determine the apportionment and allocation of funds and/or the establishment of personnel ceilings within the Agency, subject to the right of any sitting Board Member to request full-Board consideration of any particular decision. In the absence of a request by any sitting Board Member for full-Board consideration of a particular decision(s), the decision(s) of the Chairman and the General Counsel will become final seven days after the then-sitting Board Members are notified thereof. Finally, the Board delegates to the Chief Administrative Law Judge authority over the appointment, transfer, demotion, or discharge of any Administrative Law Judge, subject to the right of any sitting Board Member to request full-Board consideration of any particular decision. In the absence of a request by any sitting Board Member for full-Board consideration of a particular decision(s), the decision(s) of the Chief Administrative Law Judge will become final 30 days after the then-sitting Board Members are notified thereof.

These delegations shall become and remain effective during any time at which the Board has fewer than three Members, unless and until revoked by the Board.

These delegations relate to the internal management of the National Labor Relations Board and are therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act. Further, public notice and comment is impractical because of the immediate need for Board action. The public interest requires that this Order take effect immediately.

All existing delegations of authority to the General Counsel and to staff in effect prior to the date of this Order remain in full force and effect. For the reasons stated above, the Board finds good cause to make this order effective immediately in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

Authority: Sections 3, 4, 6, and 10 of the National Labor Relations Act, 29 USC Sec. 3, 4, 6, and 10.

Signed in Washington, DC, November 22, 2011.

Mark Gaston Pearce,
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 2011–30699 Filed 11–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7545–01–P

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, December 13, 2011.

PLACE: NTSB Conference Center, 429 L’Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20594.

STATUS: The ONE item is open to the public.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED:
8245A Highway Accident Report—Multivehicle Collision, Interstate 44 Eastbound, Gray Summit, Missouri, August 5, 2010

News Media Contact: Telephone: (202) 314–6100.

The press and public may enter the NTSB Conference Center one hour prior to the meeting for set up and seating.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Rochelle Hall at (202) 314–6305 by Friday, December 9, 2011.

The public may view the meeting via a live or archived webcast by accessing a link under “News & Events” on the NTSB home page at http://www.ntsb.gov.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Candi Bing, (202) 314–6403 or by email at bingc@ntsb.gov.

Dated: Friday, November 25, 2011.

Candi R. Bing,
Federal Register Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 2011–30842 Filed 11–25–11; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7533–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2011–0272]

Knowledge and Abilities Catalog for Nuclear Power Plant Operators: Westinghouse AP1000 Pressurized-Water Reactors

AGENCY: United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Draft NUREG; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing for public comment a draft NUREG, NUREG–2103, Revision 0, “Knowledge and Abilities Catalog for Nuclear Power Plant Operators: Westinghouse AP1000 Pressurized-Water Reactors.

DATES: Submit comments by December 31, 2016. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC staff is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDITIONS: Please include Docket ID NRC–2011–0272 in the subject line of your comments. For additional instructions on submitting comments and instructions on accessing documents related to this action, see “Submitting Comments and Accessing Information” in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

You may submit comments by any of the following methods:


• Mail comments to: Mail comments to: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TBW–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, or by fax to RADB at (301) 492–3446.

• Fax comments to: RADB at (301) 492–3446.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
James Kellum, Division of Construction Inspection and Operational Programs, Office of New Reactors, TWFN Mail Stop 07–D24, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: (301) 415–5305, email: Jim.kellum@nrc.gov or Richard Pelton, Division of Construction Inspection and Operational Programs, Office of New Reactors, TWFN Mail Stop 07–D24, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555–0001, Phone: (301) 415–1028, email: Rick.Pelton@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Submitting Comments and Accessing Information

Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC Web site and on the Federal rulemaking Web site, http://www.regulations.gov. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed.

You can access publicly available documents related to this document using the following procedures:

• NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR): The public may examine and
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–410; NRC–2010–0117]

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, LLC, Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Related to the Proposed License Amendment To Increase the Maximum Reactor Power Level

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment for Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF–069, issued to Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, LLC (NMPNS, the licensee) for operation of the Nine Mile Point, Unit No. 2 (NMP2), located in Oswego, NY, in accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Section 50.90. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC performed an environmental assessment (EA). Based on the results of the environmental assessment, the NRC is issuing a finding of no significant impact.

The NRC published a draft EA and finding of no significant impact on the proposed action for public comment in the Federal Register on March 22, 2010 (75 FR 13600). No comments were received on the draft EA. The NRC staff did not identify any significant impact from the information provided in the licensee’s Extended Power Uprate (EPU) application for NMP2 or during the NRC staff’s review of other available information; therefore, the NRC staff is documenting its environmental review in this final EA.

Environmental Assessment

Plant Site and Environs

The NMPNS site is in the town of Scriba, in the northwest corner of Oswego County, New York, on the south shore of Lake Ontario. The site is comprised of approximately 900 acres that includes two nuclear reactors and ancillary facilities. NMP2 uses a boiling-water reactor and a nuclear steam supply system designed by General Electric.

Identification of the Proposed Action

By application dated May 27, 2009, the licensee requested an amendment for an EPU for NMP2 to increase the licensed thermal power level from 3,467 MWt to 3,988 MWt, which represents an increase of approximately 15% above the current licensed thermal power and approximately 20% over the original licensed thermal level. This change in core thermal level requires the NRC to amend the facility’s operating license. The operational goal of the proposed EPU is a corresponding increase in electrical output from 1,211 MWe to 1,369 MWe. The proposed action is considered an EPU by NRC because it exceeds the typical 7% power increase that can be accommodated with only minor plant changes. EPU’s typically involve extensive modifications to the nuclear steam supply system.

The licensee has implemented several physical changes and upgrades to plant components needed to implement the proposed EPU during the 2010 refueling outage; and it plans to complete all remaining physical modifications during the upcoming refueling outage currently scheduled for spring 2012. The actual power uprate, if approved by the NRC, would occur in a single increase following the 2012 refueling outage.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would provide NMPNS with the flexibility to increase the potential electrical output of NMP2 and to supply low cost, reliable, and efficient electrical generation to New York State and the region. The additional 158 MWe would be enough to power approximately 174,000 homes. The proposed EPU at NMP2 would contribute to meeting the goals and recommendations of the New York State Energy Plan for maintaining the reserve margin and reducing greenhouse gas emissions with low cost, efficient, and reliable electrical generation. The proposed action provides the licensee with the flexibility to increase the potential electrical output of NMP2 to New York State and the region from its existing power station without building a new electric power generation station or importing energy from outside the region.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

As part of the licensing process for NMP2, the NRC published a Final Environmental Statement (FES) in May 1985. The NRC staff noted that the impact of any activity authorized by the license would be encompassed by the overall action evaluated in the FES for the operation of NMP2. In addition, the NRC evaluated the environmental impacts of operating NMP2 for an additional 20 years beyond its current operating license, and determined that the environmental impacts of license renewal were small. The NRC staff’s evaluation is contained in NUREG–1437, "Generic EIR: Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plant, Supplement 24."