Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Pursuant to Executive Order 13175 of November 6, 2000, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments,” the Agency has assessed the impact of this final directive on Indian Tribes and has determined that it does not have substantial direct or unique effects on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes. This final directive does not have tribal implications, affect the rights of Indian tribes to self-governance, and does not impact tribal sovereignty or self-determination. Specifically, this final directive represents a compilation and consolidation of existing invasive species management authorities, roles, and responsibilities focused on the duties of Forest Service personnel on the National Forest System, and does not impose substantial direct compliance costs on Indian tribal governments or preempt tribal law. Therefore, after discussions and coordination with the Forest Service Office of Tribal Relations and regional Forest Service tribal coordinators, the Agency has determined that formal consultation with Tribal governments on this final directive is unnecessary prior to publishing and issuing this final directive.

Implementation of this directive primarily occurs at the local level (national forest or grassland unit) through land management planning and project-level planning and accomplishment. Therefore, coordination with Tribes, other governmental organizations, and the public is most applicable at the forest and grassland level because it is at that level that specific invasive species management goals and objectives are established. Also, at that level the design and effects of invasive species management activities are most effectively managed in relation to the Agency’s tribal trust responsibilities and Indian tribal treaty rights.

In addition, during the review and coordination with the Forest Service Office of Tribal Relations, it was agreed that the Agency would coordinate an outreach effort through the respective regional OTR directors/staff regarding the future development of the Forest Service Handbook on Invasive Species Management; inviting additional review and collaboration with interested Tribal governments during that process. This future Forest Service Handbook on Invasive Species Management would tier directly from this final directive and would provide the detailed operational requirements, standards, criteria, and guidance which would be most applicable to Tribal government interests.

No Takings Implications

This final directive has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights, and it has been determined that this final directive does not pose the risk of a taking of protected private property.

Civil Justice Reform

This final directive has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988 of February 7, 1996, “Civil Justice Reform.” After adoption of this final directive, (1) All State and local laws and regulations that conflict with this final directive or that would impede full implementation of this directive would be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect would be given to this final directive; and (3) this final directive would not require the use of administrative proceedings before parties could file suit in court challenging its provisions.

Unfunded Mandates

Pursuant to Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538), which the President signed into law on March 22, 1995, the Agency has assessed the effects of this final directive on State, local, and Tribal governments and the private sector. This final directive does not compel the expenditure of funds by any State, local, or Tribal government or anyone in the private sector. Therefore, a statement under section 202 of the Act is not required.

Energy Effects

This final directive has been reviewed under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. It has been determined that this final directive does not constitute a significant energy action as defined in the Executive Order.

Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public

This final directive does not contain any additional record keeping or reporting requirements or other information collection requirements as defined in 36 CFR part 1290 that are not already required by law or not already approved for use, and therefore, imposes no additional paperwork burden on the public. Accordingly, the review provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) and its implementing regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 do not apply.

Dated: November 28, 2011.

Harris D. Sherman,
Under Secretary, NRE.

[FR Doc. 2011–31090 Filed 12–2–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Newspapers Used for publication of Legal Notices in the Southwestern Region, Which Includes Arizona, New Mexico, and parts of Oklahoma and Texas

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all Ranger Districts, Grasslands, Forests, and the Regional Office of the Southwestern Region to give legal notice for the availability for comments on projects under 36 CFR part 215, notice of decisions that may be subject to administrative appeal under 36 CFR parts 215 or Optional Appeal Procedures Available During the Planning Rule Transition Period (formerly 36 CFR part 217), and for opportunities to object to proposed authorized hazardous fuel reduction projects under 36 CFR 218.4. This notice also lists newspapers of record for notices pertaining to plan amendments and revisions under 36 CFR part 219. Newspaper publication is in addition to mailings and direct notice made to those who have participated in the planning of projects or plan revisions and amendments by submitting comments and/or requesting notice.

DATES: Use of these newspapers for the purpose of publishing legal notice for a plan amendment decision that is subject to appeal under “Optional Appeal Procedures Available During the Planning Rule Transition Period” (formerly 36 CFR part 217), for a comment and project decision that may be subject to appeal under 36 CFR part 215, for opportunity to object under 36 CFR part 218, and for planning notices on a plan revision or plan amendment under 36 CFR part 219 shall begin on the date of this publication and continue until further notice.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Margaret Van Gilder, Regional Appeals Coordinator; (505) 842–3223.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Where more than one newspaper is listed for any unit, the first newspaper listed is the primary newspaper of record of which publication date shall be used for calculating the time period to file comment, appeal or an objection.

Southwestern Regional Office
Regional Forester

Notices of Availability for Comment and Decisions and Objections affecting New Mexico Forests:—“Albuquerque Journal”, Albuquerque, New Mexico, for National Forest System Lands in the State of New Mexico and for any projects of Region-wide impact.


Arizona National Forests
Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Alpine Ranger District, Black Mesa Ranger District, Lakeside Ranger District, and Springerville Ranger District are published in:—“The White Mountain Independent”, Show Low and Navajo County, Arizona. Clifton Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Copper Era”, Clifton, Arizona.

Coconino National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Mogollon Rim Ranger District, Mormon Lake Ranger District, and Peaks Ranger District are published in:—“Arizona Daily Sun”, Flagstaff, Arizona.


Coronado National Forest


Nogales Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Nogales International”, Nogales, Arizona.

Sierra Vista Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Sierra Vista Herald”, Sierra Vista, Arizona.

Safford Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Eastern Arizona Courier”, Safford, Arizona.

Kaibab National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, North Kaibab Ranger District, Tusayan Ranger District, and Williams Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Arizona Daily Sun”, Flagstaff, Arizona.

Prescott National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Bradshaw Ranger District, Chino Valley Ranger District and Verde Ranger District are published in:—“Daily Courier”, Prescott, Arizona.

Tonto National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor are published in:—“Arizona Capitol Times”, in Phoenix, Arizona.

Cave Creek Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Arizona Capitol Times”, in Phoenix, Arizona.


Mesa Ranger District Notices are published in:—Arizona “Capitol Times”, in Phoenix, Arizona.

Payson Ranger District, Pleasant Valley Ranger District and Tonto Basin Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Payson Roundup”, Payson, Arizona.

New Mexico National Forests

Carson National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Camino Real Ranger District, Tres Piedras Ranger District and Questa Ranger District are published in:—“The Taos News”, Taos, New Mexico.

Canjilon Ranger District and El Rito Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Río Grande Sun”, Española, New Mexico.

Jicarilla Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Farmington Daily Times”, Farmington, New Mexico.

Gila National Forest and National Grasslands

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor affecting lands in New Mexico, except the National Grasslands are published in:—“Albuquerque Journal”, Albuquerque, New Mexico.


Mt. Taylor Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Gila County Beacon”, Grants, New Mexico.

Magdalena Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Defensor-Chieftain”, Socorro, New Mexico.

Mountainair Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Mountain View Telegraph”, Moriarty, New Mexico.

Sandia Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Albuquerque Journal”, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
Kiowa National Grassland Notices are published in:—“Union County Leader”, Clayton, New Mexico.

Rita Blanca National Grassland Notices in Cimarron County, Oklahoma are published in:—“Boise City News”, Boise City, Oklahoma, while Rita Blanca National Grassland Notices in Dallam County, Texas are published in:—“Dalhart Texan”, Dalhart, Texas.

Black Kettle National Grassland Notices in Roger Mills County, Oklahoma are published in:—“Cheyenne Star”, Cheyenne, Oklahoma, while Black Kettle National Grassland Notices in Hemphill County, Texas are published in:—“The Canadian Record”, Canadian, Texas.

McClellan Creek National Grassland Notices are published in:—“The Pampa News”, Pampa, Texas.

Gila National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Quemado Ranger District, Reserve Ranger District, Glenwood Ranger District, Silver City Ranger District and Wilderness Ranger District are published in:—“Silver City Daily Press”, Silver City, New Mexico.

Black Range Ranger District Notices are published in:—“The Herald”, Truth or Consequences, New Mexico.

Lincoln National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor and the Sacramento Ranger District are published in:—“Alamogordo Daily News”, Alamogordo, New Mexico.

Guadalupe Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Carlsbad Current Argus”, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

Smokey Bear Ranger District Notices are published in:—“Ruidoso News”, Ruidoso, New Mexico.

Santa Fe National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Coyote Ranger District, Cuba Ranger District, Española Ranger District, Jemez Ranger District and Pecos-Las Vegas Ranger District are published in:—“Albuquerque Journal”, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Dated: November 17, 2011.

Gilbertopezda,

Deputy Regional Forester, Southwestern Region.

[FR Doc. 2011–31097 Filed 12–2–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–XY–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural-Business Cooperative Service

Notice of Request for Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed collection; comments requested.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Business-Cooperative Service’s (RBS) intention to request an extension of a currently approved information collection in support of the program for 7 CFR, part 1951, subpart B, “Rural Development Loan Servicing.”

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by February 3, 2012, to be assured of consideration.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Rural Development Loan Servicing.

OMB Number: 0570–0015.

Expiration Date of Approval: January 31, 2012.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The regulations contain various requirements for information from the intermediaries and some requirements may cause the intermediary to require information from ultimate recipients. The information requested is vital to RBS for prudent loan servicing, credit decisions, and reasonable program monitoring. Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response. Respondents: Non-profit corporations, public agencies, and cooperatives. Estimated number of Respondents: 465. Estimated number of responses: 4,173. Estimated total annual burden on respondents: 11,992 hours. Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Jeanne Jacobs, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, at (202) 692–0010.

Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of RBS, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of RBS estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Jeanne Jacobs, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Stop 0742, 1400 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20250–0742. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 29, 2011.

Judith A. Canales,

Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–31150 Filed 12–2–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–XY–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).


Title: National Saltwater Angler Registry and State Exemption Program.

OMB Control Number: 0648–0578.

Form Number(s): NA.

Type of Request: Regular submission (revision and extension of a current information collection).

Number of Respondents: 9,140.

Average Hours Per Response: 3 minutes.

Burden Hours: 457.

Needs and Uses: This request is for a revision and extension of a current information collection. The National Saltwater Angler Registry Program (Registry Program) was established to implement recommendations included in the review of national saltwater angling data.