at the
Exchange’s principal office, and at the
Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s
Statement of the Purpose of, and
Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule
Change

In its filing with the Commission, the
Exchange included statements
concerning the purpose of and basis for
the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the
proposed rule change. The text of these
statements may be examined at the
places specified in Item IV below. The
Exchange has prepared summaries, set
forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of
the most significant aspects of such
statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s
Statement of the Purpose of, and
Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule
Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to provide
a more granular alternative to the
voluntary anti-internalization
functionality. Under the proposal,
market participants will be given the
additional options of (1) assigning a
group identification modifier at the port
level; and (2) assigning different anti-
internalization methodology to specific
order entry ports.

Currently, anti-internalization
processing is available only on an
MPID-wide basis with only a single
methodology being allowed per MPID.
Market participants direct that a
particular version of anti-internalization
processing be applied to a particular
MPID, which is then applied by the
system to all quotes/orders entered
using that MPID. Market participants
have the option, when entering quotes/
orders using the same MPID they do not
wish to have automatically interact with
each other in the System, to either direct
the System to not execute any part of
the interacting quotes/orders from the
same MPID and, instead, cancel share
amounts of the interacting quotes/orders
back to the entering party with an
arrangement that takes into
consideration the size of the interacting
quotes/orders (Decrement); or,
regardless of the size of the interacting
quotes/orders, cancelling the oldest of
them in full (Cancel Oldest).4

Under the proposal, market
participants entering quotes/orders
under a specific MPID may voluntarily
assign a unique group identification
modifier that represents a group of
quotes/orders from the same market
participant identifier and order entry
port (“Group ID”). The Group ID will be
a two-character code composed of
alphabets and/or spaces, assigned
to a specific order entry port and
updated by the Exchange on behalf of
the market participant. This additional
option will direct the System to execute
any so designated incoming quotes/
orders against all eligible resting quotes/
orders except those with the both the
same MPID and same Group ID.

If the market participant selects the
option of utilizing the Group ID, the
anti-internalization selection will be
applied to all quotes/orders entered
using both the same MPID and the same
Group ID. If the incoming order has been
the same MPID and the same Group ID,
the two orders will not execute against
each other. If the two orders have the
same MPID and different Group IDs,
then the order will be eligible to execute
against each other as designated by
the anti-internalization method. For
example:

1. Incoming order “A” has an MPID of
“ABCD” and Group ID “A1”, resting order
“B” has an MPID of “ABCD” and Group ID
“A1”: in this scenario, these two orders
would not execute against each other.
2. Incoming order “C” has an MPID of
“EFGH” and Group ID “XY”, resting order
“D” has an MPID of “EFGH” and Group ID
“ZZ”: in this scenario, these two orders
would execute against each other.

Additionally, market participants will
now have the option to assign a
different anti-internalization
methodology (Decrement or Cancel
Oldest) to different order entry ports.
The anti-internalization method
assigned to the port sending the

4 See Exchange Rule 3307(a)(4).