IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 4, 2011.

Gwellnar Banks,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
[FR Doc. 2011–29097 Filed 11–9–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Request for Investigation Under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before January 9, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Larry Hall, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482–4895, Lawrence.Hall@bis.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Upon request, BIS will initiate an investigation to determine the effects of imports of specific commodities on the national security, and will make the findings known to the President for possible adjustments to imports through tariffs. The findings are made publicly available and are reported to Congress. The purpose of this collection is to account for the public burden associated with the surveys distributed to determine the impact on national security.

II. Method of Collection

Submitted electronically or on paper.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0694–0120.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 400.

Estimated Time per Response: 7 hours and 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,000.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: $0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 4, 2011.

Gwellnar Banks,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
[FR Doc. 2011–29097 Filed 11–9–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–552–801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: November 10, 2011

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emeka Chukwudebe, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0219.

Background

On August 12, 2003, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the Federal Register, the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (“Vietnam”).1 On March 31, 2011, the Department published a notice of initiation of a new shipper review with respect to Thuan An Production Trading & Services Co., Ltd. (“TAFISHCO”) covering the period August 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011.2 On September 27, 2011, the Department published a notice of an extension of the time period for the preliminary results of this new shipper review by 45 days, to November 4, 2011.3

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results in a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. The Department may however, extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

The Department determines that this new shipper review involves extraordinarily complicated methodological issues. Interested parties have submitted voluminous surrogate country comments and surrogate value data, and thus, the Department requires additional time to analyze these data. We are, therefore, further extending the time for the completion of the preliminary results of this new shipper review by 31 days to December 5, 2011. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

Dated: November 4, 2011.

Gary Taverman,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People’s Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: November 10, 2011.

SUMMARY: On September 14, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). See Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission in Part, 76 FR 56732 (September 14, 2011) (Final Results). The period of review is February 1, 2009, through January 31, 2010. We are amending our final results to correct a ministerial error.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker, Scott Hoefke, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2924, (202) 482–4947 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

19 CFR 351.224(c)(2) states that a party to an antidumping duty proceeding must file comments concerning ministerial errors within five days after the earlier of the date on which the Secretary released documents to that party or held a disclosure meeting with that party. We released disclosure documents to Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd. (“Blue Field”) and Zhejiang Iceman Group, Co., Ltd. (“Iceman Group”) on September 7, 2011. On September 12, 2011, Blue Field filed a timely allegation of a ministerial error with the Department. On September 14, 2011, the Department released disclosure documents to Xiamen International Trade & Industrial Co., Ltd. (“XITIC”), thus establishing the deadline for XITIC’s ministerial error comments as September 19, 2011. On September 19, 2011, XITIC and Iceman Group filed allegations of ministerial errors with the Department. On September 26, 2011, Monterey Mushrooms, Inc. (petitioner) filed rebuttal comments in response to the filings from XITIC and Iceman Group.

On October 5, 2011, the Department rejected from the record Iceman Group’s September 19, 2011, submission because it was untimely given that the Department released all disclosure materials to it on September 7, 2011. On October 7, 2011, Iceman Group submitted a letter arguing that its September 19, 2011, submission was not untimely because, inter alia, it actually had not received all disclosure materials on September 7, 2011. Specifically, Iceman Group claimed that it had not received the computation of the rate for the separate-rate respondents. The Department subsequently determined that it had indeed failed to release to interested parties the computation of the rate for the separate-rate respondents. Therefore, on October 18, 2011, the Department released this computation to all interested parties and also invited Iceman Group to resubmit its September 19, 2011, submission.

No interested parties submitted ministerial error allegations with respect to the computation of the rate for the separate-rate respondents. Iceman Group resubmitted its ministerial error allegation on October 25, 2011.

Ministerial Errors

A ministerial error as defined in section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), includes “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error with the administering authority considers ministerial.”1 In this review, interested parties have alleged a total of four ministerial errors.

○ Blue Field alleges that the Department erred in its normal value calculation by applying incorrect programming language regarding the cost of metal lids for tin can products.

○ XITIC alleges that the Department erred in failing to value labor using the methodology announced in Antidumping Methodologies in Proceedings Involving Non-Market Economies: Valuing the Factor of Production: Labor, 76 FR 36092 (June 21, 2011).

○ XITIC also alleges the Department used an incorrect surrogate value for its lime input.

○ Iceman Group alleges the Department made a clerical error by including Iceman Group in the proceedings.

No interested party commented on Blue Field’s allegation. After analyzing Blue Field’s allegation, we find, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), that the Department made a ministerial error in its normal value calculation by applying incorrect programming language regarding the cost of metal lids for tin can products. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the Final Results for Blue Field and the weighted-average margin for companies that applied for separate-rate status. For details, see Memorandum from Scott Hoefke to the File, Subject: “Analysis of Data Submitted by Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd. (Blue Field) in the Amended Final Results of Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with this notice.

With respect to both of XITIC’s allegations, petitioners argue that they constitute methodological issues, and not ministerial errors.

After analyzing the interested parties’ allegations and reply comments regarding XITIC, we find, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act, that the allegations made by XITIC challenge methodological determinations in the final results, rather than any clerical errors made in carrying out its intentions. XITIC cited no record

1 See also 19 CFR 351.224(f).