

C's life is \$40,000. The portion of the trust corpus includible in D's gross estate under

section 2036(a)(1) is \$102,857, determined as follows:

(ii) <i>Step 1</i> : Fair market value of corpus	\$120,000
(iii) <i>Step 2</i> : Corpus required to produce D's date of death annuity (\$5,000/0.07)	71,429
(iv) <i>Step 3</i> : Corpus required to produce D's annuity if D had survived C (\$10,000/0.07)	142,857
(v) <i>Step 4</i> : Present value of C's interest	40,000
(vi) <i>Step 5</i> : The amount determined in Step 3, reduced by the amount determined in Step 4, but not to below the amount determined in Step 2 (\$142,857—\$40,000, but not less than \$71,429)	102,857
(vii) <i>Step 6</i> : The lesser of the amounts determined in Steps 5 and 1 (\$102,857 or \$120,000)	102,857

(3) *Effective/applicability dates.*
 * * * All but the last two sentences at the end of paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section are applicable to the estates of decedents dying after August 16, 1954. The first, second, and sixth sentences in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section and all but the introductory text, *Example 7*, and *Example 8* of paragraph (c)(2)(iv) of this section are applicable to the estates of decedent's dying on or after July 14, 2008. Paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section, the last two sentences at the end of paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, *Example 1* of paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, the third, fourth, and fifth sentences in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section; paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section; paragraph (c)(2)(iii) of this section; and the introductory text, *Example 7*, and *Example 8* of paragraph (c)(2)(iv) of this section are applicable to the estates of decedents dying on or after November 8, 2011.

Approved: October 27, 2011.

Steven T. Miller,

Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.

Emily S. McMahon,

Acting Assistant Secretary of the Treasury (Tax Policy).

[FR Doc. 2011-28824 Filed 11-7-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

Canal, mile 0.1, at Seattle, WA. The deviation is necessary to facilitate replacement of a counterweight trunnion bearing. This deviation allows the bridge to remain in the down or closed position during the maintenance period.

DATES: This deviation is effective from 8 p.m. on November 8, 2011 through 5 p.m. on November 22, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of docket USCG-2011-0973 and are available online by going to <http://www.regulations.gov>, inserting USCG-2011-0973 in the "Keyword" box and then clicking "Search". They are also available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M-30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email the Bridge Administrator, Coast Guard Thirteenth District; *telephone* (206) 220-7282 *email* randall.d.overton@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, *telephone* (202) 366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Railway has requested to not open the BNSF Rail Bascule Bridge across the Lake Washington Ship Canal, mile 0.1, for vessel traffic for a 14 day period to facilitate heavy maintenance on the bridge. The bridge provides 43 feet of vertical clearance above mean high water while in the closed position. Under normal operations this bridge opens on signal as required by 33 CFR 117.5 and 33 CFR 117.1051(c). The deviation period is from 8 p.m. November 8, 2011 through 5 p.m. November 22, 2011. This deviation allows the draw span of the BNSF Railway Bridge across the Lake Washington Ship Canal, mile 0.1, to remain in the closed position and to not open for maritime traffic from 8 p.m. November 8, 2011 through 5 p.m.

November 22, 2011. This time frame was selected because it corresponds with the closure of the Army Corps of Engineering Hiram M. Chittenden lock immediately upstream or inland of the bridge on the Lake Washington Ship Canal. This stretch of the Lake Washington Ship Canal experiences heavy waterway usage and is utilized by vessels ranging from commercial tug and barge to pleasure craft. Mariners have been notified and will be kept informed of the bridge's operational status via the Coast Guard Notice to Mariners publication and Broadcast Notice to Mariners as appropriate. Vessels which do not require a bridge opening may continue to transit beneath the bridge during this closure period. Due to the nature of work being performed the draw span will be unable to open for maritime traffic during this maintenance period.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the designated time period. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: October 26, 2011.

Randall D. Overton,

Bridge Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2011-28846 Filed 11-7-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2011-0973]

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Lake Washington Ship Canal, Seattle, WA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of temporary deviation from regulations.

SUMMARY: The Commander, Thirteenth Coast Guard District, has issued a temporary deviation from the regulation governing the operation of the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Bridge across the Lake Washington Ship

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG-2011-0578]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; Chicago Harbor, Navy Pier Southeast, Chicago, IL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will enforce the Navy Pier Southeast Safety Zone in Chicago Harbor from December 3, 2011 through January 1, 2012. This action is necessary and intended to ensure safety

of life on the navigable waters of the United States immediately prior to, during, and immediately after fireworks events. During the aforementioned period, restrictions will be enforced upon, and control movement of, vessels in a specified area immediately prior to, during, and immediately after fireworks events. During the enforcement period, no person or vessel may enter the safety zones without permission of the Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan.

DATES: The regulations in 33 CFR 165.931 will be enforced at various times and on various dates from 5:45 p.m. on December 3, 2011 to 12:30 a.m. on January 1, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this notice, call or email BM1 Adam Kraft, Prevention Department, Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan, Milwaukee, WI at (414) 747-7154, email Adam.D.Kraft@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coast Guard will enforce the Safety Zone; Chicago Harbor, Navy Pier Southeast, Chicago, IL listed in 33 CFR 165.931 for the following events:

(1) *Navy Pier Fireworks*; on December 3, 2011 from 5:45 p.m. until 6:30 p.m.; on December 31, 2011 from 8 p.m. until 8:45 p.m.; and on December 31, 2011 from 11:45 p.m. until 12:30 a.m. on January 1, 2012.

All vessels must obtain permission from the Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, or his or her on-scene representative to enter, move within or exit the safety zone. Vessels and persons granted permission to enter the safety zone shall obey all lawful orders or directions of the Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, or his or her on-scene representative. While within a safety zone, all vessels shall operate at the minimum speed necessary to maintain a safe course.

This notice is issued under authority of 33 CFR 165.931 and 5 U.S.C. 552(a). In addition to this notice in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard will provide the maritime community with advance notification of these enforcement periods via broadcast Notice to Mariners or Local Notice to Mariners. The Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners notifying the public when enforcement of the safety zone established by this section is suspended. If the Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, determines that the safety zone need not be enforced for the full duration stated in this notice, he or she may use a Broadcast Notice to Mariners to grant general permission to enter the safety zone. The Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, or his or her on-

scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

Dated: October 13, 2011.

M.W. Sibley,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Lake Michigan.

[FR Doc. 2011-28885 Filed 11-7-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

37 CFR Parts 2 and 7

[Docket No. PTO-T-2010-0014]

RIN 0651-AC39

Trademark Technical and Conforming Amendments

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) is adopting as a final rule, with minor changes, an interim final rule amending the Rules of Practice in Trademark Cases and the Rules of Practice in Filings Pursuant to the Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks (“Madrid Rules”) to implement the Trademark Technical and Conforming Amendment Act of 2010. The interim final rule was published in the **Federal Register** on June 24, 2010. This final rule makes minor changes to the interim final rule to incorporate additional statutory language being implemented.

DATES: This rule is effective on November 8, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia C. Lynch, Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Trademark Examination Policy, by telephone at (571) 272-8742.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 24, 2010, the USPTO published an interim final rule at 75 FR 35973 amending the Rules of Practice in Trademark Cases and the Madrid Rules to implement the Trademark Technical and Conforming Amendment Act of 2010 (“TTCAA”), Public Law 111-146, 124 Stat. 66 (2010). This legislation and the implementing rule harmonized the framework for submitting trademark registration maintenance filings to the USPTO by permitting holders of international registrations with an extension of protection to the United States under the Madrid Protocol

(“Madrid Protocol registrants”) to file Affidavits or Declarations of Use or Excusable Nonuse at intervals identical to those for nationally issued registrations. In addition, all trademark owners may now cure deficiencies in their maintenance filings outside of the statutory filing period upon payment of a deficiency surcharge, specifically including when the affidavit or declaration was not filed in the name of the owner of the registration.

The interim final rule provided a 60-day comment period that ended August 23, 2010. No comments were received. For the reasons given in the interim final rule, the USPTO is adopting the interim final rule amending 37 CFR parts 2 and 7 as a final rule, with minor changes.

The rule is changed slightly for purposes of clarification. Specifically, 37 CFR 2.163(a), 2.164(a), and 7.39(c) are amended to reflect that deficiencies may be corrected after notification from the USPTO. These revisions reflect the amendments to Sections 8 and 71 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. 1058 and 1141k, providing that deficiencies may be corrected after notification of the deficiency.

Rule Making Considerations

This document adopts as a final rule, with minor procedural changes, the interim final rule that is already in effect. The changes from the interim rule contained in this final rule constitute interpretative rules or rules of agency practice and procedure and accordingly, are not subject to the requirements for prior notice and comment. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A). The rule changes relate solely to the procedures for maintaining a Federal trademark registration, and merely implement the TTCAA, so that the Rules of Practice in Trademark Cases and the Madrid Rules are consistent with the statutory revisions. Thus, prior notice and an opportunity for public comment are not required pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A) (or any other law). *See Cooper Techs. Co. v. Dudas*, 536 F.3d 1330, 1336-37, 87 USPQ2d 1705, 1710 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (stating that 5 U.S.C. 553, and thus 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2)(B), does not require notice and comment rule making for “interpretative rules, general statements of policy, or rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice.”) (quoting 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A)), *Bachow Communications Inc. v. FCC*, 237 F.3d 683, 690 (DC Cir. 2001) (rules governing an application process are “rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice” and are exempt from the Administrative Procedure Act’s notice and comment requirement); *see*